Filed: 2003-09-18 RP-2003-0044 Exhibit J8 Tab 11 Schedule 17 Page 1 of 1

Vulnerable Energy Consumers' Coalition (VECC) INTERROGATORY #17

1	
2	
3	

Interrogatory

Reference:

4 5

Hydro One's Response to Erie Thames Power Lines Corporation.

Application, page 3 (lines 7-8)

6 7 8

Preamble:

"Networks' assets are not underutilized"

9 10

Question:

11 12

13

a) Please provide the basis (i.e. an explanation) for the claim that Networks' assets would not be underutilized if Erie Thames were to supply Phase 1 of the development.

14 15 16

b) Would existing Network assets be under-utilized/stranded if Erie Thames were to supply the later phases of the development? If yes, please explain why.

18 19 20

17

Response

212223

a) Networks wishes to preface its response by clarifying the statement which reads "Networks assets are not under-utilized." The statement should read: "Networks' assets are not stranded."

252627

28

29

30

24

Since Erie Thames owns three-phase lines which lie along Phase 1 of the development, and the customer requires three-phase power, Networks has accepted in its submission that Erie Thames can provide a lower cost of connection for Phase 1 because Networks' assets do not lie along. This would not result in duplication or possible stranding of distribution power system assets.

313233

34

35

36

b) Networks' distribution assets lie along Phases 2 and 3. Networks' existing single-phase assets would be stranded and Networks' existing three-phase assets would be underutilized for Phases 2 and 3 if the Board approves Erie Thames' application. Networks believes it would be able to provide the lowest incremental cost to connect from its assets that lie along Phases 2 and 3 of the development.

3738