Information contained in working group notes represent the views of the individuals participating in the working group only, and in no way reflect official Ontario Energy Board position or opinion.

Minutes for Mar 27/28, 2006 – DRAFTFINAL (no changes)

ATTENDEES: March 27, 2006 09:00am – 5:00pm			ATTENDEES: March 28, 2006 9:00am – 4:00pm	
Darcy Hewgill Karen Cooke Jason Munroe Latif Nurani Tom Stark Loraine Baillargeon Mark Van Praet Chris Ripley Barb Robertson	Direct Energy Direct Energy Enbridge Energy Savings ExtenSys Kitchener Utilities Union Gas Union Gas OEB	Darcy Hewgill Karen Cooke Avery Rhijnsburger Jason Munroe Kate Kelly Latif Nurani Nola Ruzycki Tom Stark Loraine Baillargeon Mark Van Praet Chris Ripley Barb Robertson Scott Atkins	Direct Energy Direct Energy Enbridge Enbridge Energy Savings Energy Savings (9am-2pm) ExtenSys Kitchener Utilities Union Gas Union Gas OEB SPi Group	

ADMINISTRATION		
Item 1. Review Minutes from Mar 20/21 2006	 Discussion The minutes were accepted as is 	Action Items

ACTION ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS (IF APPLICABLE)		
Item	Discussion	Action Items

NEW BUSINESS			
Item	Discussion	Action Items & Prime	
1. Advisory Committee Conference Call	 Working group needs to finish all transactions by mid-April Concerns were expressed by Enbridge and Union that the schedule is tight - Direct and Energy Savings agreed Brian made it clear that he would not go back to the Board with a rescheduling request unless a good reason was presented for the delay 		
2. TOS Transactions	 The EBT Standards description and IGs for TOS transactions were reviewed and updated and are ready for internal review Note for future consideration: If a TOS is backdated, the consumer bill will be cancelled and a new bill issued – we need to make sure this will be reflected in the invoicing transactions 	 Barb to update TOS description Darcy to update IGs All to start internal review of updated TOS IGs 	

3. CCL Transactions	 The CCL EBT Standards description was reviewed together with Latif's writeup – these will be combined into the EBT Standards description Enbridge and Union to check whether they agree to include in EBT Standards Document that they will do a cancel rebill to keep the Consumer on vendor contract when CCL occurs within 60 days after a force out The Vendors would like the Distributors to provide primary phone number for the Consumer's new location in the CCI & TOS transactions – what is required of the Vendors for this to happen? CCL IGs together with the description are ready for internal review once released 	 Barb to update the EBT Standards for CCL Distributors to check what Vendors need to do to allow primary phone number to be passed in transactions Distributors to check whether they agree that EBT Standards to specify that they will do a cancel rebill to keep consumer on vendor contract All to do internal review on CCL IGs
4. CCI Transactions	 Reviewed CCI IGs. Darcy to update and IGs will be ready for internal review Agreed that 'New Distributor Account Number' in 'Consumer Data' container will be used to provide the new account number for a move and also for a re-folio of account numbers. The 'Change Reason' will indicate the type of change taking place The Distributor will send one CCI transaction for changes for each different reason code and/or each different effective date Note for future consideration: we need to think about a mechanism to correct data if Distributor and Vendor databases get out of synch 	 Darcy to update CCI IGs All to do internal review on CCI IGs
5. ROS Transactions	 ROS – reconnection of service is to be used instead of a re-enrol after a TOS Write up and IGs reviewed – Barb to update write up, Darcy to update IGs IGs will be ready for internal review 	 Barb to update write up Darcy to update IGs All to do internal review on IGs

6. FA	 Write up and IGs reviewed – Barb to update write up, Darcy to update IGs Need to decide maximum time for FA response to receipt of a PIPE Document – 1 hour, 4 hours, 1 day? Agreed that check for duplicated transaction numbers within a PIPE Document should be done at the FA level (i.e. if duplicated transaction numbers found within a PIPE Document, a FA DocReject should be returned rejecting the PIPE Document) Agreed that check for duplicated transaction numbers across all transactions to be done at the application level (i.e. if duplicated transaction numbers are found beyond the transactions contained in a PIPE Document, then an Application Advice Reject should be sent rejecting the transaction with the duplicate number) Duplicate PIPE Document numbers should be rejected at the FA level (i.e a FA DocReject should be returned if a duplicate PIPE Document number is detected) Note: check for duplicated file name should be referred back to the Transport Subgroup to decide how the error should be reported – by sending a FA DocReject or in an HTTP response 	 Barb to update write up Darcy to update IGs All to recommend maximum time for FA response Barb to refer question of reporting duplicated file name to Transport Subgroup
7. Collision Matrix	Review of Collision Matrix was started	

NEXT MEETING	
Item	Discussion Lead
Logistics	Dates/Times: Monday April 3, 2006 – 9:00am to 5:00pm
	Tuesday April 4, 2006 – 9:00am to 5:00pm
	Location: Offices of OEB, 2300 Yonge St., Toronto, 24 th Floor room 24-02
	Conference Call Bridge 416-212-0400 Pass Code 6652#
Minute Taker	Kitchener

Agenda Items	•	Review Collision Matrix	
	•	Review Historical Consumption IGs and EBT Standards	
	•	Review IG and EBT Standards for Invoice Rate Ready and Invoice Vendor Adjust	