

**MÉTIS NATION OF ONTARIO
REVISED ISSUES FOR PART III OF ISSUES LIST**

PART III – Aboriginal Peoples

Have all Aboriginal peoples been identified whose existing or asserted Aboriginal or treaty rights **stand to be affected** by the IPSP **and Procurement Process of the electricity projects it contemplates**, have appropriate consultations been conducted and, if necessary, have appropriate accommodations been made?¹

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Highlight

Do the IPSP and Procurement Process commit to appropriate consultation processes and accommodation measures with Aboriginal peoples to support the implementation of the IPSP and Procurement Process as well as the development of future iterations of the IPSP?²

Were the costs and/or impacts (i.e. sociological, environmental, financial, etc.) on existing or asserted Aboriginal or treaty rights of Aboriginal communities factored into the OPA's consideration and assessment of the IPSP's proposed electricity projects and in considering alternatives? If so, how was this done?³

¹ This is the MNO's proposed revisions to the OPA's proposed issue. It represents a consolidation of the MNO's proposed issues 1 & 6.

² This is a consolidation of MNO proposed issues 2, 3, 7.

³ The MNO supports the SON's proposed issues for "Considerations of Safety, Environmental Protection and Sustainability" and "Economic Prudence and Cost Effectiveness", as set out in P.5 and P.6 of the SON's submission. If the OEB adopts the SON's proposed issues framing, the MNO's proposed Issue #5 would no longer be required, since this issue is adequately addressed in the SON's proposal.