Filed: 2003-09-18 RP-2003-0044 Exhibit J8 Tab 12 Schedule 4 Page 1 of 1

Wirebury Connections Inc. INTERROGATORY #4

1	Wirebury Connections Inc. INTERROGATORY #4
2	
3	<u>Interrogatory</u>
4 5 6 7	Networks believes that customer choice can be a criterion in determining the service provider for new or prospective customers, but not at the expense of other customers or the public interest.
8 9	Reference: p.4, L3-7
10 11 12 13 14 15	a) If the benefits of rate savings and service value enhancements to attaching customers are greater than the detriment to existing customers, would the public interest be better served by allowing competition for distribution services? Please explain your response.
16 17 18 19 20	b) If there are no adverse impacts on existing customers or they are fully mitigated and future customers stand to gain from the benefits of customer choice, is there any public interest reason for not allowing competition for new customers? Please explain in detail.
21 22 23	<u>Response</u>
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	 (a) No. The premise of the question is incorrect. Transitional rates do not allow for an appropriate comparison of rates, which is required to complete the assessment proposed in the question. The benefits to the attaching new customers are not shared with all customers. The detriments to existing customers are shared across the pool of customers. All customers should be held harmless. The Board should consider other factors, including safety, that impact on the public interest. (b) The principle of the question is not supported by evidence analysis. Networks contends that competition for distribution customers should only be considered if the pool of all customers, existing and future are held harmless.
32 33	contends that competition for distribution customers should only be considered