Filed: 2003-09-18 RP-2003-0044 Exhibit J8 Tab 12 Schedule 40 Page 1 of 2

Wirebury	<b>Connections</b>	Inc.	<b>INTERRO</b>	GATORY #40

1

2					
3	Interro	ogatory			
4					
5	KQ concludes that the electricity industry differs from many others because it has very				
6	high fixed costs resulting in a natural monopoly situation in which average costs decline				
7	with in	acreased volume.			
8					
9	Refere	nce: KQ p.18			
10					
11	a)	In concluding that average costs decline with increased volume did KC assume			
12		that there were no or minimal capital costs required to attach the new load? What			
13		would happen if the fixed cost of attaching new load were significantly higher			
14		that the current fixed cost per customer?			
15	1 \				
16	b)	Please provide the electrical utility cost information that KQ relied on to conclude			
17		that average costs decline as volume increases.			
18		Was KO able to confirm that Naturalis average costs would decline as it added			
19	()	load? If not plasse explain why. If it was confirmed plasse provide the source			
20		data that KO relied on to reach this conclusion			
21		data that KQ tened on to reach this conclusion.			
22	(b	Please explain why an expanding utility's average costs would decrease if the cost			
23 24	u)	of the new infrastructure needed to connect the new customer load was			
25		considerably higher than the historic depreciated cost of the utility's existing rate			
26		base. Would it be possible that Networks' average costs might increase as it adds			
27		volume if its expansion costs exceed its historic costs? If not, please explain why.			
28					
29					
30	Respon	nse			
31					
32	(a) KE	EMA-Quantec made no specific assumption regarding capital costs to attach new			
33	load; however, a decline in average costs would generally be associated with common				
34	network assets (such as substations) as opposed to customer-specific investments. If				
35	suc	ch customer specific investments were substantially large, then the customer would			
36	gei	nerally be subject to a line extension/ capital contribution charge.			
37					
38	(b) KE	EMA-Quantec relied on no specific electric utility cost information to conclude that			
39	ave	average costs decline as volume increases. This is a long-standing principle of utility economics driven by the capital intensive nature of the industry. Industry			
40	eco				
41	inf	rastructure is not designed, sited, built and maintained to support just one customer			
42	or	group of customers – but the entire network system. Thus, as consumption			

Filed: 2003-09-18 RP-2003-0044 Exhibit J8 Tab 12 Schedule 40 Page 2 of 2

increases downstream of these infrastructure investments (such as a major substation), the utilization increases and average costs decline.

4 (c) Please refer to the response to J8-12-40(b).

(d) This question attempts to compare apples and oranges. Average cost can be declining, even if the incremental cost of connecting new load exceeds historic depreciated cost due to the effects of inflation over time. That is, the historic rate base consists of investments as expressed in the dollars associated with each specific investment at the time it was made. If inflation is positive over time, current year costs are greater than historic costs.

12

1

2 3

5