

Cost Responsibility for Generation Connections

**OEB Stakeholder Meeting
February 14, 2008**

Submission of Invenergy Wind Canada ULC

Mark Bell

John Rook, Q.C.

Invenergy Wind Canada ULC

Bennett Jones LLP

Invenergy Wind Canada ULC

- **Headquartered in Bolton, Ontario, with affiliates in UK and Poland**
- **Its parent is Invenergy LLC, a Chicago-based developer, owner and operator of clean energy facilities, including wind and natural gas, across North America and Europe**
- **5th most active wind developer in North America, with more than \$1.4 billion invested in wind power generation projects**
- **13 facilities representing 1,200 MW of wind energy projects in operation and under construction**
- **Recently concluded a \$1 billion purchase agreement for wind turbines with GE Energy for 2009**
- **In connection with wind energy generation, Invenergy has also developed the expertise to design and construct transmission infrastructure to support renewable energy development**
- **Current wind projects in Southern Ontario: Chatham-Kent, Wellington, Simcoe**
- **Wind projects on crown lands in Northern Ontario include Nipigon area, Thunder Bay, and James Bay**
- **Will be an active bidder in the OPA's 2000 MW renewable energy procurement**

Current Transmission Connection Cost Responsibility Policies

- **Relevant provisions of the Transmission System Code (the “TSC”)**
 - S.2: Definitions of “network facilities”, “connection facilities” and “line connection”
 - S.6: Cost allocation for electricity generators
 - Connections
 - Network upgrades
- **Renewable energy generation**
 - Its unique connection challenges
 - Implications of the current connection cost policies

Proposed Changes to the TSC

- **Best practice: The California model**
- **Revise section 2 of “Definitions”**
 - Introduce new definitions: “Location-constrained Renewable Energy” and “Enabler Lines”
 - Revise “Connection facilities” to exclude enabler lines
- **Introduce an alternative cost responsibility policy for enabler lines**
 - Initial transmission cost to be socialized
 - New cost allocation methodology for renewable energy generators
- **Revise cost allocation policies for network upgrades required by renewable energy resources**
 - Exemptions

Transmission Application Process

- **Current OEB Process for Transmission Projects**
 - Leave-to-construct applications by transmitters or generators
 - Disconnect between wind development and transmission capacity development
- **Best practice: The Texas model**
 - Collaborative and competitive processes
 - Designed to construct transmission facilities in advance of renewable energy development
- **Proposed Revisions**
 - Introduce an open and competitive process for renewable energy developers
 - to promote enabler lines
 - to apply for a leave to construct enabler lines