

EB-2005-0551 NGEIR

UNDERTAKINGS FILED AT THE HEARING
Review of Settlement Proposal: June 19, 2006
Oral Hearing Start: June 19, 2006

As of July 5, 2006

NO.	DESCRIPTION	RESPONSE FILED	PG
June 19, 2006			
K 1.1	UNION to PANEL - MICHIGAN STORAGE CAPACITY - TO PROVIDE A BREAKOUT OF THE DIFFERENT ELEMENTS OF NON-FERC JURSDICTIONAL STORAGE CAPACITY INCLUDED IN THE RELEVANT GEOGRAPHIC MARKET	June 23, 2006	155
June 20, 2006			
K 2.1	UNION to BHT – More information with reference to Union U#37 - TO PROVIDE ANR PIPELINE EXPIRED CONTRACTS FROM 2007 AND 2008 FOR TRANSMISSION FROM ANR STORAGE TO ST. CLAIR ZONE ON BOTH THE ANR AND VECTOR PIPELINES; WASHINGTON 10 TO ST. CLAIR AND GREAT LAKES; AND FARWELL, MICHIGAN, TO ST. CLAIR	June 26, 2006	52
K 2.2	UNION to IGUA - THE COMPANY WILL PERFORM A CALCULATION OF THE COSTS OF CAPITAL IN THE 30 CENTS SHOWN IN UNDERTAKING U.16 FROM THE TECHNICAL CONFERENCE IN THIS HEARING	June 26, 2006	123
K 2.3	UNION to PANEL - TO PROVIDE THE CALCULATION OF THE PREMIUM AMOUNT ANNUALLY THAT WAS RECEIVED FROM SALE OF STORAGE AT MBR FOR LAST TEN YEARS	June 26, 2006	174

NO.	DESCRIPTION	RESPONSE FILED	PG
June 26, 2006			
K. 3.1	TO PROVIDE THE CHRONOLOGY OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE EXISTING STORAGE CAPACITY THAT UNION OPERATES		61
K 3.1 Addition to above	TO IDENTIFY THE NATURE OF THE DEVELOPMENT THAT WAS TAKING PLACE		129
K 3.2	TO PROVIDE WHETHER DUE DILIGENCE REPORT WAS DONE TO ADDRESS DIFFICULTY IN FINANCING F24-S, UPBS and DPBS ASSETS IN THE ABSENCE OF MARKET PRICING		91
K 3.3	TO INDICATE WHETHER UNION HAS AN OBJECTION TO PUBLISHING INFORMATION REQUIRED UNDER FERC REGULATION 284 IN RELATION TO ITS OWN CUSTOMERS, SHOULD FOREBEARANCE BE GRANTED		106
K 3.4	TO INFORM WHETHER SPLIT RATE BASE STUDY EXAMINING THE FEASIBILITY OF ALLOCATING UNION'S STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION RATE BASE BETWEEN COMPETITIVE AND REGULATED ACTIVITIES WAS PREPARED		160
K 3.5	TO PROVIDE PAPER AUTHORED BY BAZILIAUSKAS AND ROSS		164
K 3.6	TO INFORM WHETHER DUKE ENERGY HAS PERFORMED ANY LONG-TERM FORECASTS OF WHERE COMMODITY PRICES AND SEASONAL PRICE SPREADS ARE GOING		198

NO.	DESCRIPTION	RESPONSE FILED	PG
June 27, 2006			
K 4.1	TO PROVIDE 2007 RATE BASE		10
K 4.2	TO PROVIDE THE SIZE OF THE STORAGE ASSET FOR 2007		10
K 4.3	TO PROVIDE THE SIZE OF THE STORAGE ASSET FOR 2007 THAT IS ASSOCIATED WITH EXFRANCHISE CUSTOMERS; TO INDICATE BY WHAT BASIS OF ALLOCATION THAT NUMBER WAS DERIVED		10
K 4.4	TO PROVIDE CHRONOLOGY OF THE IMPROVEMENTS TO DELIVERABILITY IN THE LAST 20 YEARS		19
K 4.5	TO PROVIDE THE ADDITIONAL VOLUMES AND AVERAGE PRICES RELATED TO K2.3		151
K 4.6:	TO PROVIDE COMMENTARY ON WHETHER THE PHRASE "SERVICE PROVIDER COSTS AND RETURN MAY BECOME AN ISSUE" SHOWN ON PAGE 16 OF CEA'S REPLY EVIDENCE IS CONSISTENT WITH THE AFFILIATE RELATIONSHIPS CODE	June 29, 2006	194
K 4.7	TO PROVIDE THE ALLOWED RETURN ON EQUITY RATE FOR DUKE'S FERC-REGULATED U.S. ENTITIES THAT PROVIDE STORAGE SERVICES	June 28, 2006	215

NO.	DESCRIPTION	RESPONSE FILED	PG
June 29, 2006			
K 5.1	TO CONFIRM WHETHER CONTRACT WAS FILED BETWEEN UNION AND MARKET HUB PARTNERS		3
K 5.2	TO PROVIDE LIST OF STORAGE OPERATORS AND VOLUMES THEY PURCHASE OR CONTACT PERSON AT OPERATOR IF VOLUME INFORMATION UNAVAILABLE		5
K 5.3	TO PROVIDE FERC ISSUED UNBUNDLING ORDERS FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS THE THREE DIFFERENT APPROACHES DISCUSSED BY MR. REED		182
June 30, 2006			
K 6.1	TO PROVIDE A BREAKOUT OF THE GROSS MARGIN BETWEEN STORAGE AND NON- STORAGE		78