1	Vulnerable Energy Consumers' Coalition (VECC) INTERROGATORY #7
2	
3	<u>Interrogatory</u>
4	
5	Reference: February 28, 2003 Response to Centre Wellington's Application for
6	Distribution Service Area Amendment, page 6, lines 10-14
7	
8 9	a) Are overlapping service areas and customer choice inappropriate, if the costs quoted to the customer address the issue of the incumbent utility's stranded
10	assets?
11	
12	b) If the answer to (a) is no – please explain why not.
13) If the energy (a) is seen where eaching the day Out is signed as to be
14 15	c) If the answer to (a) is yes – please outline Hydro One's view as to how compensation for stranded assets should be determined.
16	
17	
18	<u>Response</u>
19	
20	(a) and (b)
21	
22	Networks believes overlapping service areas are inappropriate. Compensation for
23	stranded and Underutilized assets would need to ensure that customers are held
24	harmless.
25	
26 27	(c) See Networks' Exhibit J8-11-1.