Filed: 2003-09-18 RP-2003-0044 Exhibit J8 Tab 12 Schedule 52 Page 1 of 1

Wirebury Connections Inc. INTERROGATORY #4 (LDC IR)

1

24

25

2	
3	<u>Interrogatory</u>
4	
5	Parties who made submissions to the jurisdictional question raised by Hydro One in this
6	proceeding took the position that overlapping service areas were permitted by subsection
7	70(6) of the OEB Act.
8	
9	(a) If your Company does not agree with this conclusion, please explain why.
10	
11	(b) Would allowing licenses to overlap be a more efficient way for the Board to manage
12	changes to service areas or should the current practice of amending the incumbent and
13	applicant's licenses be continued?
14	
15	(c) Please explain why your Company prefers the option it selected in (b).
16	
17	(d) Please describe any alternatives that your Company thinks might be better than the
18	options described in (b).
19	
20	
21	<u>Response</u>
22	
23	(a), (b), (c) and (d)

See Networks' prefiled evidence at Section 5 and Appendix $A.2\ .$