

1 **Ontario Energy Board (Board Staff) INTERROGATORY #8 (Southwest Submissions)**

2  
3 **Interrogatory**

4  
5 At Page 2 of Hydro One Networks' Submission for Enwin Powerlines, it is noted that  
6 Enwin proposes to serve new customers in a new residential development remote to  
7 Enwin's existing service area.

- 8  
9 a) Please explain how this proposal would potentially strand any of Hydro One's existing  
10 assets.  
11  
12 b) Does Hydro One already have an existing distribution wires infrastructure to service  
13 this new residential development?  
14  
15 c) How much would it cost Hydro One to build lines to connect to this new development?  
16  
17 d) What would be the cost considerations for Hydro One if the latter (ie. Enwin) was  
18 potentially given approval to service this area and connect these customers?  
19  
20 e) How might stranding be calculated in this case?

21  
22  
23 **Response**

- 24  
25 a) See Networks' Exhibit J8-11-15 (b).  
26  
27 b) Yes. Networks' assets are described in Appendix A of each of its submissions.  
28  
29 c) Networks is unable to answer this question without the developers having first  
30 provided a subdivision plan. Consultation with the City of Windsor has revealed that  
31 this area was annexed from the Town of Tecumseh, there is no official plan  
32 amendment addressing the proposed expansion area, nor are there any proposals for  
33 development.

34  
35 d) e)

36  
37 Since no specific plan has been identified to supply these customers, Networks is not  
38 able to identify specific stranding costs. The impacts of overlapping service areas are  
39 set out in Networks' Pre-filed Evidence sections 3.2 and 5 and KEMA-Quantec  
40 Report.  
41  
42