

**RP-2003-0044**

1

**RP-2002-0462**

**COMBINED DISTRIBUTION SERVICE AREA  
AMENDMENTS PROCEEDING**

2

Erie Thames letter, dated May 4/2004, to the Ontario Energy Board

**HARRISONPENZA**<sup>LLP</sup>  
LAWYERS

Our File No: 114705  
Direct Line: 519-661-6770  
Email: cgodby@harrisonpensa.com

May 4, 2004

BY E-MAIL & COURIER

Mr. Mark Garner  
Board Secretary  
Ontario Energy Board  
Suite 2601, 2300 Yonge Street  
P.O. Box 2319  
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

RP-2003-0044

File No.	SECRETARY
Subject	PS-CS-AB
Other	Gar R- Jewell L- B.H.
00/04	CA.

Dear Mr. Garner,

**Re: RP-2003-0044 Licence Amendment Application -Erie Thames Powerlines Ltd.**

We are writing to advise that the Applicant, Erie Thames Powerlines Limited ("ETPL") and Hydro One, the incumbent distributor have agreed that the above supplemental Application, insofar as it relates only to Phase One of the proposed development in the Municipality of Central Elgin will be proceeding uncontested.

The Applicant will not be proceeding with its Application regarding the phases apart from Phase One of the development referenced in our Application. Either party remains free to make a new Application for a Licence Amendment should they be unable to reach an agreement at the relevant time.

In order to assist the Board, we have been asked to delineate those portions of the supplemental Application which are relevant to Phase One of the development.

We can advise that the Board may find the General Overview contained at pages 4-7 helpful. In addition, the evidence contained in Section 1.0 of this Supplemental Application (pages 7-14) follow the general filing requirements outlined by the Board for uncontested Applications in their Decision with Reasons, dated February 27, 2004, in the Combined Proceeding 2003-0044.

With a few very minor exceptions, the evidence submitted in Section 1.0 relates strictly to the Phase One lands.

With respect to Section 1.3 "Confirmation of Consent of Affected Parties", we understand that Hydro One will be providing a letter confirming their support of the ETPL Application as it relates to Phase One.

The evidence submitted under Section 1.0 references appendices A-G and we would submit this further information which includes, *inter alia*, maps and the design of the proposed connection would assist the Board in reaching a decision on this matter. Both Hydro One and ETPL agree that this is an economic connection.

We can advise that with respect to Section 1.12, "Cost, Rate and Service Quality Impacts," there will be no adverse impacts on existing customers within ETPL's current distribution area or on the new customers. As noted in the supplemental Application, there is currently only one existing customer of Hydro One within the proposed amendment area, the developer. However, until very recently, there had been no load on this line for approximately the past four years.

The evidence provided at Section 2.0 of the Supplemental Application is evidence the Board stated it requires for contested matters. As such, it may not be necessary to consider in this instance.

We trust the foregoing is satisfactory.

Yours very truly,

HARRISON PENSA<sup>LLP</sup>



Carol L. Godby  
CLG/clg

c.c. All parties to proceeding.