Filed: 2003-09-18 RP-2003-0044 Exhibit J8 Tab 11 Schedule 7 Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Vulnerable Energy Consumers' Coalition (VECC) INTERROGATORY #7 |
|----------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Interrogatory |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Reference: August 7 th , 2003 Prefiled Evidence of Hydro One, page 17 (lines 1-2 and |
| 6 | 16-17) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Preamble: "Creation of a duplicate system would be expensive and inefficient" |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Question: |
| 11 | |
| 12 | a) Please explain what circumstances Hydro One would consider to be |
| 13 | duplication of assets. In particular, please comment on the definition offered |
| 14 | by other parties to this proceeding that duplication of assets is the construction |
| 15 | of distribution circuits of the same voltage in locations to potentially serve |
| 16 | existing customers (e.g. Veridian Connections response to VECC |
| 17 | Interrogatory 13). |
| 18 19 | |
| 20 | <u>Response</u> |
| 20 | Kesponse |
| 21 | Duplication of assets occurs when an applicant distributor must construct assets that |
| 22 | would not be required if the incumbent LDC connected the customer. This arises from |
| 24 | the incumbent LDC's obligation to plan for customer growth in its service territory and to |
| 25 | make upstream investments to be able to serve new load as the new load materializes. |
| 26 | With regard to the definition provided by Veridian, Networks does not believe that |
| 27 | duplication exists only when distribution circuits of the same voltage are used to serve |

existing customers of the incumbent LDC. In addition to construction of distribution circuits, there would also be duplication should the applicant distributor need to make further investment in information technology, billing, collection, and other support services.

32