Information contained in working group notes represent the views of the individuals participating in the working group only, and in no way reflect official Ontario Energy Board position or opinion.

#### Minutes for Feb 15/16, 2006 - FINAL

February 15, 2006 February 16, 2006 ATTENDEES: **ATTENDEES:** 11:00am - 5:00pm

9:00am - 3:00pm

Sandy Smith Utilities Kingston (part time by phone) Darcy Hewgill Direct Energy

Karen Cooke **Direct Energy** Karen Cooke **Direct Energy** Enbridge Avery Rhijnsburger Avery Rhijnsburger Enbridge (by phone)

Jason Munroe Enbridge Jason Munroe Enbridge Kate Kelly Enbridge Kate Kelly Enbridge

Rick Kathuria **Energy Savings** Rick Kathuria **Energy Savings** Kitchener Utilities Loraine Baillargeon Kitchener Utilities Loraine Baillargeon

Mark Van Praet Union Gas Mark Van Praet Union Gas Chris Ripley Union Gas Chris Ripley **Union Gas** ExtenSys Tom Stark Tom Stark ExtenSys Russ Houldin OEB Russ Houldin OEB

#### **ADMINISTRATION**

Item	Discussion	Action Items
Review Minutes from Feb 8/9	<ul> <li>Minutes were accepted with further explanation under 'New Business' Point 6. 'General', first bullet "The intention is for parties to code for scenarios but not for all exceptions". This could also be explained as "The WG will not decide what to do with each exception, but each party should handle all anticipated exceptions in their own software."</li> </ul>	All to consider what the best way is to close transactions that are pending when a Vendor receives an SA-CPO Lost
	<ul> <li>Under 'New Business' Point 11. 'CPO Lost' – the minutes reflect the conclusion reached at the meeting, but further discussion took place that pending transactions will be closed off by each party. No final conclusion was reached on this point; to be resolved at a subsequent meeting.</li> </ul>	

ACTION ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS (IF APPLICABLE)		
Item	Discussion	Action Items

NEW BUSINESS		
Item	Discussion	Action Items & Prime
Transfer     Request	<ul> <li>IG reviewed</li> <li>Concluded that Transfer Request is transaction to use to change the Billing Method</li> <li>One more review needed for this transaction</li> </ul>	Darcy/Karen to update IG per discussion
2. Definition of 'alpha', 'numeric 'alpha numeric'	Need to define which characters are allowed (i.e. valid under Schema validation) for 'alpha', 'numeric' and 'alpha numeric'	Mark to find definitions for each
Transfer Accept     Reject	IGs reviewed     For Transfer Reject IG 'Parameter Name' in 'Additional Information' container changed to enumerated value	<ul> <li>Darcy/Karen to update IGs per discussion</li> <li>All to come up with list of enumerated values for 'Parameter Name'</li> </ul>

4.	Collision Matrix	<ul> <li>Collision matrix explained in preparation for discussion in two weeks</li> <li>Recognized that cell A6 should be "accept"</li> <li>Is "Additional Info" required for collision error rejects?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mark to update collision matrix</li> <li>All to ponder whether "Additional Info" needed</li> </ul>
5.	Termination of Service (TOS)	Documentation of transaction reviewed     In flow diagram "TOS Causes Pending Enrol to be Terminated" under 'Rules' #2. options presented still need to be decided. Options are:	<ul> <li>Mark to update documentation as per discussion</li> <li>Darcy to modify Drop IG for TOS</li> <li>Jason to report how change of forwarding address information to be updated after TOS is sent to Vendor</li> <li>All to consider how to clean up stranded transactions following TOS</li> </ul>
6.	Drop Request	<ul> <li>IG reviewed</li> <li>Decision that for a customer that is moving (in territory or out) that tells a Vendor, Vendor will send SA-CCL to Distributor, and Distributor will determine the type of move and send a CCL or TOS as appropriate (ref Item 8 below)</li> </ul>	Darcy/Karen to update IG per discussion
7.	Drop Accept, Reject	IGs reviewed	Darcy/Karen to update IGs per discussion

8. Status Advice	<ul> <li>IG reviewed</li> <li>Tentative decision to remove SA-CCL (Vendor to Distributor) (ref Item 6 above)</li> <li>Two alternatives discussed for structure of Status Advice "Status Information"         <ul> <li>Combine "Status Information" and "Additional Information" into one container with "Parameter Name" being enumerated and all "Status Informations" for one original transaction reference number nested in one SA transaction</li> <li>Combine "Status Information" and "Additional Information" into one container with all "Parameter Names" defined for each "Status Reason"</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Darcy/Karen to update IG with two versions – one for each alternative structure     All to consider whether SA-CCL should be deleted
9. Status of IGs	<ul> <li>Enrol Request, Accept, Reject IGs have been approved and distributed for internal review to be back with any comments by Feb 28</li> <li>Drop Request, Accept, Reject IGs approved and ready for distribution for internal comment when updated</li> <li>Transfer Request, Accept, Reject IGs approved and ready for distribution for internal comment when updated</li> </ul>	

NEXT MEETING		
Item	Discussion	Lead
Logistics	Dates/Times: Tuesday February 21, 2006 – 11:00am to 5:00pm     Wednesday February 22, 2006 – 9:00am to 5:00pm     Thursday February 23, 2006 – 9:00 am to 3:00pm	
	Location: Offices of OEB, 2300 Yonge St., Toronto, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor "Baby Board Room"	
	Conference Call Bridge 416-212-0400 Pass Code 6652#	
Minute Taker	Union Gas	

Agenda Items	Status Advice – review of two versions to decide how to structure SA
	Review of TOS transactions and documentation
	Discuss Vendor to Vendor switch
	Review of Change Consumer Information
	Review of Change Consumer Location