14 Carlton Street Toronto, Ontario M5B 1K5

Telephone: 416.542.2572
Facsimile: 416.542.2776
rzebrowski@torontohydro.com



July 14, 2005

via facsimile 416.440.7656 – original to follow by mail

John Zych, Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor 2300 Yonge St Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Dear Mr. Zych:

RE: Comments on the Guide to Total Resource Cost Analysis (TRC Guide)

In response to the Ontario Energy Board's (Board) July 6, 2005 letter to interested stakeholders, Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the TRC Guide. THESL is pleased to receive this guide and the associated schedules and believes that it will be very helpful. THESL's comments are as follows:

## General

The TRC Guide has provided good information for CDM programs aimed primarily at kWh reduction. However, THESL believes that some of the most effective CDM programs are aimed at reducing kW during the system peak period. We would suggest that the TRC Guide include specific sections for these load control (demand response) and distributed generation programs. Schedules of the avoided capacity costs should be included, as well as examples showing the calculation of avoided costs (generation, transmission, and distribution).

## Section 1.3.2 CDM Program Costs

In this section, the TRC Guide explains that incentive payments from the LDC to the customer are not considered a component of the TRC because they are a cost transfer between two potential purchasers of the equipment. THESL would like to point out that in the case where an incentive is paid to induce customers to participate in a load control program, it is only the LDC that purchases the equipment (load control device). The incentive paid to the customer would be an actual cost, and not a cost transfer between two potential purchasers of the equipment.

## Residential Assumptions and Measures List

The On Peak (kW) column has "0.000" shown for quite a number of technologies, especially on the residential list. Of particular concern are CFL and LED holiday lighting, which are shown to have no kW benefit on the residential list, yet positive values are shown for CFLs on the commercial list. THESL has CFL and LED holiday lights in a number of programs and believes that their installation will result in some on-peak kW reductions. It would be useful if the Board could review and confirm that the "0.000" quantities on the list for on-peak kW reductions, are in fact correct, and not just missing data.

It would also be helpful to include the values for recycled room air conditioners on the list.

Line 31 shows the Incremental Equipment Cost for a water heater load control relay to be \$50. Based on our information, the relays cost in the order of \$70 to \$100 USD plus installation.

Line 51 shows the On-Peak load reduction for space cooling to be 0.020kW. In discussions with a vendor, he cited a study that claimed a considerably higher figure of over 1 kW. We are attempting to obtain a copy of the study that would support this; however, it would also be helpful if the Board could comment on the information provided in TRC Guide.

support this; however, it would also be helpful if the Board could comment on the information provided in TRC Guide.

## Commercial Assumptions and Measures List

There is no information on load control for air conditioner units. Will the Board provide this information at a later date?

The requisite 10 copies and an electronic copy in PDF format are provided to you in this submission. If you require any further information, please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

R. Zebrowski, Více President

Regulatory Services

Copy: Kah-Fae Chan, Director - Demand Management

Richard Lu, Chief Conservation Officer & Vice-President, Environment, Health & Safety

Colin Macdonald, Manager - Corporate Planning