Filed: 2003-09-18 RP-2003-0044 Exhibit J8 Tab 11 Schedule 18 Page 1 of 1

Vulnerable Energy Consumers' Coalition (VECC) INTERROGATORY #18

1	
2	
3	

Interrogatory

4 5

Reference: Hydro One's Response to Erie Thames Power Lines Corporation.

Application, page 3 (lines 18-26)

6 7 8

Preamble:

"Networks contends that if this forecast is realized, it believes Erie

Thames' existing 4 kV feeder would be inadequate"

9 10 11

Question:

12 13

14

a) If Erie Thames' existing feeder was adequate to supply the later phases of the development, would connection to and service by Erie Thames represent the least cost supply option for the later phases of the development? Please explain your response.

15 16 17

18

Response

19 20 21

22

23

No, since Networks' 27.6 kV three-phase facilities are adjacent to the later phases of the development and can provide direct supply to the loads. Networks does not believe Erie Thames' facilities would be adequate to serve Phases 2 and 3 without future investment which would duplicate Networks' assets that lie along Phases 2 and 3.

2425