Filed: March 29, 2008 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1

PROJECT COSTS, ECONOMICS AND OTHER PUBLIC INTEREST CONSIDERATIONS

3

1

2

5 This Exhibit describes the costs of the proposed facilities and the economics of the

6 project including the economic feasibility and rate impacts. It also includes other public

7 interest considerations.

8

9 Under the OEB Act, 1998, "public interest" is defined to mean the interest of consumers

with respect to prices and the adequacy, reliability and quality of electricity service.

11 Consumers are defined as those who use electricity that was not self-generated for their

own consumption.

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 2 Page 1 of 5

PROJECT COSTS

2

1

3 The total estimated capital cost for the project, including overheads and an allowance for

funds used during construction ("AFUDC"), is summarized as follows:

5

Table 1 Total Project Costs (Lines & Stations)

	Estimated Costs (\$'000's)
Preliminary Engineering & Studies	\$ 15,000
Station and Telecommunications Facilities	\$ 65,000
Transmission Line Facilities	\$555,000
Total Cost* * Total Cost includes carrying costs which could be avoided if the costs we incurred, consistent with the company's proposal in its Transmission rate.	C .

789

6

STATION WORK

10 11

The estimated costs for station work are detailed in Table 2. These cost estimates include material, construction, engineering, commissioning, contingencies for unforeseen costs, allowance for funds used during construction (interest) and Hydro One overheads.

13 14

Filed: March 29, 2007

EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 2 Page 2 of 5

Table 2 **Cost of Station Work**

	Estimated Cost
	(\$'000's)
Material	33,300
Construction	11,200
Engineering & Project Management	4,900
Land	
Commissioning	1,800
Contingencies	5,000
Cost before Overheads and AFUDC	\$ 56,200
Overheads	6,800
AFUDC	5,000
Total Station Cost*	\$ 68,000
Total Station Cost includes cost of preliminary engineering and studies	

1 2

4

Table 3 **Cost of Station Work**

Estimated	Cost
(\$'00	0's)

Breakers, Switches	\$39,000
Protection & Control Equipment and Telecom	\$8,000
Other *	\$15,000
Contingency	\$6,000

^{*(}includes engineering, civil, structures, mechanical, misc. materials, commissioning)

\$ 68,000 **Total Station Cost**

An alternative view of the station work, by major component, is provided in Table 3. 3

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050

Exhibit B
Tab 4
Schedule 2

Page 3 of 5

LINE WORK

2

1

- The estimated costs for line work are detailed in Table 4. These cost estimates include
- 4 material, construction, engineering, contingencies for unforeseen costs, allowance for
- 5 funds used during construction (interest) and Hydro One overheads.

6

Table 4 Cost of Line Work

	Estimated Cost (\$'000')
Material	\$ 218,000
Construction (including commissioning)	76,000
Engineering & Project Management	16,000
Land	125,000
Contingencies	28,000
Cost before Overheads and AFUDC	\$ 463,000
Overheads	54,000
AFUDC	50,000
Total Line Cost*	\$ 567,000

^{*} Total Line Cost includes cost of preliminary engineering and studies

7 8

RISKS AND CONTINGENCIES

10

- As with most projects, there is some risk associated with estimating costs. Hydro One has recognized these risks in the project cost estimates. The estimates include an allowance for contingencies, the assessment of which is based on past experience and addresses a number of risks such as:
- Land costs variability;
- Timely approvals;
- Material differences to the project arising from the EA or other approvals;
- Poor or contaminated soil conditions;
- Unexpected site drainage requirements;
- Adverse weather conditions;

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 2 Page 4 of 5

- Construction equipment failures;
- Unavailability of some circuit outages when required;
- Design changes to accommodate the needs of other users on affected lands, where
 appropriate;
- Conflicts with pipelines that parallel the proposed facilities; and,
- Aboriginal interests.

8 COSTING PROCESSES

9

The costs of the proposed facilities have been estimated using industry-standard

processes which ensure costs are appropriately estimated using current information.

12 These processes include benchmarking against similar projects, preparation of a

procurement plan that evaluates the risks of fluctuating commodity prices and the

application of escalation rates over the life cycle of the project in accordance with

accepted industry practices.

16 17

18

19

20

14

7

Approximately 72% of the total cost before overheads and AFUDC will be subject to

public tendering, competitive bidding processes or market valuation. This amount is

composed of materials (\$251M) and land (\$125M). The remaining 28% of the total cost

is accounted for largely by labour.

21

22

COSTS OF COMPARABLE PROCESSES

23

24 Per the OEB EB-2006-0170 Filing Requirements the costs of comparable projects are

shown in Table 5 below. The main driver for the increase in cost of the Bruce to Milton

line relative to the comparable projects is inflation. The comparable projects were

constructed in the early to mid-1990's.

28

26

Filed: March 29, 2007

EB-2007-0050

Exhibit B

Tab 4 Schedule 2

Page 5 of 5

Table 5
Costs of Comparable Projects

Bruce x **Cherrywood TS** Lennox TS x Bruce x x Claireville TS Milton SS **Bowmanville TS** Longwood TS (estimated) (actual) (actual) (actual) **Project** 2 x 500 kV 2 x 500 kV 2 x 500 kV 2 x 500 kV V1 type towers V1 type towers V1 type towers V1 type towers 585 kcmil 585 kcmil 585 kcmil 585 kcmil Type 179.0 km Length (km) 46.0 km178.0 km 186 km In-Service 2011-09-30 Date 1993-02-26 1994-11-01 1990-07-01 \$420,000,000 Total Cost* \$202,0000,000 \$81,400,000 \$218,000,000

^{*} Does not include station work or property cost.

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 3 Page 1 of 4

PROJECT ECONOMICS

In the following sections, the economic impact of the project is assessed from a transmission system perspective. The project is not designed to generate additional transmission revenues but has as its principal purposes allowing network access for additional committed and potential wind generation in the Bruce area and restoring the transmission network load transfer capability out of the Bruce Power Complex. These purposes support the provincial off-coal and generation supply diversity objectives, respectively.

Under the OEB's IPSP Filing Guidelines (EB-2006-0207, p. 9), the economic prudence of specific generation projects that were the subject of governmental procurement or OPA procurement prescribed by Ministerial directive issued prior to the date of the approval of the IPSP, such as the Bruce nuclear refurbishment and Bruce area wind generation projects (see Exhibit B, Tab 6, Schedule 5, Appendices 8,9,10 and 12), will not be assessed as part of the IPSP review process. For that reason, the economic assessment of the Bruce to Milton transmission reinforcement project is focused on the transmission aspects of the plan and does not include in the analysis consideration of the relative impact on commodity costs (benefits or costs) as a result of locating additional generating capacity in the Bruce area.

2.0 ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY

The proposed line facilities will be included in the Network pool for rate-making purposes with no customer capital contribution required, consistent with the provisions of Section 6.3.5 of the Transmission System Code. A 25-year discounted cash flow analysis is provided in Exhibit B, Tab 4, Schedule 4, pages 1-2. The results show that based on the estimated costs of \$635M in initial capital plus assumed ongoing operating and maintenance costs, the reinforcement project will have a negative net present value of \$623M with a profitability index (PI) of nil. The analysis assumes zero incremental loads and network revenues

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 3 Page 2 of 4

attributable to the project for purposes of the assessment. As noted above, the project is not designed primarily to generate additional transmission revenues but instead supports generation supply diversity and off-coal objectives. Based on the given assumptions and results, the project will lead to an increase in the Network pool rate over the life of the project, relative to the current rate level. The project's year-by-year rate impacts are discussed in the following section.

Consistent with the requirements of sections 6.3.3 and 6.3.4 of the TSC, the costs of future connection facilities required for new wind generation capacity enabled by the Bruce reinforcement project will be the responsibility of the specific generator customers at the time of connection, and any required capital contribution will be assessed under the TSC's economic evaluation guidelines at that point. The costs of such connection facilities and any capital contributions related thereto have not been included in the costs for the Bruce reinforcement project shown at Exhibit B, Tab 4, Schedule 2.

3.0 RATE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The analysis of the Network pool rate impact has been carried out on the basis of Hydro One's transmission revenue requirement for the year 2000 including its approved capital structure, and the most recently approved Ontario Transmission Rate Schedules. The Line Connection pool and Transformation Connection pool revenue requirements would be unaffected by the new reinforcement, based on the criteria used to allocate transmission costs to the three pools as approved by the Board in its RP-1999-0044 decision.

Based on the proposed reinforcement's incremental cash flows, a net increase in the Network pool revenue requirement will result once this project is included in the transmission rate base upon in-service. Except for a slightly lower revenue requirement of \$39M incurred in the first year of in-service due to the half-year weighting of the added capital, annual revenue

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 3 Page 3 of 4

requirements ranging from \$57M to \$63M will be experienced over the first 25 years of the

2 project's service life. The revenue requirements begin to decline over time in line with the

depreciating asset base beyond the 15th year of in-service.

4

7

10

11

13

14

5 These changes will lead to an increase in the Network pool rate of between 8.1% and 9.2%,

relative to the current rate (again with a slightly lower increase in the first year of 5.7% due to

half-year capital weighting). All else being equal, as a result of this project the provincial

8 Network pool rate will increase by between 23 and 26 cents per kW, from the current level of

\$2.83 per month, to between \$3.06 and \$3.09 per month over those years (and 16 cents in the

first year, to \$2.99 per month). After year 15 in 2025, as the revenue requirements fall, the

rate impact will similarly begin to decline (though with a lag given the 2 decimal point

rounding of the rate). The detailed analysis illustrating the calculation of the incremental

revenue requirement and rate impact over the first 25 years of the project's life is provided in

Exhibit B, Tab 2, Schedule 4, pages 3-4.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The rate impacts discussed above would be increased slightly (by approximately 10 basis

points on the Network pool rate) if the forecast 2008 provincial network pool rate based on

Hydro One Transmission's EB-2006-0501 rate application is used. The forecast rate and

charge determinants are contained in Interrogatory Response J-1-169 in that proceeding. The

rate impact analysis using the 2008 network rate also includes Hydro One Transmission's

requested Return on Equity of 10.5% and 40% equity base. The analysis is filed in Exhibit B,

Tab 4, Schedule 4, pages 5-6.

23

24

25

The above analyses (DCF and rate impact) include zero incremental network load based on a

Hydro One long-term forecast which assumes flat load growth in peak demand for the

network pool after mandated provincial CDM reductions over the 25-year evaluation period.

27 As noted above, the primary purpose and benefit of the project is to provide generation

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 3 Page 4 of 4

diversity and support the government off-coal program, rather than to provide an overall

2 capacity increase to the provincial transmission network.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

In order to assess the impact of the proposed facilities on end-use residential consumers of electricity in Ontario, the transmission component of a typical residential customer bill has been approximated. For a typical residential customer in Ontario, the transmission component of the delivered cost of electricity is approximately 8% of the total energy bill and the network portion is about 58% of the total transmission bill. Accordingly, as shown in the table below, the impact of the proposed facilities on the delivered cost of electricity for the typical Ontario residential customer consuming 1000 kWh per month would be approximately 0.41% or \$5.88 a year. This impact does not include any consideration of the effect on commodity costs as a result of providing access to generation resources in the Bruce area.

13 14

Impact on Typical Residential Customer

A. Typical monthly bill (12¢ per kWh x 1,000 kWh per month)	\$120 per month
B. Transmission component of monthly bill (A x 8%)	\$9.60 per month
C. Network Pool share of Transmission component (B x 58%)	\$5.60 per month
D. Average Impact on Network Pool Provincial Uniform Rate over 25 year period	8.8%
E. Increase in Network Pool share of Transmission component (C x D)	\$0.49 per month or \$5.88 per year
F. Net increase on typical residential customer bill (E / A)	0.41%

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 4 Page 1 of 7

SUMMARY OF DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW CALCULATIONS

Facility Name:		Iton Reinforcement Project												
Scope:	500kV Line	and related station modifi	cations											
	Month Year	In-Service Date Dec-31 <u>2011</u>	< Dec-31 2012	Project year en Dec-31 <u>2013</u> 2	ded - annu Dec-31 <u>2014</u> 3	ualized from In-9 Dec-31 2015 4	Service Da Dec-31 2016 5	ate Dec-31 <u>2017</u> 6	Dec-31 2018	Dec-31 2019 8	Dec-31 2020 9	Dec-31 2021	Dec-31 2022	Dec-31 2023
Revenue & Expense Forecast Load Forecast (MW) Rate Applied (\$/kW/Month) Gross Revenue - \$M OM&A Costs - \$M Ontario Capital Tax and Municipal Tax - \$M Net Revenue/(Costs) before taxes - \$M Income Taxes (incl. LCT) Operating Cash Flow (after taxes) - \$M	Cumulative F	V @	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.4) (10.8) 11.0 0.2	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.4) (10.8) 17.6 6.8	0.0 <u>2.83</u> 0.0 (6.4) (4.4) (10.7) <u>16.5</u> <u>5.7</u>	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.4) (10.7) 15.5 4.8	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.3) (10.7) 14.5 3.8	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.3) (10.7) 13.7 3.0	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.3) (10.6) 12.9 2.2	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.3) (10.6) 12.1 1.5	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.3) (10.6) 11.5 0.9	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.2) (10.6) 10.9 0.3	0.0 2.83 0.0 (6.4) (4.2) (10.6) 10.3 (0.3)	9.8
PV Operating Cash Flow (after taxes) - \$M (A)	5.87% 9.3		0.2	6.3	<u>5.0</u>	3.9	3.0	2.2	1.5	<u>1.0</u>	0.5	0.2	(0.2)	(0.4)
Capital Expenditures - \$M Upfront - capital cost before overheads & AFUD - Overheads - AFUDC Total upfront capital expenditures On-going capital expenditures PV On-going capital expenditures Total capital expenditures Total capital expenditures **Year Total Capital Expenditure	С	(519.2 (61.7 (54.1 (635.0) 	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PV Working Capital - \$M PV Capital (after taxes) - \$M (B	(632.0)	(0.2) (632.0)	='											
Cumulative PV Cash Flow (after taxes) - \$M (A) + (B)	(622.7)	(632.0)	(631.8)	(625.5)	(620.5)	<u>(616.6)</u>	<u>(613.7)</u>	<u>(611.5)</u>	(609.9)	(608.9)	(608.4)	(608.2)	<u>(608.4)</u>	(608.8)
Discounted Cash Flow Summary (Based on Economic Study Horizon - Years):				25.0										
Discount Rate - %	Before			5.87% After		Impact of			Start Date:				1-Jan-09	
	Contributi \$M	<u>on</u>		Contribution \$M		Contribution \$M			In-Service	Date:			31-Dec-11	
PV Incremental Revenue PV Incremental OM&A Costs PV Ontario Capital Tax and Municipal Tax PV Income Taxes and LCT PV CCA Tax Shield	0.0 (84.5) (56.8) 51.1 102.8			0.0 (84.5) (56.8) 51.1 102.8					Payback Y	ear:			N/A	-
	635.0) 0.0 (635.0) 0.0 (0.0) (0.2) (622.7)	_	(635.0) 0.0	(635.0) 0.0 0.0 (0.2) (622.7)	-	N/A			No. of year	s required	for payback	C:	N/A	
Profitability Index*	0.0		=	0.0	=									

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 4 Page 2 of 7

Date:	24-Jan-07
Project #	

SUMMARY OF DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW CALCULATIONS

Facility Name:	Bruce to Milton Re	Bruce to Milton Reinforcement Project												
Scope:	500kV Line and re	500kV Line and related station modifications												
	Month	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-31	Dec-
	Year	2024 13	2025 14	2026 15	2027 16	2028 17	2029 18	2030 19	2031 20	2032 21	2033 22	2034 23	2035 24	203 25
Revenue & Expense Forecast		13	14	15	10	17	10	19	20	21	22	23	24	20
Load Forecast (MW)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Rate Applied (\$/kW/Month)		2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2.83	2
Gross Revenue - \$M		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.83 0.0	0.0	0.0	2.83 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	_
OM&A Costs - \$M		(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(6.4)	(
Ontario Capital Tax and Municipal Tax - \$M		(4.2)	(4.2)	(4.2)	(4.2)	(4.2)	(4.2)	(4.2)	(4.1)	(4.1)	(4.1)	(4.1)	(4.1)	(
let Revenue/(Costs) before taxes - \$M		(10.6)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(10.5)	(1
Income Taxes (incl. LCT)		9.3	8.8	8.4	<u>8.1</u>	7.7	7.4	7.1	6.8	6.6	6.4	6.2	6.0	
Operating Cash Flow (after taxes) - \$M		(1.3)	(1.7)	(2.1)	(2.5)	(2.8)	(3.1)	(3.4)	(3.7)	(3.9)	(4.1)	(4.3)	(4.5)	(
PV Operating Cash Flow (after taxes) - \$M (A)		(0.6)	(0.8)	(0.9)	(1.0)	(1.1)	(1.1)	(1.2)	(1.2)	(1.2)	(1.2)	(1.2)	(1.2)	(
Capital Expenditures - \$M														
Upfront - capital cost before overheads & AFUDC														
- Overheads														
- AFUDC														
Total upfront capital expenditures														
On-going capital expenditures PV On-going capital expenditures		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total capital expenditures - \$M														
PV Proceeds on disposal of assets - \$M														
PV CCA Residual Tax Shield - \$M														
PV Working Capital - \$M														
V Capital (after taxes) - \$M (B														
V dupital (arter taxes) - vivi														

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B

Tab 4 Schedule 4 Page 3 of 7

Revenue Requirement and Network Pool Rate Impact using existing Network pool rate as the base year

Bruce to Milton Reinforcement Project		Project YE 31-Dec	31-Dec	31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec
Calculation of Incremental Revenue Requirement (\$000)		2012 1	2013 2	2014 3	2015 4	2016 5	2017 6	2018 7	2019 8	2020 9	2021 10	2022 11	2023 12
In-service date Capital Cost Less: Capital Contribution Requirec Net Capital	31-Dec-11 635,000 (0) 635,000												
Average Rate Base		314,164	624,992	618,319	611,647	604,975	598,302	591,630	584,958	578,285	571,613	564,941	558,269
Incremental OM&A Costs Ontario Capital Tax	1.0% 0.285%	6,350 461	6,350 433	6,350 407	6,350 383	6,350 361	6,350 340	6,350 321	6,350 304	6,350 288	6,350 274	6,350 260	6,350 248
Grants in Lieu of Municipal tax Depreciation Interest and Return on Rate Base Income Tax Provision Large Corporations Tax	0.63% 1.4% 7.06% 36.12% 0.000%	3,970 6,672 22,176 (686)	3,970 6,672 44,117 (4,322)	3,970 6,672 43,646 (2,749)	3,970 6,672 43,175 (1,313)	3,970 6,672 42,704 (4)	3,970 6,672 42,233 1,189	3,970 6,672 41,762 2,276	3,970 6,672 41,291 3,264	3,970 6,672 40,820 4,161	3,970 6,672 40,349 4,976	3,970 6,672 39,878 5,714	3,970 6,672 39,407 6,381
REVENUE REQUIREMENT PRE-TAX		38,944	57,220	58,296	59,237	60,053	60,755	61,351	61,851	62,262	62,591	62,844	63,028
Incremental Revenue		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFICIENCY/(DEFICIENCY)		(38,944)	(57,220)	(58,296)	(59,237)	(60,053)	(60,755)	(61,351)	(61,851)	(62,262)	(62,591)	(62,844)	(63,028)
Network Pool Revenue Requirement including sufficiency/(deficiency Network MW Network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month) Increase/(Decrease) in Network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month), relative to be	Base Year 705,224 248,775 2.83 ase yea	744,169 248,775 2.99 0.16	762,445 248,775 3.06 0.23	763,520 248,775 3.07 0.24	764,461 248,775 3.07 0.24	765,277 248,775 3.08 0.25	765,979 248,775 3.08 0.25	766,576 248,775 3.08 0.25	767,075 248,775 3.08 0.25	767,486 248,775 3.09 0.26	767,815 248,775 3.09 0.26	768,068 248,775 3.09 0.26	768,252 248,775 3.09 0.26
RATE IMPACT relative to base year		5.7%	8.1%	8.5%	8.5%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%

Assumptions		
Ontario Capital Tax	0.285%	2007 Ontario capital tax rate
Grants in Lieu of Municipal tax	0.63%	Transmission system average
Depreciation	1.4%	Reflects 74 year weighted average service life for towers, conductors and station equipment, excluding lan
nterest and Return on Rate Base	7.06%	Includes OEB-approved ROE of 9.88% on common equity and 4% on preferred equity, 5.47% forecast cost of debt and 36/4/60
Income Tax Provision	36.12%	common/oref/deht solit 2007 federal and provincial corporate income tax rate including surtar
_arge Corporations Tax	0.000%	2007 large corporations tax rate
Capital Cost Allowance	8%	100% Class 47 assets (formerly Class 1)
Incremental OM&A	1%	1% of Initial Capital per year

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 4 Page 4 of 7

Revenue Requirement and Network Pool Rate Impact using existing Network pool rate as the base year

Bruce to Milton Reinforcement Project		Project YE 31-Dec	31-Dec											
Calculation of Incremental Revenue Requirement (\$000)		2024 13	2025 14	2026 15	2027 16	2028 17	2029 18	2030 19	2031 20	2032 21	2033 22	2034 23	2035 24	2036 25
In-service date Capital Cost Less: Capital Contribution Required Net Capital	31-Dec-11 635,000 (0) 635,000													
Average Rate Base		551,596	544,924	538,252	531,579	524,907	518,235	511,562	504,890	498,218	491,546	484,873	478,201	471,529
Incremental OM&A Costs Ontario Capital Tax	1.0% 0.285%	6,350 237	6,350 226	6,350 217	6,350 208	6,350 200	6,350 192	6,350 185	6,350 179	6,350 173	6,350 168	6,350 163	6,350 158	6,350 154
Grants in Lieu of Municipal tax Depreciation Interest and Return on Rate Base Income Tax Provision Large Corporations Tax	0.63% 1.4% 7.06% 36.12% 0.000%	3,970 6,672 38,936 6,984	3,970 6,672 38,465 7,527	3,970 6,672 37,994 8,015	3,970 6,672 37,523 8,452	3,970 6,672 37,052 8,844	3,970 6,672 36,581 9,192	3,970 6,672 36,110 9,502	3,970 6,672 35,639 9,775	3,970 6,672 35,168 10,015	3,970 6,672 34,697 10,224	3,970 6,672 34,226 10,405	3,970 6,672 33,755 10,561	3,970 6,672 33,284 10,692
REVENUE REQUIREMENT PRE-TAX		63,148	63,210	63,218	63,175	63,088	62,958	62,789	62,585	62,348	62,081	61,786	61,466	61,122
Incremental Revenue		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFICIENCY/(DEFICIENCY)		(63,148)	(63,210)	(63,218)	(63,175)	(63,088)	(62,958)	(62,789)	(62,585)	(62,348)	(62,081)	(61,786)	(61,466)	(61,122)
Network Pool Revenue Requirement including sufficiency/(deficiency Network MW Network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month) Increase/(Decrease) in Network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month), relative to be sufficiently network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month), relative to be sufficiently network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month).	248,775 2.83	768,373 248,775 3.09 0.26	768,434 248,775 3.09 0.26	768,442 248,775 3.09 0.26	768,400 248,775 3.09 0.26	768,312 248,775 3.09 0.26	768,182 248,775 3.09 0.26	768,013 248,775 3.09 0.26	767,809 248,775 3.09 0.26	767,572 248,775 3.09 0.26	767,305 248,775 3.08 0.25	767,011 248,775 3.08 0.25	766,690 248,775 3.08 0.25	766,347 248,775 3.08 0.25
RATE IMPACT relative to base year		9.2%	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%	9.2%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050

Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 4

Page 5 of 7

REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND NETWORK POOL RATE IMPACT

REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND NETWORK POOL RATE IMPACT USING FORECAST 2008 NETWORK POOL RATE AS THE BASE YEAR

Bruce to Milton Reinforcement Project		Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec	Project YE 31-Dec
Calculation of Incremental Revenue Requirement (\$000)		2012 1	2013	2014 3	2015 4	2016 5	2017 6	2018 7	2019 8	2020 9	2021 10	2022 11	2023 12
In-service date Capital Cost Less: Capital Contribution Requirec Net Capital	31-Dec-11 635,000 (0) 635,000												
Average Rate Base		314,164	624,992	618,319	611,647	604,975	598,302	591,630	584,958	578,285	571,613	564,941	558,269
Incremental OM&A Costs Ontario Capital Tax	1.0% 0.285%	6,350 461	6,350 433	6,350 407	6,350 383	6,350 361	6,350 340	6,350 321	6,350 304			6,350 260	6,350 248
Grants in Lieu of Municipal tax Depreciation Interest and Return on Rate Base Income Tax Provision Large Corporations Tax	0.63% 1.4% 7.48% 36.12% 0.000%	3,970 6,672 23,510 457	3,970 6,672 46,769 (2,049)	3,970 6,672 46,270 (500)	3,970 6,672 45,771 911	3,970 6,672 45,271 2,196	6,672 44,772	3,970 6,672 44,273 4,427	3,970 6,672 43,774 5,391	6,672	6,672 42,775	3,970 6,672 42,276 7,768	3,970 6,672 41,776 8,411
REVENUE REQUIREMENT PRE-TAX		41,420	62,146	63,169	64,057	64,821	65,470	66,014	66,461	66,819	67,096	67,296	67,428
Incremental Revenue		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFICIENCY/(DEFICIENCY)		(41,420)	(62,146)	(63,169)	(64,057)	(64,821) (65,470)	(66,014)	(66,461)) (66,819	(67,096)	(67,296)	(67,428)
Network Pool Revenue Requirement including sufficiency/(deficienc Network MW Network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month) Increase/(Decrease) in Network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month), relative to	255	947 786,367	807,093 255,597 3.16 0.25	808,116 255,597 3.16 0.25	809,004 255,597 3.17 0.26	809,768 255,597 3.17 0.26	255,597 3.17	810,961 255,597 3.17 0.26	811,408 255,597 3.17 0.26	255,597 3.18	255,597 3.18	812,243 255,597 3.18 0.27	812,375 255,597 3.18 0.27
RATE IMPACT relative to base year		5.8%	8.6%	8.6%	8.9%	8.9%	6 8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	6 9.3°	6 9.3%	9.3%	9.3%

Assumptions		
Ontario Capital Tax Grants in Lieu of Municipal tax Depreciation Interest and Return on Rate Base	0.285% 0.63% 1.4% 7.48%	2007 Ontario capital tax rate Transmission system average Reflects 74 year weighted average service life for towers, conductors and station equipment, excluding lan Includes OEB-approved ROE of 10.5% on common equity and 4% on preferred equity, 5.47% forecast cost of debt and 40/4/56
Income Tax Provision Large Corporations Tax Capital Cost Allowance Incremental OM&A	36.12% 0.000% 8% 1%	common/oref/debt split 2007 federal and provincial corporate income tax rate including surta; 2007 large corporations tax rate 100% Class 47 assets (formerly Class 1) 1% of Initial Capital per year

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 4 Page 6 of 7

REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND NETWORK POOL RATE IMPACT USING FORECAST 2008 NETWORK POOL RATE AS THE BASE YEAR

Bruce to Milton Reinforcement Project		Project YE 31-Dec												
•	•	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036
Calculation of Incremental Revenue Requirement (\$000)		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
In-service date	31-Dec-11													
Capital Cost	635,000													
Less: Capital Contribution Requirec	(0)													
Net Capital	635,000													
Average Rate Base		551,596	544,924	538,252	531,579	524,907	518,235	511,562	504,890	498,218	491,546	484,873	478,201	471,529
Incremental OM&A Costs	1.0%	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350	6,350
Ontario Capital Tax	0.285%	237	226	217	208	200	192	185	179	173	168	163	158	154
Grants in Lieu of Municipal tax	0.63%	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970	3,970
Depreciation	1.4%	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672	6,672
Interest and Return on Rate Base	7.48%	41,277	40,778	40,278	39,779	39,280	38,781	38,281	37,782	37,283	36,783	36,284	35,785	35,285
Income Tax Provision	36.12%	8,990	9,508	9,972	10,386	10,753	11,077	11,362	11,611	11,827	12,012	12,169	12,300	12,407
Large Corporations Tax	0.000%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REVENUE REQUIREMENT PRE-TAX		67,496	67,505	67,460	67,365	67,224	67,042	66,821	66,564	66,275	65,955	65,608	65,235	64,839
Incremental Revenue		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFICIENCY/(DEFICIENCY)		(67,496)	(67,505)	(67,460)	(67,365)	(67,224)	(67,042)	(66,821)	(66,564)	(66,275)	(65,955)	(65,608)	(65,235)	(64,839)
Network Pool Revenue Requirement including sufficiency/(deficiency		812,443	812,452	812,407	812,312	812,172	811,989	811,768	811,511	811,222	810,902	810,555	810,182	809,786
Network MW Network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month)	255,597 2.91	255,597 3.18	255,597 3.17	255,597 3.17	255,597 3.17	255,597 3.17	255,597 3.17	255,597 3.17						
Increase/(Decrease) in Network Pool Rate (\$/kw/month), relative to be		0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•													
RATE IMPACT relative to base year		9.3%	9.3%	9.3%	9.3%	9.3%	9.3%	9.3%	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 4 Page 7 of 7

Hydro One Networks -- Transmission Connection Economic Evaluation Model 2007 Parameters and Assumptions

Transmission rates are based on current OEB-approved uniform provincial transmission rates.

Monthly Rate (\$)	oer kW)
Network	2.83
Transformation	1.50
Line	0.82

Grants in lieu of Municipal tax (% of up-front capital

expenditure, a proxy for property value):

0.63%

Based on Transmission system average

Ontario Capital tax based on currently enacted rates, per TSC Appendix 5

(% of UCC, a proxy for taxable capital):

0.285%

2007 provincial rate

Overhead rate on capital:

Varies from year to year; latest forecast as follows:

2007	14.0%
2008	13.0%
2009	10.0%
2010	10.0%
2011	12.0%

Fully allocated overheads per TSC section 6.5.2 (c) using Hydro One Networks forecast Transmission capitalized overhead rate

AFUDC rate:

Varies from year to year; latest forecast as follows:

2007	5.9%
2008	5.8%
2009	5.8%
2010	5.8%
2011	5.7%

Based on Hydro One Networks Transmission forecast embedded cost of debt. Charged on construction work in progress to in-service date of capital.

Income taxes (based on currently enacted rates, per TSC Appendix 5):

Basic Federal Tax Rate (before surtax) -% of taxable income:

2007 21.00% Current rate

Federal Surtax - % of taxable income:

2007 1.12% Current rate

Ontario corporation income tax -

% of taxable income:

2007 14.00%

2007

2007

Current rate

Current rate

Large Corporation Tax - % of UCC (a proxy for taxable

After-tax Discount rate:

0.000% Current rate

Capital Cost Allowance Rate, Class 47:

* Rate rhange retroactively enacted in 2006 to 8% for assets added after Feb. 22/05; formerly Class 1

> 5.87% 2007

8.0%

Based on OEB-approved ROEs of 9.88% on common equity and 5.5% on preferred equity, forecast cost of debt of 5.47%, 36/4/60 common/pref/debt split, and current enacted income tax rate of

36.12%

Filed: March 29, 2007 EB-2007-0050 Exhibit B Tab 4 Schedule 5 Page 1 of 1

OTHER PUBLIC INTEREST CONSIDERATIONS

2

1

1.0 ADEQUACY, RELIABILITY, AND QUALITY IMPACTS

4

- As confirmed by IESO's SIA as described in Exhibit B, Tab 6, Schedule 3, Hydro One's
- 6 CIA as described in Exhibit B, Tab 6, Schedule 3, and the OPA assessment as described
- 7 in Exhibit B, Tab 6, Schedule 5, Appendix 1, the new line facilities will improve the
- 8 adequacy, reliability, and quality of electric service to consumers and will not adversely
- 9 impact on the system or other transmission customers.

10

11

- The new line facilities will ensure that adequate generation utilizing the committed and
- forecast Bruce area generation is available to the rest of the province and they will avoid
- the potential for increased congestion in the Bruce area. The new line will also improve
- the reliability and quality of energy supply by providing an additional transmission path
- for Bruce area generation to be delivered to Ontario consumers.

16

17

2.0 ADDITIONAL SYSTEM BENEFITS

18

- As stated in the IESO's SIA, the proposed facilities also provide an additional system
- benefit of a reduction in system losses in the order of 119 MW.