Distributor Diversity: Issues and Options for IRM3

Larry Kaufmann, *Partner* Pacific Economics Group

> Toronto, Ontario November 9, 2007





Introduction

IRM3 will apply to nearly 90 Ontario distributors that differ in important ways

Company size Customer and volume growth Customer/population density Capital investment needs (e.g system age, replacement cycles) Ownership Efficiency at the Outset of IRM3

These diverse conditions can impact companies' cost and revenue growth differently



Introduction (Con't)



These sources of diversity can be handled in design of IRM3 plans

Different X factors

Tailored productivity factors Individual companies Groups of companies

Tailored consumer dividends Individual companies Groups of companies



Introduction (Con't)

Different inflation factors

Economy-wide inflation factor Industry specific inflation factor

Capital investment mechanisms

Earnings sharing mechanisms

Off-ramps

This presentation will discuss some options for dealing with distributor diversity issues





Table of Contents

- 1. Background: Calibrating X Factors (Inflation, TFP and all that)
- 2. Distributor Diversity and Productivity Factors
- 3. Distributor Diversity and Consumer Dividends
- 4. Distributor Diversity and Inflation Factors
- 5. Capital investment mechanisms
- 6. Earnings sharing mechanisms and offramps
- 7. Menus and modules
- 8. Next steps





Basics of X Factor Calibration



In index-based PBR plans, maximum allowed rates are adjusted by a price cap index (PCI) that contains an inflation factor, X factor and Z factor

- In a typical North American price cap filing, the PCI conforms to the competitive market paradigm
- Logic: If an industry earns a competitive return, % change Prices = % change Unit Cost
- >>> PCI is calibrated to track the industry's unit cost trend

% change Unit Cost = % change Input Prices - % change TFP

TFP = *Total Factor Productivity*





Explains key considerations in North American index-based PBR proceedings

What is TFP trend of industry?

Does inflation measure track industry input price trend?

Importantly, actual form of X factor – and required TFP information- depends on the selected inflation measure





Three kinds of inflation measures are consistent with the paradigm and used in approved indexing plans

Economy-Wide

Industry-Specific

Peer Price





Economy-wide inflation measures use measures of aggregate inflation in the economy for inflation factor P

Examples:

GDP-IPI GDPPI CPI Precedents: IRM2 Boston Gas Bay State Gas Berkshire Gas Union Gas Central Maine Power Southern California Edison





Advantages: Simplicity Familiarity of inflation measures

Disadvantages:

Economy-wide inflation may not be a good measure of input price inflation for the utility industry

Could lead to unreasonable "input price differentials"

More complexity in X factor formula





Industry-Specific Inflation Measures

Inflation measure tailored to reflect inflation in input prices used in utility industry

Inflation is a weighted average in input price subindexes

e.g. inflation = 0.20 x growth PLabor + 0.20 x growth POther O&M + 0.60 x growth PCapital

Information on industry input price inflation available from both public (*e.g.* BLS for labor prices) and private (*e.g.* DRI) sources





Precedents

Ontario Power Distributors IRM1 Pacificorp-CA (bundled power) Southern California Gas San Diego Gas and Electric – gas distribution San Diego Gas and Electric – electric distribution





Designed to be a good measure of input price inflation for the utility industry

Reduces business risk (input price volatility or uncertainty of future input price trends)

Eliminates need for input price differential

Disadvantages: Lack of familiarity

Complexity



Peer Price Inflation Measures

Inflation based directly on change in prices for "peer utilities"

>>> direct application of competitive market paradigm

Precedent

National Grid - MA





Advantages:

Potentially simple

Could eliminate need for X factor completely >>trend in output prices already reflects industry productivity

Could reflect local conditions and reduce risk

Disadvantages:

Data may not be available

Selection of peer group may be controversial

May not reflect appropriate rate trends all utilities in Province





Most X-factors in approved *North American* price cap plans are *calibrated* to track industry total factor productivity TFP trend

Total Factor Productivity

TFP = Output/Input

TFP Growth = Changes in Output Quantity minus Changes in Input Quantity

Output quantity and input quantity often measured with indexing methods

Index-based TFP estimates also develop estimates of industry input price measures

TFP can also be estimated econometrically





Cost of Service Review: R=C

 $\underbrace{\% \Delta R^{Industry}}_{\text{Revenue}} = \underbrace{\% \Delta Y^{Industry}}_{\text{Output Quantity}} + \underbrace{\% \Delta P^{Industry}}_{\text{Output Price}}$

$$\underbrace{\% \Delta C^{Industry}}_{\text{Cost}} = \underbrace{\% \Delta X^{Industry}}_{\text{Input Quantity}} + \underbrace{\% \Delta W^{Industry}}_{\text{Input Price}}$$

 $\% \Delta R^{Industry} = \% \Delta C^{Industry}$

$$\Rightarrow \% \Delta P^{I} = \% \Delta W^{I} - (\% \Delta Y^{I} - \% \Delta X^{I})$$

$$\uparrow^{\uparrow}$$
Inflation factor X factor
(P) (X)



What if economy-wide inflation factor is used?

Same logic as for industry

$$\Rightarrow \% \Delta P^{Economy} = \% \Delta W^{Economy} - \% TFP^{Economy}$$

Add and subtract $\% \Delta P^{Economy}$ from previous indexing equation

$$\Rightarrow \% \Delta P^{I} = \% \Delta P^{E} - \% \Delta P^{E} + \% \Delta W^{I} - \% \Delta TFP^{I}$$



Substitute $\% \Delta P^E = \% \Delta W^E - \% TFP^E$ into one $\% \Delta P^E$

 $\Rightarrow \% \Delta P^{I} = \% \Delta P^{E} - (\% \Delta W^{E} - \% \Delta TFP^{E}) + \% \Delta W^{I} - \% \Delta TFP^{I}$

Simplify





Econometric techniques can also be used to decompose TFP growth into its various components

Time trend/technological change

Realization of economies of scale

Changes in business conditions Changes in customer density Changes in undergrounding System age and investment requirements

Changes in the efficiency of operations





Estimated impact of various "TFP drivers" can be used to project TFP growth going forward given estimates of expected quantitative changes in those TFP drivers

Precedents

Ontario Gas IR

Victoria gas rate review (PFP)

PEG also presented TFP decomposition evidence for the power distribution industry in Victoria





X-factors may also contain "stretch factor" aka "consumer dividend"

Basic Idea: Set X above industry TFP trend as benefit-sharing mechanism

Adds a third term to X factor formula, in addition to productivity and inflation differentials

In principle, value of consumer dividend can differ among companies to reflect differences in efficiency at outset of PBR plan and hence potential for TFP gains under the plan





Consumer Dividend Precedents

<u>Company</u>	<u>Industry</u>	<u>Value</u>
Boston Gas	Gas D	0.5%
Boston Gas-update	Gas D	0.3%
Bay State Gas	Gas D	0.4%
Union Gas	Gas D	0.5%
San Diego G&E	Gas D	0.55%
Union Gas	Gas D	0.5%
SoCalGas	Gas D	0.5%
Southern CalEdison	Elec D	0.5%
San Diego G&E	Elec D	0.55%
Ontario Distributors	Elec D	0.25%



Implications

Value for X factor depends on inflation factor

Value for X factor can be tailored to individual company conditions, and expected changes in values of those conditions over term of PBR plan

Value of X factor can incorporate different consumer dividends to reflect differences in company efficiency at outset of plan



Distributor Diversity and Productivity Factors



Use TFP decomposition to quantify impact of *changes in* different drivers of TFP *growth*

Output growth and economies of scale

Business condition variables

- Changes in customer density
- Changes in undergrounding
- Proxies for system age/capital investment needs



Distributor Diversity and Productivity Factors (Con't)



Econometric methods needed to quantify these TFP drivers

Some options for quantifying TFP drivers, and developing tailored productivity factors, in IRM3

Ontario power distribution database

US power distribution database



Distributor Diversity and Productivity Factors (Con't)



Econometric methods can in principle be used to develop tailored productivity factors for *each* distributor

Econometric methods can also be used to develop productivity factors for a *group* of distributors that are expected to have similar changes in TFP drivers over term of PBR plan

Possibilities

Cohorts identified in PEG's comparative cost work

Cohorts based on other TFP drivers (*e.g.* system age, past investment spending patterns)



Distributor Diversity and Consumer Dividends (Con't)



Econometric methods can also in principle be used to develop tailored consumer dividends for each distributor

Econometric methods can be used to benchmark the total cost efficiency of distributors

Such benchmarking measures can be developed in conjunction with the total cost econometric models that are needed to quantify TFP drivers

Econometric benchmarking with Ontario data

Econometric benchmarking with US data



Distributor Diversity and Consumer Dividends (Con't)

Better cost performers have lower consumer dividends

Worse cost performers have higher consumer dividends

Tailored consumer dividends can be developed by company e.g. dividend set to move each distributor to 75% percentile efficiency by a target date

Precedents for O&M benchmarking: UK power distribution Queensland power distribution





Distributor Diversity and Consumer Dividends (Con't)

Tailored consumer dividends can be developed for cohorts of companies that are identified to have similar efficiency levels

Precedent

New Zealand "thresholds" for power distribution





Distributor Diversity and Inflation Factors (Con't)



Different inflation measures can in principle be used for different[|] companies

>> industry specific inflation or GDP-IPI

Industry specific measure may be more appropriate for companies with more uncertainty in input price trends due to

expected worker retirement

labour shortages

construction cost pressures

Industry specific measures also better reflect changes in materials prices, exchange rates, and interest rates



Capital Investment Mechanisms

Capital investment needs may vary among companies

Wednesday's meeting discussed options for recovering capital investment

Tailored X factor can in principle reflect diversity in capital investment needs



Capital Investment Mechanisms (Con't)



Specific criteria may need to be satisfied for some companies to be allowed to use other capital investment mechanisms

Examples

Asset condition plan filed (part of CI proposal)

Projected change in rate base > K

Projected ROE < r

Others?



Earnings sharing mechanisms and Offramps



Earnings sharing mechanisms (ESMs) can be a "backstop" approach to dealing with diversity

>>> if not possible to craft an IR framework that reflects diversity in all company circumstances, ESMs can provide protection against extremely low or extremely high earnings

Offramps would allow a company to abandon PBR for a cost of service review in case of extreme earnings outcomes

However, ESMs and offramps would increase administrative burdens and regulatory costs



Menus and Modules



Another way to allow for diversity is to offer menus of options to distributors

Menu approach considered but rejected in IRM1 because the bases for the options were not well defined

But some menus of options can be constructed rigorously so that they are in principle neutral for ratepayers

Company choices from menus can then reflect diversity in conditions without disadvantaging customers





Next Steps

Stakeholder feedback

Expand/revise list of available distributor diversity issues?

Expand/revise list of available options for dealing with diversity?

Further or more detailed analysis of options that are worth exploring?

