

1 **Vulnerable Energy Consumers' Coalition (VECC) INTERROGATORY #12**

2
3 **Interrogatory**

4
5 Reference: August 7th, 2003 Prefiled Evidence of Hydro One, page 22 (lines 5-7)

6
7 Preamble: “the onus should be on the applicant, not the incumbent distributor, to
8 prove that the incumbent distributor and its customers are not harmed”

9
10 Question:

- 11
12 a) In Hydro One’s view, what evidence on the part of the applicant would be
13 sufficient to demonstrate that the incumbent distributor and its customers are
14 not harmed?
15
16 b) Is the required information readily available to the applicant to develop this
17 evidence?
18

19
20 **Response**

21
22 (a)(b) In Networks’ view, the best evidence would be a negotiated agreement between
23 the applicant and the incumbent LDC. In lieu of an agreement, the applicant
24 should provide the information outlined in Networks’ Pre-filed Evidence in
25 Appendix B.