

Ron W. Charie PRESIDENT

TEL: (519) 745-4771 FAX: (519) 571-9338

March 29, 2007

BY COURIER

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street, 26th Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Re: Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro Inc. RP-2004-0203/EB-2005-0193

Conservation and Demand Management Annual Report

Dear Ms. Walli:

Enclosed please find three (3) hard copies of Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro Inc.'s 2006 Conservation and Demand Management Annual Report. As well, two (2) electronic copies (on CD) are also enclosed; one electronic copy is in PDF format which consists of the entire report, and the second electronic copy is in Excel format which consists of only Appendix A, B and C.

Should you require any further information or clarification, kindly contact either myself at (519) 745-4771, ext. 280, or our Vice-President Finance and C.F.O. at ext. 217.

Respectfully submitted,

Original signed by

R. Charie, B. Comm., C.G.A. cb

President & C.E.O.

Encl.



2006 Conservation and Demand Management Annual Report



March 28, 2007



Table of Contents

1.	Intr	oduction	3
2.		luation of the CDM Plan	
3.		cussion of Programs	
A	()	CAPITAL PROGRAMS – UTILITY-SIDE INVESTMENTS	
	i.	Capacitor Bank Program	
	ii.	In-house Retrofit Program	7
	a.	LED Sign	7
	b.	In-House Energy Efficiency Improvements	8
	с.	Fuel Switching – Electricity to Gas	9
В	3)	OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS	
	i.	LED Traffic Lights	
	ii.	Municipal Building Lighting Program	11
	iii.	Fuel Switching – Electricity to Gas	
	iv.	Fall Discount Coupon Program	
	ν.	Residential Consumers Education	17
	vi.	Energy Conservation Kits	18
	vii.	Low Income Program with the Region – Social Housing	19
	viii.	Low-Income Residential Education Program	
	ix.	Pilot Program Funding for Social Housing Services Corporation (SHSC)	
	х.	Residential – Electrically Heated Homes	
	xi.	Key Account Seminars and Information	23
	xii.	Energy Management Workshops	25
	xiii.	Cool Shops	27
	xiv.	Lighting Retrofit Program	28
	xv.	Power Factor Correction Program	29
4.	Less	sons Learned	
5.	Con	clusion	31
6.	App	endix A	32
7.		endix B	
8.	App	endix C	71
9.	Atta	nchment A	76
10.	Att	achment B	79

1. Introduction

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro Inc. (Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro) is a local distribution company that is responsible for distributing electricity to more than 81,020 homes and businesses within the City of Kitchener and the Township of Wilmot.

On March 17, 2005, the Ontario Energy Board approved Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's Conservation and Demand Management (CDM) plan with a budget amount of \$2,350,000 (RP-2004-0203 / EB-2005-0193).

Subsequently, on March 21, 2005, the Ontario Energy Board issued Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's rate order for the 2005 rate year (RP-2005-0013 / EB-2005-0042) granting the utility its final instalment of MARR of \$2,340,264.

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's CDM program approved by the Ontario Energy Board is guided by the following key principles:

- The plan includes a mix of utility-side and customer-side programs. In addition, the programs are targeted at or benefit all customer rate classes.
- The plan addresses some or all of the other priorities identified by the Minister, such as addressing low income customers, promoting distributed or embedded generation, leveraging funding of other organization, and helping to meet the Minister's target of a 5% reduction in peak demand by 2007.
- The plan builds on existing programs and leverages funding, where possible.
- The plans allows for flexibility in expenditures to allow the LDC to avoid potential lost opportunities and to respond to changing circumstances.

Distributor CDM activities must address both the efficiency with which its customers use electricity as well as the efficiency of the distribution system itself. Consequently, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's CDM plan includes both utility-side programs and customer-side programs (capital and operating).

Each new program, whether capital or operating, is evaluated on its own merits to ensure it meets the Ontario Energy Board's TRC test requirements before implementation. We believe that a detailed analysis of each program must be undertaken in order to implement the programs that are sustainable and effective in achieving long-term energy savings.

2. Evaluation of the CDM Plan

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's CDM programs started and/or completed in 2006 show a positive TRC value, demonstrating that these programs are successful in achieving our electricity conservation goals by reducing both kWh and peak demand. The overall effectiveness of the sixteen programs undertaken to date has produced the following total returns (see Appendix A):

➤ Net TRC value: \$4.7 million

Annual energy savings: 4,981 mWh and 1,518 kW, which accounts for:

• 0.26% of the total kWh delivered and,

■ 0.39% of Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's peak

demand in 2006

➤ Gross CDM expenditures: \$1.2 million

> Expenditure per kWh saved: \$0.26

Expenditure per kW saved: \$847.98

3. Discussion of Programs

The CDM programs that were started and/or completed in 2006 include the following sixteen programs (discussed in detail below):

A) CAPITAL PROGRAMS – UTILITY-SIDE INVESTMENTS

i. Capacitor Bank Program

As discussed in its 2005 Annual CDM report, a load flow analysis was performed on Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's 13.8 kV distribution system (Phase I of the project) in 2005. A model was subsequently developed using software called Distribution Engineering Software Solution (DESS) to investigate the opportunities for system optimization and improved phase balancing. DESS helped the Utility identify the optimum location to install capacitor banks to extract these savings.

Capacitor banks are attached to the utility pole to improve the voltage power factor and reduce distribution system losses. Extensive analysis has shown that the annual savings generated will be 3.1 of this Utility's 2006 summer peak demand or 12.28 MVA.

Phase I Completion- City of Kitchener

As reported in its 2005 annual CDM report, 67 capacitor banks were to be installed within the City of Kitchener. Due to problems in two locations, only 65 capacitor banks were installed. These installations were completed in June 2006, prior to the 2006 summer peak period. With the installation of the 65 capacitor banks, distribution system losses are expected to be reduced by approximately \$100,000 (1,715,400 kWh) per annum.

Phase II – Township of Wilmot

Installation of the capacitor banks for Phase II of the project will begin in the spring of 2007. A model of the 27.6 kV distribution system in the Township of Wilmot has been developed using DESS. This model has identified the best locations to install the capacitor banks in the Township rural areas for reduced distribution system losses. Twelve (12) capacitor banks are to be installed in the Township of Wilmot with an expected cost of \$166,000.

Capacitor Bank Program Specifics

Specifics of Phase I of the program are as follows:

- ➤ Phase I equipment cost is \$243,525 and direct program costs are \$265,923 for a total Phase I program cost of \$509,448
- > The estimated energy savings are:
 - ✓ 1,715,400 kWh and,
 - ✓ 425 kW per year
- ➤ The net TRC value is approximately \$1.2 million
- > The benefit to cost ratio is 3.38

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro invested \$324,018 in Phase I of the program in 2006. As per the 2005 CDM report, the total dollar amount invested in this program as of December 31, 2005 was \$185,430, for a total of \$509,448 (less than the original budget).

ii. In-house Retrofit Program

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro wants to ensure that its own facilities use energy efficiently, and serve as a model for what it hopes its customers will emulate. To this end, the following three (3) activities have been included in this Utility's 2006 CDM activities:

a. LED Sign

As part of the program in 2005 and to enhance customer education on energy conservation, an LED sign was installed above the main entrance to our office building in May of 2005. The sign flashes helpful energy saving tips to customers. It is estimated that approximately 37,236 customers passed through the front door to pay bills and another 6,750 new customers signed for new services 2006. In addition, the sign is visible to the street, allowing drive-by traffic an opportunity to read the messages. Overall exposure of these energy conservation messages is therefore quite high.

The LED sign continues to be part of Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's CDM activities. The numerous messages flashed to customers in 2006 are attached as Attachment A. To see a list of the messages flashed to customers in 2005, see Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's 2005 annual CDM report.

A TRC analysis for this project has not been calculated as the sign is for educational purposes only. Costs to December 2006 were \$18,443.

b.

In-House Energy Efficiency Improvements

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro has commenced activities aimed at internal energy efficiency improvements in 2006. These activities include:

- ✓ Completion of an energy audit to identify areas for improvement.
- ✓ Architectural, engineering, construction and management services to assess and implement the results of the energy audit.
- ✓ Replacement of all energy inefficient windows (135) with more cost effective energy saving windows.

Activities to be undertaken for this program in 2007 include:

- ✓ Replacement of the lighting system.
- ✓ Installation of gas-fired heaters in the garage area.

In-House Energy Efficiency Program Specifics

Specifics of the window program are as follows:

- > Equipment cost is \$41,725
- > The estimated energy savings are:
 - ✓ 175,000 kWh per year
- ➤ The net TRC value is approximately \$107,097
- > The benefit to cost ratio is 3.57

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro invested \$115,216 in its in-house energy efficiency program in 2006.

c. Fuel Switching – Electricity to Gas

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro also participated in the fuel switching program by changing the electric boiler system at its main office at 301 Victoria Street South in Kitchener to gas heated boilers.

Fuel Switching – Electricity to Gas Program Specifics

Specifics of the program are as follows:

- > Equipment cost is \$137,640
- ➤ The estimated energy savings are:
 - ✓ 500,000 kWh and,
 - ✓ 300 kW per year
- ➤ The net TRC value is approximately \$438,116
- > The benefit to cost ratio is 4.18

The total dollar amount spent on this project to the end of December 2006 is \$137,640.

B) OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS

i. LED Traffic Lights

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro has agreed to assist the Regional Municipality of Waterloo in converting 172 intersections from incandescent to LED technology beginning in 2006. The following fourteen (14) conversions were fully completed by the end of the year:

- ✓ Dominion & Park
- ✓ Joseph & Water
- ✓ Duke & Victoria
- ✓ Victoria & Weber
- ✓ King & Ontario
- ✓ Natchez & Victoria
- ✓ Forwell & Victoria
- ✓ Fischer Hallman & New Dundee
- ✓ Homer Watson & Ottawa
- ✓ Homer Watson & Stirling
- ✓ Courtland & Hwy 7/8 Westbound Ramp
- ✓ (Courtland & Expressway)
- ✓ Fischer Hallman & Greenbrook / Hwy 7/8
- ✓ Bruce & Victoria
- ✓ Edna & Victoria

LED Traffic Light Program Specifics

Specifics of the program are as follows:

- Equipment cost is \$619,200
- ➤ The estimated energy savings are:
 - ✓ 1,750,000 kWh per year
- ➤ The net TRC value is approximately \$869,020
- The benefit to cost ratio is 2.4

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro invested \$14,000 for LED light installation at the first 14 intersections in 2006.

ii. Municipal Building Lighting Program

As discussed in the 2005 annual CDM report, the City of Kitchener has been actively improving the energy efficiency of municipal buildings over the last twenty years and the City allocates a budget each year for improvements. In keeping with this objective, in 2005, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro provided funding to the City of Kitchener to upgrade the lighting systems at Kitchener Memorial Auditorium, City Hall and the City Hall parking garage. The following activities were performed:

Kitchener Memorial Auditorium

- o Total funding \$21,100
- o Estimated energy savings are:
 - ✓ 87,079 kWh and,
 - ✓ 16.1 kW
- o All fixtures identified as inefficient T12 fluorescent lights were retrofitted to newer, high efficient T8 technology.
- o All existing incandescent fixtures were retrofitted to high efficiency compact fluorescent lighting.
- o Retrofit "exit" signs to LED technology.
- o All fixtures were cleaned for improved aesthetics and light output.

City Hall

- o Total funding \$10,664
- o Estimated energy savings are:
 - ✓ 118,152 kWh and,
 - ✓ 14.1 kW
- o All existing fixtures were upgraded to 150W high efficiency quartz lighting.

City Hall Parking Garage

- o Total funding \$21,084
- o Estimated energy savings are:
 - ✓ 78,971 kWh and,
 - ✓ 9.02 kW
- o All 150 watt quartz fixtures were replaced with 15 watt compact long-life fluorescent fixtures (a 90% reduction of total energy used). All fixtures were cleaned for improved aesthetics and light output.

Specifics of the total Municipal Building program are as follows:

- ➤ The total cost is \$52,848
- ➤ The estimated energy saving is:

 ✓ 284,202 kWh and,

 ✓ 39.14 kW
- ➤ The net TRC value is \$34,336
- > The benefit to cost ratio is 2.30

This project was completed in 2005.

iii. Fuel Switching – Electricity to Gas

In 2006, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro and the local gas company, Kitchener Utilities, partnered to promote energy conservation by offering financial assistance to eligible residential customers in a fuel switching program. The fuel switching program encourages consumers to convert from electricity to gas and consists of the following two conversion programs:

- ✓ Conversion from existing electric water heaters to natural gas water heaters
- ✓ Conversion of existing electric furnaces to natural gas furnaces.

During the period of February to April 2006, letters and pamphlets were delivered to eligible residential customers, detailing the program and offering the following rebates for water heaters and furnaces installed before May 31, 2007:

- ✓ \$200 for switching to a natural gas water heater.
- ✓ \$500 for switching to a natural gas furnace.

In 2006, the program has been well received and the results have been as follows:

- ✓ 183 residential customers have taken advantage of the water heater rebate totalling \$36,600 with an annual energy saving of about \$30 per customer.
- ✓ 16 eligible customers have taken advantage of the furnace rebate totalling \$8,000 in rebates.

Fuel Switching – Electricity to Gas Program (Residential) Specifics

Specifics of the program are as follows:

- ➤ Equipment cost is \$157,800 and direct program costs are \$45,000 for a total program cost of \$202,800
- The estimated energy savings are:
 - ✓ 943.960 kWh and.
 - ✓ 65.33 kW per year.
- The net TRC value is approximately \$589,484
- ➤ The benefit to cost ratio is 3.91

The total dollar amount spent on this project to the end of December 2006 is \$44,600.

iv. Fall Discount Coupon Program

In 2005, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro Inc., in partnership with Enerconnect / Energy Shop issued 71,500 coupons to encourage customers to purchase energy saving products at Canadian Tire Stores. The program "2005 Lighten Your Electricity Bill" gave customers the following discounts which were funded by Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro:

- ✓ Programmable thermostat (\$15)
- ✓ Outdoor light timer (\$5)
- ✓ Indoor light timer (\$1)
- ✓ Compact fluorescent light (\$3)
- ✓ LED Christmas lights, string of 25 (\$5)
- ✓ Ceiling fan (\$5)
- ✓ EnerGuide for Houses (free with home evaluation)

The final report was issued by SeeLine Group Inc. in March 2006 and the results show the program to be a success. Details regarding the coupon program (including participation and expected energy savings) are provided below:

Summary of Program Participation and Net Program Savings 2005 Lighten Your Electricity Bill Program

Technology	# of Participants	Summer Peak kW Savings	Annual kWh Savings in Year	Measure Life	Lifecycle kWh Savings
Commant Fluorescent Bullio	2 44 4		202 502	4	
Compact Fluorescent Bulbs LED Christmas Lights - Replace	3,114	-	292,568	4	1,170,272
5 watt Lights (25 lights)	650	-	27,480	30	824,400
LED Christmas Lights - Replace			•		,
incandescent mini lights	650	-	10,517	30	315,511
Programmable Thermostats -	00		00.407	40	4 040 050
Space Heating	68	-	89,497	18	1,610,953
Programmable Thermostats - Space Cooling	176	25.88	25,256	18	454,611
Space Cooming	170	23.00	20,200	10	707,011
Timers - outdoor	149	-	39,157	20	783,144
Timers - indoor light	23	1.22	2,030	20	40,605
Timera indeer light	20	1.22	2,000	20	40,000
Timers - indoor air conditioners	23	3.60	2,252	20	45,043
Ceiling Fan	63	-	-	20	-
EnerGuide for Existing Homes -					
Space Heating	-	-	39	25	973
Totals	4.916	30.70	488.796	205	5.245.512

A breakdown of the data gives the following TRC values by technology:

	TRC Benefits	Incremental Equipment	Utility Program	TRC Net Benefits	TRC B/C
Technology	\$\$\$	Costs \$\$\$	Costs \$\$\$	\$\$\$	Ratio
Compact Fluorescent Bulbs	71,299	5,605	-	65,694	12.72
LED Christmas Lights - Replace 5 watt Lights (25 lights)	25,578	1,235	-	24,343	20.71
LED Christmas Lights - Replace incandescent mini lights Programmable	9,789	1,235	-	8,554	7.93
Thermostats - Space Heating Programmable Thermostats - Space	61,345	3,662	-	57,683	16.75
Cooling	30,586	9,526	-	21,060	3.21
Timers - outdoor	29,105	2,682	-	26,423	10.85
Timers - indoor light	2,148	145	-	2,004	14.83
Timers - indoor air conditioners	3,533	145	-	3,388	24.38
Ceiling Fan	-	2,381	-	(2,381)	-
EnerGuide for Existing Homes - Space Heating	31	23	-	8	1.32
Program Costs	-	-	15,316	(15,316)	-
Totals	233,414	26,639	15,316	191,460	5.56

Specific TRC results of the program show the following:

- > The equipment cost is \$26,639 and direct program cost is \$15,316
- > The estimated energy saving is:
 - ✓ 115.57 kW (winter peak)
 - ✓ 30.7 kW (summer peak) and,
 - ✓ 488,797 kWh per year
- > The net TRC value is \$191,459
- ➤ The benefit to cost ratio is 5.56

The total dollar amount invested in this program to December 31, 2006 is \$35,111, an additional \$19,826 from 2005.

v. Residential Consumers Education

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro has been involved in numerous activities to encourage consumers to conserve energy, as well as to educate them.

a. Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro has entered into a sponsorship agreement with the Kitchener Rangers Hockey Club and Rogers Television for energy conservation advertising spots for two hockey seasons. Per the agreement, an average of three advertising spots per game will be played on the video score clock in the centre of the arena and replayed on 6 new concourse plasma monitors. The time of each promotion will be 20 seconds long and each spot has both an audio and video component.

The promotion includes:

- An "attention grabbing" introduction showing Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's logo.
- ✓ A fan participation component that leads to interaction with fans

As part of the package, the conservation messages are also played on Rogers Cable television on Kitchener's local channel 20, increasing public exposure to anyone watching the games from their living room.

The total program costs to date are \$58,580.

b. For "Blackout Day", the City of Kitchener ran advertisements challenging customers to reduce electricity consumption by 4%.

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's share of the advertising was \$1,290.

- c. Miscellaneous energy conservation advertising includes:
 - ✓ Greater Kitchener Waterloo Chamber of Commerce Directory
 - ✓ Costs incurred due to Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's participation in the OPA Fall coupon program.

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro Inc. incurred \$4,493 in miscellaneous energy conservation advertising in 2006.

The total cost for Residential Consumer Education project is \$64,363.

vi. Energy Conservation Kits

The Minister has identified low income consumers as a key target for CDM programs. Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's energy conservation kit program was designed specifically to reach low income consumers.

In 2005, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro supplied 70 energy conservation kits to the Fall Energy Forum, held at the Kitchener's Farmer's Market and hosted by John Milloy M.P.P. In addition, 649 of these kits were supplied to the Region of Waterloo, which distributed the kits to eligible consumers in the City of Kitchener and the Township of Wilmot. Eligible consumers include low income consumers who reside in subsidized housing. The remaining kits were distributed at other local community energy and conservation functions. Each kit included the following:

- ✓ Compact fluorescent light
- ✓ Fridge thermostat
- ✓ Shower coach
- ✓ Hot water gauge
- ✓ Insulation for light switch covers
- \checkmark Three (3) feet of adhesive weather strip

Specific TRC results of the program show the following:

- ➤ The equipment cost is \$15,397.
- > The estimated energy saving is:
 - \checkmark 1,328,800 kWh and,
 - ✓ 71.5 kW per year
- > The net TRC value is \$731,170
- > The benefit to cost ratio is 53.77

vii. Low Income Program with the Region – Social Housing

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's CDM plan includes funding for residential low income programs. In 2006, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro approved 100% funding for a lighting upgrade at one of the Region of Waterloo's social housing buildings located at 518 Greenfield Avenue in Kitchener.

Specific TRC results of the program show the following:

- ➤ The equipment cost is \$10,260
- > The estimated energy saving is:
 - ✓ 24.72 kW and,
 - ✓ 92,439 kWh per year
- > The net TRC value is \$12,950
- The benefit to cost ratio is 2.26

The total dollar amount invested in this program to December 31, 2006 is \$9,750.

viii. Low-Income Residential Education Program

As discussed in Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's 2005 annual CDM report, World-Wide Opportunities for Women (WWOW) is a non-profit organization that has been active in Waterloo Region since 1994. WWOW is a member of the Green Communities Association, which has developed the Home Energy \$aver Program and offers support, training and advice to the community.

The program focuses on energy efficiency, water efficiency, and alternative household cleaning items, reducing household waste and lifestyle improvement. Through the program, two people (often a male and a female) from WWOW, trained in home energy assessment audits, visit a household and complete a four-hour assessment. During their visit, they provide education, small retrofits and assessment recommendation to the household. If necessary, they will also assist the household in advocating to their landlord energy efficiency repairs, improvements and upgrades. They are able to offer the home assessment in over 16 languages. They follow up 45 days after the assessment to see how the household is progressing.

To date, WWOW has completed 2,500 home assessments across the Region. Assessments were previously available to anyone in the community with a request for a \$10 donation. 75% of the assessments conducted were for people in a low-income bracket and unable to make a donation. The actual cost of the assessment is \$380.

The focal point of WWOW energy audits is to develop the Home Energy \$aver program and to empower low-income and ethnic groups to take action and make measurable changes with their home environment. The program is targeted at families and individuals who typically would not be able to take advantage of developing a greater awareness of energy management.

In support of WWOW's objectives, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro made a donation to the organization in the amount of \$3,000 to help WWOW continue to make the Home Energy \$aver Program more accessible to residents in the City of Kitchener and the Township of Wilmot.

ix. Pilot Program Funding for Social Housing Services Corporation (SHSC)

The SHSC has commenced an "Energy Management Program" whereby they are conducting energy audits in over 5,000 social housing units in the Province of Ontario. Using key partnerships within the public and private sectors, including the Ministry of Energy, SHSC's program will serve as a central resource for energy management in the social housing sector including its over 1,500 members that comprise 250,000.

In late March and early April 2005, energy consultants visited the pilot buildings to identify energy conservation measures and entered their findings into an Energy Management System. This on-line system will prioritize the various measures, recommend funding sources, assist housing providers in planning retrofits, and then monitor and report energy savings.

In support of SHSC's program, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro provided funding to SHSC for 91 unit townhouses within the Utility's service area. The total sponsorship cost was \$4,450.

Specifics of the TRC test are presented below:

- ➤ The total cost is \$120,470 (including Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro funding of \$4,450)
- > The estimated energy savings is:
 - ✓ 343,161 kWh and,
 - ✓ 18.2 kW per year
- ➤ The net TRC value is \$14,643
- ➤ The benefit to cost ratio is 1.13

x. Residential – Electrically Heated Homes

Starting in May 2006, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro sponsored Residential Energy Efficiency Project (REEP). REEP is a project of Waterloo Region Green Solutions that delivers the EnerGuide for Houses program under an agreement with Green Communities Canada.

Through the EnerGuide program, REEP conducts in-home evaluations for eligible residential customers. Through the course of the evaluations, each customer receives free CFL's.

From May to December 2006, REEP's activities included the following:

- ✓ 280 initial and follow-up evaluations in the City of Kitchener and the Township of Wilmot.
- ✓ 396 free CFL's were given out.
- ✓ The estimated energy savings is 26,532 kWh per year generated by the installation of the free CFL's.

Costs incurred on this project to date are \$21,733.

xi. Key Account Seminars and Information

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro has been involved in numerous programs to educate its general service customers.

- a. In April 2005, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) produced a brochure called "The Bottom Line on Energy Management. Making Ontario's electricity market work for your business". This educational booklet was designed for larger customers and explained:
 - ✓ How the electricity pricing system works in the Province of Ontario.
 - ✓ Interval meters and how they record electricity consumption.
 - ✓ Energy conservation.
 - ✓ Retail contracts.
 - ✓ Load shifting.

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro mailed out these CDM information booklets to general service customers incurring postage cost of \$359.07

- b. In January 2006, IESO energy savings calendars were sent out to Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's large service customers (> 200kW). The calendar provides the customer with information on how they can better manage electricity use and help control costs. It contains information about the factors that influence the price of electricity, conservation tips and details about incentive programs available to businesses. Also, the calendar includes helpful monthly information such as:
 - ✓ Average temperature
 - ✓ Average weighted cost
 - ✓ Average weighted daytime price (8 am-8 pm, M-F)
 - ✓ Average weighted nighttime/weekend price
 - ✓ Average time price peaked.

230 energy savings calendars were sent out at a postage cost of \$951.61.

c. In November 2006, an IESO information book, "Managing Your Electricity Costs", was sent out to Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's non-regulated price plan customers (commercial & general service customers < 50 kW). The IESO book is aimed to help business

recognize that reducing electricity costs will increase profitability. It details five keys to managing electricity costs.

- ✓ Understand how you're billed.
- ✓ Know where you stand today.
- ✓ Control your electricity use.
- ✓ Invest in an energy management plan.
- ✓ Cash in on incentives.

683 of these helpful books were sent out at a postage cost of \$682.75.

- d. In January 2007, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro held an Energy Efficiency seminar, "Lighting Strategies & Power Factor Correction Savings" at the Holiday Inn Kitchener Conference Centre. In 2006, invitations were sent out to potential attendees. Promotional items, such as ballpoint pens with the Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro logo and "Write Conservation Into Your Life" written on them were also purchased to be given out at the seminar. The seminar:
 - ✓ Examined the latest in energy efficient lighting products and retrofit strategies.
 - ✓ Explored how to roll out utility incentive programs for maximum CDM success.
 - ✓ Allowed customers to see and review the latest technologies in energy efficient lighting such as T8, T5 and pin-based compact fluorescent, metal halide and LED systems.
 - ✓ Hosted a number of Canada's leading lighting experts (speakers) who provided valuable information on how to maximize the energy efficiency of the lighting in commercial, industrial and institutional facilities.

The total cost incurred to the end of December 2006 for this seminar is \$672.50.

Total costs incurred for the Key Accounts seminars and Information program is \$2,666, an increase of \$2,307 from 2005.

xii. Energy Management Workshops

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro recognizes that education is the key to successful energy conservation and promotes events that are focused on energy efficiency, demand response and/or demand management.

a. During the year 2005, the Utility conducted two local "Dollars to \$ense" Workshops in partnership with Natural Resources Canada on June 23, 2005 and November 8, 2005 (for detail see Appendix F). Over the past six years, more than 6,500 Canadians have found ways to save energy in their companies and organizations by attending these workshops. In addition to learning from highly trained instructors, workshop participants received instructional materials, which they took back to their workplace to share with colleagues.

"Spot the Energy Savings Opportunities" was held on June 23, 2005. The workshop highlighted learning through hands-on demonstrations how to identify opportunities in your electrical and thermal processes, from point of purchase to end-use including:

- ✓ Reviewing energy basics
- ✓ Analyzing the incremental cost of energy, and identifying up-front opportunities.
- ✓ Discovering how to minimize energy lost through distribution and conversion.
- ✓ Picking up tips on operating and maintaining boilers, compressors, motors, pumps, fans and more.

The "Spot the Energy Savings Opportunities" workshop was by attended by 19 of Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's large industrial customers (>200 kW).

The second workshop entitled "Learn to Monitor and Track Energy Costs" was held on November 8, 2005. This workshop targeted new energy savings opportunities such as:

- ✓ Pinpointing energy waste.
- ✓ Forecasting savings and chart gains.
- ✓ Integrating energy management into every aspect of an organization.
- ✓ Discovering low-cost opportunities for saving money and options for financing retrofits and upgrades.
- ✓ Instilling an energy-efficient culture taking energy management from the boardroom to the shop floor.

The "Learn to Monitor and Track Energy Costs" workshop was attended by 26 of our large industrial customers (>200 kW), including 3 of our large use customers (>5,000 kW).

Both workshops were very well received. Participants showed a keen interest in all topics and their feedback was very encouraging and positive.

The total cost of the two workshops is \$7,643.35.

- b. In April 2006, the Greater Kitchener Waterloo Chamber of Commerce hosted its 2006 Energy and Environment Forum, "New Habits / Good Habits: Your Triple Bottom Line". This interactive half day event brought participants face-to-face with local experts who shared practical information on clean energy and energy conservation. Speakers of note were:
 - ✓ Murray Elston, President and CEO of the Canadian Nuclear Association.
 - ✓ Stephen Dixon, Principal of TdS Dixon Inc.
 - ✓ Stephen Carpenter, Founder and President of Enermodal Engineering

Following the keynote presentations, seminars were held on topics such as waste management, hybrid vehicles and greenhouse gases. The forum also welcomed students from Forrest Hill Public School demonstrating what they have done to learn new habits and good habits around conservation activities.

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro paid \$1,500 dollars to be a gold sponsor for this informative event.

Total costs incurred for the Energy Management Workshops program is \$9,143, an increase of \$1,500 from 2005.

xiii. Cool Shops

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro and the Clean Air Foundation (CAF) partnered to deliver the Cool Shops program in the City of Kitchener during the summer of 2006.

The Clean Air Foundation is a not-for-profit organization dedicated to developing, implementing and managing public programs and other strategic approaches that lead to measurable reductions to improve air quality and protect the climate. Started in February 2004 by CAF, Cool Shops is the only energy efficiency program of its kind in Canada which specifically engages the small commercial sector.

The core objective of the Cool Shops program is to deliver costeffective energy efficiency solutions to small commercial businesses. This service is needed as CAF has identified the small commercial sector as an area lacking the knowledge and tools to undertake energy efficiency. Further, CAF believes that few are interested in spending the time and money to access detailed energy efficiency advice.

Through its program, a Cool Shops representative would visit small street-facing retail businesses in Kitchener. During each visit, the small business owner would receive:

- ✓ An energy audit.
- ✓ Installation of two free CFLs and one free LED exit bulb retrofit kit.
- ✓ Education in energy efficiency.
- ✓ Money-saving discounts for CFLs, PAR fluorescent light bulbs and T8 lighting retrofits.

Specifics of the TRC test are presented below:

- \triangleright The total cost is \$120,286
- > The estimated energy savings is:
 - ✓ 811,013 kWh and,
 - ✓ 219.08 kW per year
- ➤ The net TRC value is \$224,330
- The benefit to cost ratio is 2.86

The total cost to date of the Cool Shops program is \$79,895.65.

xiv. Lighting Retrofit Program

Beginning in the spring of 2006, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro Inc. began offering a lighting retrofit program targeted to industrial, commercial and institutional end-users with facility peak loads of greater than 50 kW. The objective of this program has been to leverage energy conservation and load management opportunities within these sectors.

The lighting retrofit program enables our customers to improve the quality of their lighting, lower maintenance costs, increase equipment life and save energy dollars. Further, maintaining a reliable supply of energy is a high priority to Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro and reducing peak demand will contribute to a greater security of supply.

To be eligible for this program, the following conditions must be met:

- ✓ The applicant must be a customer of Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro.
- ✓ The facility(ies) where the energy conservation initiative(s) is/are proposed must be within the Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro service area.

Through this program, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro will sponsor up to a maximum of \$20,000 towards a lighting retrofit program that successfully passes the TRC test.

This program has been highly successful with 10 businesses taking advantage of the program to the end of December 2006 with a total cost to the Utility of \$119,055. It is expected that before expiry of this program, that total will at least double.

Specifics of the TRC test are presented below:

- The equipment cost is \$402,812 (including Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro funding of \$119,054.52)
- The estimated energy savings is:
 - ✓ 1,672,229 kWh and,
 - ✓ 290.05 kW per year
- The net TRC value is \$298,587
- The benefit to cost ratio is 1.82

xv. Power Factor Correction Program

Beginning in the spring of 2006, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro Inc. began offering a power factor correction program targeted to industrial, commercial and institutional end-users with facility peak loads of greater than $50~\rm kW$.

One customer completed a power factor correction project in 2006.

Specifics of the TRC test are presented below:

- ➤ The total cost is \$11,140 (including Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro funding of \$4,456).
- > The estimated energy savings is: 33.8 kW per year.
- > The net TRC value is \$9,977.
- > The benefit to cost ratio is 1.9.

4. Lessons Learned

- Distribution system improvements play a key role in energy conservation. Distribution systems losses can have a significant impact on the overall efficiency of the system. By making improvements to our own distribution system, we are "starting at home" in the goal of energy conservation.
- The feedback from the customer education workshops has been very encouraging and positive. The attendees have shown a keen interest with regards to energy conservation. It has been strongly suggested that more customer education programs should be undertaken in the future.
- Customer education is a key component in creating a conservation culture in the Province of Ontario. A lot of energy and money can be saved by teaching consumers how to conserve energy; however, the use of the TRC test as a measurement tool does not incorporate the benefits stemming from proper consumer education.
- There are few programs in the Province of Ontario that deal primarily with the General Service < 50 kW class (mostly small businesses). The Cool Shops program allowed Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro to reach customers that may have otherwise not received any benefits from energy conservation efforts.
- High capital investment costs and slow payback can be a serious deterrent to businesses investing in energy conservation assets. Offering financial assistance to this group increases the likelihood that they will pursue such projects, which can reap substantial energy savings for the Province.
- Power factor correction programs can generate significant savings for the customer but may not be fully understood. More benefits would flow from such programs if coupled with customer education.
- There are many potential projects available for funding but not all may realize potential positive TRC values or short pay-back periods. We believe that a detailed analysis of each program must be undertaken in order to implement the programs that are sustainable and effective in achieving long-term energy savings.
- Each of the programs undertaken by Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro (with the exception of those primarily education-based) have positive TRC values. This indicates that Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's CDM portfolio is highly successful. The Utility's approach of running the TRC test on each potential program prior to implementation ensures the positive results will continue.

5. Conclusion

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro received Board approval of its CDM Plan on March 17, 2005 (RP-2004-0203 / EB-2005-0193) with a total budget of \$2,340,264. Through the course of its CDM implementation, the Utility has paid careful attention to stay within the guidelines as set out in the Order as issued by the Board.

Due to significant cost saving efforts on Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's part, the actual dollars required to complete budgeted capital investments (capacitor bank installation and in-house retrofits) have come in lower than initially expected. The savings realized have been transferred to the Operating and Maintenance section of the CDM plan, allowing the Utility to allow even more customers to benefit from its CDM plan that originally forecast. A budget to actual report has been included as Attachment B.

As of December 31, 2006, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro has spent \$1,287,221 (54%) of its total CDM budget. Most of the remaining budget has already been allocated to new or existing programs to be completed in 2007.

As mentioned, the TRC results indicate that Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's 2006 CDM program has been a great success due to the significant energy savings generated overall.

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro will continue to strive to generate even more savings in 2007 through the implementation and continuation of its budgeted programs.

Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

Highlighted boxes are to be completed manually, white boxes are linked to Appendix C and will be brought forward automatically.

	5 Cumulative Totals Life-to- date	Total for 2006	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	4 Smart Meters	Other #1	Other #2
Net TRC value (\$):	\$ 4,735,996	\$ 3,764,389	\$ 602,434	\$ 532,895	\$ 869,020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,760,040		\$ -	\$ -
Benefit to cost ratio:	65.63	2.87	3.83	2.08	2.40	0.00	0.00	3.56		0.00	0.00
Number of participants or units delivered:	44,405	41,055	40,966	10	14	0	0	65		0	0
Lifecycle (kWh) Savings:	142,134,391	116,551,737	17,361,036	17,382,700	35,000,000	0	0	46,808,001		0	0
Report Year Total kWh saved (kWh):	4,981,375	4,688,792	2,678,958	684,212	355,423	0	0	970,200		0	0
Total peak demand saved (kW):	1,518	1,358	90	543	0	0	0	725		0	0
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):		0.24%	0.14%	0.04%	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0.05%		0.00%	0.00%
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):		0.36%	0.02%	0.14%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.19%		0.00%	0.00%
Report Year Gross C&DM expenditures (\$):	\$ 1,287,221	\$ 966,714	\$ 160,272	\$ 207,177	\$ 14,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 576,875	\$ -	\$ 8,391	\$ -
² Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh):	0.26	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.01		\$ -	\$ -
з Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW):	847.98	\$ 711.88	\$ 1,779.81	\$ 381.59	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 795.69		\$ -	\$ -

Utility discount rate (%): 7.55

¹ Expenditures are reported on accrual basis.

² Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings

³ Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

⁴ Please report spending related to 3rd tranche of MARR funding only. TRC calculations are not required for Smart Meters. Only actual expenditures for the year need to be reported.

⁵ Includes total for the reporting year, plus prior year, if any (for example, 2006 CDM Annual report for third tranche will include 2005 and 2004 numbers, if any.

Capacitor Bank Program

A.	Name of the Program:	Capacitor Banks
----	----------------------	-----------------

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

	In order to improve the overall efficiency of its distribution system, Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro installed 65 capacitor banks (Phase One) based on detailed analysis of its system. The equipment cost is \$243,525 and direct program cost is \$265,923. The estimated energy saving is 1,715 MWh and 425 kW per year. The net TRC value is about \$1.2 million. The benefit to cost ratio is 3.38.							
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	M	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Maasura 3	(if applicable)		
	Base case technology:	Wedsure 1	IVI	leasure 2 (ii applicable)	Weasure 5	(ii applicable)		
	Efficient technology:	Install capacitor banks						
	Number of participants or units							
	delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):	65 20						
	weasure me (years).	20						
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	65						
В.	TRC Results:			Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:		
	TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	1,724,274.95		1,724,274.95		
2	² TRC Costs (\$):			· · ·				
	Utility	program cost (excluding incentives):	\$	265,923.00	\$	265,923.00		
	Incrementa	al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		243,525.00		243,525.00		
	Net TDO (in control ODA) (in)	Total TRC costs:		509,448.00	\$	509,448.00		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$	1,214,826.95		\$ 1,214,826.95		
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	/TRC Costs):		3.38		3.38		
C.	Results: (one or more category may	Results: (one or more category may apply)			Cumulat	ive Results:		
	Conservation Programs:							
	Conservation Programs:					<u></u>		
	Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):	Summer						
		Summer Winter						
		Winter			Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW):			in year				
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh):	Winter		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved :	Winter		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3):	Winter		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):	Winter		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs:	Winter		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	Winter		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	Winter lifecycle		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	Winter lifecycle (kWh): (kWh):		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak	Winter lifecycle (kWh): (kWh):		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	Winter lifecycle (kWh): (kWh):		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak	Winter lifecycle ((kWh): ((kWh):		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shift	Winter lifecycle ((kWh): ((kWh): ((kWh):		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	Winter lifecycle ((kWh): ((kWh): ((kWh):		in year	Cumulative	Cumulative Annual Savings		
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted	Winter lifecycle ((kWh): (kWh): (kWh): (standard (kWh): (standard (kWh)):			Cumulative	Cumulative		
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak Energy shif	Winter lifecycle ((kWh): (kWh): (kWh): rs): peginning of year (%):		38,122	Cumulative	Cumulative Annual Savings		

Line Loss Reduction Program	ıs:
Peak load savings (kW):	

 425

 lifecycle
 in year

 34,308,001
 857,700

 857,700

<u>Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:</u>

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

Energy savings (kWh):

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		<u>R</u>	Reporting Year	Cı	umulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	\$	324,018.30	\$	509,448.00
		Incremental O&M:	\$	-	\$	-
		Incentive:				
		Total:	\$	324,018.30	\$	509,448.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:				
		Incremental O&M:				
		Total:				

E. Assumptions & Comments:

Total direct program cost is \$509,448.

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a custome are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs' line.

Main Entrance LED Sign

	Manage of the Business	LED O'res			
Α.	Name of the Program:	LED Sign			
	Description of the program (include	ding intent, design, delivery, pa	rtnerships and evaluation):		
	As part of Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro's flashes helpful energy saving tips to the front door as well as to drive-by t	customers. Overall exposure to t			
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3	(if applicable)
	Base case technology:	Wedsure 1	ivieasure 2 (ii applicable)	Weasure 5	(ii applicable)
	Efficient technology:				
	Number of participants or units				
	delivered for reporting year:				
	Measure life (years):				
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date				
B.	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:
	¹ TRC Benefits (\$):				
	² TRC Costs (\$):	program cost (excluding incentives):			
	Incrementa				
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs:			
	Net The (III year CDN \$).				
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):			
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)		Cumulati	ive Results:
	Conservation Programs:	_			
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer			
		Winter			
				Cumulative	Cumulative
		lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle	Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh):	·			
	Other resources saved :				
	Natural Gas (m3):				
	Other (specify):				
	Demand Management Programs:				
	Controlled load (kW)				
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak				
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak				
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	(kWh):			
	Demand Response Programs:				
	Dispatchable load (kW):				
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	rs):			
	Power Factor Correction Program	•			
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	<u>u.</u>			
	Distribution system power factor at b	peginning of year (%):			
	Distribution system power factor at a				

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:				
	Peak load savings (kW):				
		lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:			
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life	to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	\$ -	\$	18,442.97
		Incentive:			
		Total:	\$ -	\$	18,442.97
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:			
		Total:			

Total direct cost to date is \$18,442.97

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made

In-House Retrofit Program

In-House Retrofit

Name of the Program:

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation): Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro is making numerous changes to its facilities so that it can stand as a model for energy conservation. In 2006, following an energy audit and associated engineering costs, all energy inefficient windows were replaced with more cost effective energy saving windows. The equipment cost is \$41,725. The estimated energy saving is 175,000 kWh per year. The net TRC value is about \$107,097. The benefit to cost ratio is 3.57. This project is ongoing. Measure(s): Measure 2 (if applicable) Measure 3 (if applicable) Measure 1 Base case technology: Efficient technology: Install energy efficient windows Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: 1 Measure life (years): 20 Number of Participants or units delivered life to date TRC Results: Reporting Year Life-to-date TRC Results: ¹ TRC Benefits (\$): \$ 148,821.96 \$ 148,821.96 ² TRC Costs (\$): Utility program cost (excluding incentives): Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs) \$ 41,725.00 \$ 41,725.00 Total TRC costs: \$ 41,725.00 \$ 41,725.00 Net TRC (in year CDN \$): 107,096.96 \$ 107,096.96 \$ Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs): 3.57 3.57 Results: (one or more category may apply) **Cumulative Results: Conservation Programs:** Demand savings (kW): Summer Winter Cumulative Cumulative Lifecycle **Annual Savings** lifecycle in year 3,500,000 29,167 Energy saved (kWh): 29,167 29,167 Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): **Demand Management Programs:** Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh): Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh): Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh): **Demand Response Programs:** Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hours): **Power Factor Correction Programs:** Amount of KVar installed (KVar): Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%): Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

Line Loss Reduction Programs: Peak load savings (kW):	
lifecycle in year	
Energy savings (kWh):	
Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs: Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	
Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):	
	Cumulative Life to Date
Metric (specify):	
Metric (specify): D. Actual Program Costs: Reporting Year	
Metric (specify): D. Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$): Incremental capital: \$ 41,725.00 \$	
Metric (specify): D. Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$): Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	\$ 41,725.00
Metric (specify): D. Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$): Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ 41,725.00
Metric (specify): D. Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$): Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ 41,725.00
Metric (specify): D. Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$): Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: \$ 41,725.00 \$	\$ 41,725.00
Metric (specify): D. Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$): Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Utility indirect costs (\$): Incremental capital:	\$ 41,725.00

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Fuel Switching - Electricity to Gas (LDC Specific)

A.	Name of the Program:	Fuel Switching - Electricity to Gas	3						
	_	·		l avalvation).					
	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):								
	Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro replaced the equipment cost is \$137,640. The es and the benefit to cost ratio is 4.18.								
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2	(if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)			
	Base case technology:								
	Efficient technology:	Natrual gas boiler							
	Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:	1							
	Measure life (years):	18							
	Number of Participants or units								
	delivered life to date	1							
B.	TRC Results:			ting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:			
	¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	575,756.10	\$	575,756.00			
	² TRC Costs (\$):								
	•	program cost (excluding incentives): I Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	127 640 00		107.640			
	ıncrementa	Total TRC costs:	•	137,640.00 137,640.00		137,640 137,640			
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC Costs.	\$	438,116.10		\$ 438,116.00			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs)	<u> </u>	4.18		4.18			
C.	Results: (one or more category may	·							
C.	Results: (one or more category may	·				ve Results:			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs:	apply)				ve Results:			
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)		300	Cumulativ	ve Results:			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs:	apply) Summer Winter	i	300 300	<u>Cumulativ</u> Cumulative	ze Results: 300 300 Cumulative			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):	apply) Summer Winter lifecycle	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs:	apply) Summer Winter	in	300 300	<u>Cumulativ</u> Cumulative	ye Results: 300 300 Cumulative			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	apply) Summer Winter lifecycle	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh):	apply) Summer Winter lifecycle	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs:	apply) Summer Winter lifecycle	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	apply) Summer Winter lifecycle 9,000,000	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	apply) Summer Winter lifecycle 9,000,000	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	apply) Summer Winter lifecycle 9,000,000 (kWh):	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	apply) Summer Winter lifecycle 9,000,000 (kWh):	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	Summer Winter lifecycle 9,000,000 (kWh): (kWh):	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hours	Summer Winter lifecycle 9,000,000 (kWh): (kWh):	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (Energy shifted Mid-peak (Energy shift	apply) Summer Winter lifecycle 9,000,000 (kWh): (kWh):	in	300 300 <i>year</i>	Cumulative Lifecycle	300 300 Cumulative Annual Savings			

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

	Peak load savings (kW): Energy savings (kWh):	lifecycle	in year	
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:		
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:	\$ 137,640.00	\$ 137,640.00
		Incentive:		
		Total:	\$ 137,640.00	\$ 137,640.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
	Ounty mandet costs (ψ).	Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		
		rotal.		

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made

LED Traffic Lights

Name of the Program: **LED Traffic Lights** Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation): Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro has agreed to assist the Regional Municipality of Waterloo in converting 172 intersections from incandescent traffic lights to LED technology beginning in 2006. The equipment cost is about \$619,200. The estimated energy saving is 1.75 MWh per year. The net TRC value is about \$869,020. The benefit to cost ratio is 2.40. Measure(s): Measure 1 Measure 2 (if applicable) Measure 3 (if applicable) Base case technology: Incandescent Efficient technology: LED Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: 172 Measure life (years): 20 Number of Participants or units delivered life to date 14 Life-to-date TRC Results: TRC Results: Reporting Year ¹ TRC Benefits (\$): \$ 1,488,219.58 \$ 1,488,219.58 ² TRC Costs (\$): Utility program cost (excluding incentives): Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs) \$ 619,200.00 \$ 619,200.00 Total TRC costs: \$ 619,200.00 619,200.00 Net TRC (in year CDN \$): \$ 869,019.58 \$ 869,019.58 Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs): 2.40 2.40 Results: (one or more category may apply) **Cumulative Results: Conservation Programs:** Demand savings (kW): Summer Winter Cumulative Cumulative Lifecycle **Annual Savings** lifecycle in year Energy saved (kWh): 35,000,000 71,221 71,221 Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): **Demand Management Programs:** Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh): Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh): Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh): **Demand Response Programs:** Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%): Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
	Peak load savings (kW):			
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savings (kWh):			
	Distributed Generation and Load I	Displacement Programs:		
	Amount of DG installed (kW):			
	Energy generated (kWh):			
	Peak energy generated (kWh):			
	Fuel type:			
	Other Programs (specify):			
	Metric (specify):			
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Incentive:	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 14,000.00
		Total:	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 14,000.00
		Total:	14,000.00	\$ 14,000.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Total: Incremental capital:	14,000.00	\$ 14,000.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):		14,000.00	\$ 14,000.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	14,000.00	\$ 14,000.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	14,000.00	\$ 14,000.00

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs' line.

Municipal Building Lighting Program

A.	Name of the Program:	Municipal Building Lighting Progr	am				
	Description of the program (include	ding intent, design, delivery, par	rtne	rships and evaluation):			
	The City of Kitchener has been activallocates a budget each year for implining keeping with this objective, Kitche Kitchener Memorial Auditorium, City	provements. ner-Wilmot Hydro provided fundin	g to	the City of Kitchener to upgra			·
	Measure(s):						
		Measure 1		Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure	3 (if app	olicable)
	Base case technology: Efficient technology:	Ceiling mounted - quartz Compact fluorescent fixture					
	Number of participants or units	Compact nucleacent nature					
	delivered for reporting year:	859					
	Measure life (years):	7					
	Number of Participants or units						
	delivered life to date						
B.	TRC Results:			Reporting Year	Life-to-da	te TRC	Results:
	TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	-	\$		121,519.05
	² TRC Costs (\$):						
		program cost (excluding incentives):	\$	-	\$		52,848.00
	Incrementa	I Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)					
	Not TDC (in your CDN \$);	Total TRC costs:		-	\$	\$	52,848.00 34,335.52
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$	-		φ	34,330.02
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):		-			2.30
C.	Results: (one or more category may	/ apply)			Cumula	tive Re	esults:
	Conservation Programs:						
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		39.14			
	5 ()	Winter		39.14			
					Cumulative		
		lifecycle		in year	Lifecycle	Annua	l Savings
	Energy saved (kWh):	1,989,414		284,202	378,936		378,936
	Other resources saved :						
	Natural Gas (m3):						
	Other (specify):						
	Demand Management Programs:						
	Controlled load (kW)	(///h).					
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak						
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak						
	, ,	a (merin).					
	Demand Response Programs:						
	Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	rs):					
	Power Factor Correction Program	<u>s:</u>					
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	paginning of year (9/1)					
	Distribution system power factor at b						

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:				
	Peak load savings (kW):				
		lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:			
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life	e to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:		\$	52,848.00
		Incentive:			
		Total:		\$	52,848.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Incremental O&M:			
		Total:			

Total direct cost to date is \$52,848.

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made

Fuel Switching - Electricity to Gas (Residential)

A. Name of the Program: Fuel Switching - Electricity to Gas

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro and the local gas company, Kitchener Utilities, partnered to offer financial assistance to eligible residential customers in a fuel switching program. In 2006, 183 water heaters and 16 furnaces switched from electricity to gas. The equipment cost is \$157,800 and direct program cost is \$45,000. The estimated energy saving is 944 MWh and 65.33 kW per year. The net TRC value is \$589,484. The benefit to cost ratio is 3.91

is \$157,800 and direct program cost is \$45,000. The estimated energy saving is 944 MWh and 65.33 kW per year. The net \$589,484. The benefit to cost ratio is 3.91.				net TRC value		
Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)		Measure 3 (if applicable)		
Base case technology:	Switch to goo water heater		Switch to gas furnace			
Efficient technology: Number of participants or units	Switch to gas water heater		Switch to gas furnace			
delivered for reporting year:	183		16			
Measure life (years):	18		18			
weasare me (years).	10		10			
Number of Participants or units						
delivered life to date	183		16			
TRC Results:			Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:	
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	792,284.02	\$	792,284.	
² TRC Costs (\$):						
Utility µ	program cost (excluding incentives):	\$	-			
Incremental	Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	157,800.00		157,8	
	Total TRC costs:	\$	202,800.00		202,8	
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$	589,484.02		\$ 589,484.	
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	FRC Costs):		3.91		3	
Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs:	apply)			Cumulativ	<u>re Results:</u>	
Demand savings (kW):	Summer		65.33		65	
g- ()	Winter		65.33		65	
	lifecycle		in year	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savir	
Energy saved (kWh):	16,991,280		471,980	471,980	471,9	
Other resources saved :	10,991,200		471,900	471,900	471,8	
Natural Gas (m3):						
Other (specify):						
Demand Management Programs:						
Controlled load (kW)						
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(kWh):					
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):					
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	(kWh):					
Demand Response Programs:						
Dispatchable load (kW):						
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours	s):					
Power Factor Correction Programs	<u>s:</u>					
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):						
Distribution system power factor at be	eginning of year (%):					
Distribution system power factor at be	5gg 5. y 5a. (75/)					

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
	Peak load savings (kW):			
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savings (kWh):			
	<u>Distributed Generation and Load I</u> Amount of DG installed (kW):	Displacement Programs:		
	Energy generated (kWh):			
	Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:			
	Other Programs (specify):			
	Metric (specify):			
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Incentive:	\$ 44,600.00	\$ 44,600.00
		Total:	\$ 44,600.00	\$ 44,600.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a custome are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Fall Coupon Program

A. Name of the Program: Fall Coupon Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro, in partnership with Enerconnect / Energy Shop, issued 71,500 coupons to encourage customers to purchase energy saving products at Canadian Tires Stores. The equipment cost is \$134,935 and direct program cost is \$3,116. The estimated energy saving is 115.57 kW (winter peak), 30.7 kW (summer peak) and 488,797 kWh per year. The net TRC value is \$191,459. The benefit to cost ratio is 5.56

	benefit to cost ratio is 5.56.					
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3	(if ap	plicable)
	Base case technology:					
	Efficient technology:	Programmable Thermostat	Compact Fluorescent Light	LED	Chris	stmas Lights
	Number of participants or units					1300
	delivered for reporting year:	244	3114			
	Measure life (years):	18	4			30
	Number of Deutisia and an anita					
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	244	3114			1300
	delivered life to date	244	3114			1300
B.	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC	Results:
	¹ TRC Benefits (\$):			\$		233,414.00
	² TRC Costs (\$):					
	Utility	program cost (excluding incentives):		\$		15,316.00
	Incrementa	l Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		\$		26,639.00
		Total TRC costs:		\$		41,955.00
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ -		\$	191,459
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):	0.00			5.56
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)		Cumulativ	/e R	enite.
Ο.	(ene er mere eateger) may	SPP.97		<u> </u>	CIC	Jourto.
	Conservation Programs:					
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer	30.7			30.7
		Winter	115.57			115.57
		lifecycle	in year	Cumulative Lifecycle		nulative ual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh):	5,245,513	488,797	610,996		610,996
	Other resources saved:					
	Natural Gas (m3):					
	Other (specify):					
	Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	(kWh):				
	Demand Response Programs:					
	Dispatchable load (kW):	·a).				
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	S).				
	Power Factor Correction Programs	<u>s:</u>				
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):					
	Distribution system power factor at b	peginning of year (%):				
	Distribution system power factor at e	,				
		J = == 1 = 7				

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:					
	Peak load savings (kW):					
		lifecycle		in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):					
	Distributed Generation and Load I	Displacement Programs:				
	Amount of DG installed (kW):	-				
	Energy generated (kWh):					
	Peak energy generated (kWh):					
	Fuel type:					
	Other Programs (specify):					
	Metric (specify):					
	A			5 " Y		0 14 14 15
D.	Actual Program Costs:			Reporting Year		Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	•	40.005.00	Φ.	05.440.50
		Incremental O&M:	\$	19,825.69	\$	35,110.52
		Incentive:	•	40.005.00	•	05.440.50
		Total:	\$	19,825.69	\$	35,110.52
	Hillian in alian at a safe (6).					
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:				
		Incremental O&M:				
		Total:				
		Total:				

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Residential Education (General)

Α.	Name of the Program: Residential Education (General)							
	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):							
	Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro is involved in	n numerous activities to encourage	consumers to conserve energy.					
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3	(if applicable)			
	Base case technology:							
	Efficient technology:							
	Number of participants or units							
	delivered for reporting year:							
	Measure life (years):							
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date							
В.	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	l ife-to-date	TRC Results:			
	¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		<u>reporting rear</u>	Enc to date	, TITO RESUITS.			
	² TRC Costs (\$):							
	• •	rogram cost (excluding incentives):						
	Incremental							
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):							
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):						
C.	Results: (one or more category may apply)			Cumulat	ive Results:			
	Conservation Programs:							
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer						
	Demand Savings (KVV).	Winter						
		wirner						
		lifecycle	in year	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings			
	Energy saved (kWh):							
	Other resources saved :							
	Natural Gas (m3):							
	Other (specify):							
	Demand Management Programs:							
	Controlled load (kW)							
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(kWh):						
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (•						
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):							
	Damand Response Programs							
	<u>Demand Response Programs:</u> Dispatchable load (kW):							
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hours	5).						
	Power Factor Correction Programs	<u>s:</u>						
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):							
	Distribution system power factor at b Distribution system power factor at e							
	Pishibulion system power lactor at e	ilu oi yeai (70).						

		•	Coldonial Eddodion (Sono
Line Loss Reduction Program	ms:		
Peak load savings (kW):			
	lifecycle	in year	
Energy savings (kWh):			
Distributed Generation and L	oad Displacement Programs:		
Amount of DG installed (kW):			
Energy generated (kWh):			
Peak energy generated (kWh).	:		
Fuel type:			
Other Programs (specify):			
Metric (specify):			
Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to D
Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	(Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:	\$ 64,363.39	\$	64,363.39
		Incentive:			
		Total:	\$ 64,363.39	\$	64,363.39
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:			
		Total:			

Total cost includes: energy conservation advertising spots for two hockey seasons with the Kitchener Rangers Hockey Club, "Blackout Day" advertising, and other miscellaneous advertising.

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made

Energy Conservation Kits Program

A. Name of the Program: Energy Conservation Kits Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro supplied 70 energy conservation kits to the 2005 Fall Energy Forum, held at Kitchener's Farmers Market and hosted by John Milloy M.P.P. In addition, 649 of these kits were supplied to the Region of Waterloo, which distributed the kits to eligible consumers in the City of Kitchener and the Township of Wilmot. Eligible consumers include low income consumers who reside in subsidized housing. The remaining kits were distributed at other local community energy and conservation functions.

subsidized housing. The remaining	g kits were distributed at other local		y and conservation functions.		
Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)		
Base case technology:					
Efficient technology:	Compact Fluorescent Light	Shower Coach	Adhesive Weather Stri		
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:	1100	1100	1100		
Measure life (years):	3		-		
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date					
TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:		
¹ TRC Benefits (\$): ² TRC Costs (\$):			827,827.6		
Utilit	y program cost (excluding incentives):		\$ 15,396.9		
Incremen	tal Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		\$ -		
	Total TRC costs:		\$ 15,396.9		
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ -	\$ 731,169.7		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefit	s/TRC Costs):	\$ -	53.		
Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter	71.5 71.5	7 [,]		
			Cumulative Cumulative		
	lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle Annual Saving		
Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	15,945,600	1,328,800	1,347,256 1,347,25		
Natural Gas (m3,):				
Other (specify,):				
<u>Demand Management Programs</u> Controlled load (kW)					
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-pea					
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-pea Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-pea					
Demand Response Programs:					
Dispatchable load (kW):					
Peak hours dispatched in year (hou	urs):				
Power Factor Correction Program	ms:				
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):					
Distribution system power factor at					
Distribution system power factor at	end of year (%):				

	Peak load savings (kW):				
	r can load savings (NVV).	lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:			
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life	e to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M: Incentive:		\$	15,396.99
		Total:		\$	15,396.99
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:			
		Total:			

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred <u>and</u> the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made

Low Income Program - Social Housing

A.	Name of the Program:	Low Income Program - Social I	Housir	ng			
	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):						
	Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro approved 1 located at 518 Greenfield Avenue in kWh per year. The net TRC value is	Kitchener. The equipment cost i	is \$11,	,400. The estimated			
	Measure(s):	Measure 1		Measure 2 (if applie	cable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:			ì			· · · · ·
	Efficient technology: Number of participants or units	Upgrade lighting system	n				
	delivered for reporting year:		1				
	Measure life (years):		4				
	Number of Participants or units						
	delivered life to date		1				
B.	TRC Results:			Reporting Yea	ar	Life-to-date	TRC Results:
	TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	2	23,209.99		23,209.99
2	² TRC Costs (\$):	program cost (excluding incentives).	: \$				
		al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	,	1	10,260.00	\$	10,260.00
	moromone	Total TRC costs			10,260.00		10,260.00
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	7 3 4 7 7 6 3 3 4 5	\$		12,949.99	Ψ	\$ 12,949.99
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):			2.26		2.26
C.	Results: (one or more category may	y apply)				Cumulativ	e Results:
	Conservation Programs:						
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer			24.72		24.72
	3-()	14/5-1			24.72		24.72
		Winter			24.72		27.12
		winter			24.12		
				to	24.72	Cumulative	Cumulative
	Energy sayed (kWh):	lifecycle		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:			in year	46,220		Cumulative
	Other resources saved :	lifecycle 369,756		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	. ,	lifecycle 369,756		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):	lifecycle 369,756		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs:	lifecycle 369,756		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	lifecycle 369,756		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	lifecycle 369,756 a (kWh):		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	lifecycle 369,756 3 (kWh): (kWh):		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	lifecycle 369,756 3 (kWh): (kWh):		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	lifecycle 369,756 3 (kWh): (kWh):		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	lifecycle 369,756 s (kWh): (kWh): s (kWh):		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	lifecycle 369,756 (kWh): (kWh): (kWh):		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW):	lifecycle 369,756 (kWh): (kWh): (kWh):		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-p	lifecycle 369,756 (kWh): (kWh): (kWh): (s (kWh):		in year		Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

	Peak load savings (kW):	lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savings (kWh):			
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:		
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Incentive:	\$ 9,750.00	\$ 9,750.00
		Total:	\$ 9,750.00	\$ 9,750.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Low Income Residential Education

Low Income Program - Residential Education

A. Name of the Program:

Description of the program (inclu	program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):				
Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro donated \$3 WWOW is a non-profit organization, immigrant residents in the Region of Through the program, two people from assessment. During the visit, WWO WWOW has successfully conducted	which is a member of the Green of Waterloo to encourage them to in the WWOW trained in home energible provides education, small retro	Communities Association. The pr nprove the health of their househ y assessment audits, visit a hous fits and assessment recommenda	rogram targets loold as well as the sehold and comp	ow-income and eir community. blete a four-hour	
Measure(s):					
	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3	(if applicable)	
Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:					
Measure life (years):					
modelio me (yearo).					
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date					
TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:	
TRC Benefits (\$):					
² TRC Costs (\$):					
Utility	program cost (excluding incentives):				
Incrementa	al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)				
	Total TRC costs:				
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ -		\$ -	
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits	/TRC Costs):				
. Results: (one or more category may	y apply)		Cumulat	ive Results:	
Conservation Programs:					
Demand savings (kW):	Summer				
Demand Savings (KVV).	Winter				
	wiinei				
			Cumulative	Cumulative	
	lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle	Annual Savings	
Energy saved (kWh):		· ·			
Other resources saved:					
Natural Gas (m3):					
Other (specify):					
Domand Management Browns					
Demand Management Programs:					
Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(//M/b);				
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak					
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	• •				
	· (·····//·				
Demand Response Programs:					
Dispatchable load (kW):					
Peak hours dispatched in year (hou	rs):				
Power Factor Correction Program	ıs:				
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):					
Distribution system power factor at l	beginning of year (%):				
Distribution system power factor at e					

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:				
	Peak load savings (kW):				
		lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Load I	Displacement Programs:			
	Amount of DG installed (kW):				
	Energy generated (kWh):				
	Peak energy generated (kWh):				
	Fuel type:				
	Other Programs (specify):				
	Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life t	to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:	\$ -	\$	3,000.00
		Incentive:			
		Total:	\$ -	\$	3,000.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:			
		Total:			

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made

Pilot Program Funding with SHSC

A. Name of the Program: Energy Pilot Funding for Social Housing Services Corporation (SHSC)

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

The SHSC has commenced an "Energy Management Program" whereby they are conducting energy audits in over 5,000 social housing units in the Province of Ontario. Using key partnerships within the public and private sectors, including the Ministry of Energy, SHSC's program will serve as a central resource for energy management in the social housing sector. In the spring of 2005, energy consultants visited the pilot buildings to identify energy conservation measures and entered their findings into an Energy Management System. This on-line system will prioritize the various measures, recommend funding sources, assist housing providers in planning retrofits, and then monitor and report energy savings. Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro provided funding to SHSC for 91 unit townhouses within the Utility's service area.

on-line system will prioritize the varior monitor and report energy savings. service area.	ous measures, recommend fundin	g sources, assist housing provide	ers in planning retrofits, and then
Measure(s):			
	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	T12 lamps		
Efficient technology:	T8 lamps	motion sensor	
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:	91	91	91
Measure life (years):	3		
weasure life (years).	3	10	18
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date			
TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):			\$ 136,840.00
² TRC Costs (\$):			
Utility	program cost (excluding incentives):		\$ 4,450.00
Incrementa	al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		\$ 116,020.00
	Total TRC costs:		\$ 120,470.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ -	\$ 14,643.00
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):		1.13
Results: (one or more category may	apply)		Cumulative Results:
Conservation Programs:			
Demand savings (kW):	Summer	18.2	18.2
3 ()	Winter	18.2	
			Cumulative Cumulative
	lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle Annual Savings
Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	2,402,127	343,161	400,355 400,355
Natural Gas (m3):			
Other (specify):			
<u>Demand Management Programs:</u> Controlled load (kW)			
	(///h).		
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak	` '		
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	' '		
Energy Shinted Mid-peak to On-peak	(KVVII).		
Demand Response Programs:			
Dispatchable load (kW):			
Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	rs):		
Power Factor Correction Program	s:		
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			
Distribution system power factor at b	peginning of year (%):		
Distribution system power factor at e			
2.5354.67 Gyolom pomor radior at a	3. 3 34. (70).		

	Peak load savings (kW):	lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:			
	Other Programs (specify):				
	Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to	Date Date
D.	Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to	Date
D.		Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	Reporting Year		Date 4,450.00
D.		•			
D.		Incremental O&M:		\$	
D.		Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ -	\$	4,450.00
D.		Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ -	\$	4,450.00
D.	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ -	\$	4,450.00
D.	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Incremental capital:	\$ -	\$	4,450.00

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made

EnerGuide for Houses (REEP)

A.

	Name of the Program:	EnerGuide for Houses (REEP)						
	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):							
	Through the EnerGuide program, R	EEP conducts in-home evaluation	s for eligible residential customers	. Through the	course of the			
	evaluations, each customer receives	s free CFL's.						
	Measure(s):							
		Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3	(if applicable)			
	Base case technology:							
	Efficient technology: Number of participants or units							
	delivered for reporting year:							
	Measure life (years):							
	Number of Participants or units							
	delivered life to date							
	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:			
	TRC Benefits (\$):							
2	TRC Costs (\$):							
	•	program cost (excluding incentives):						
	Increment	al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)						
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs:	Φ		\$ -			
			\$ -		φ -			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits,	/TRC Costs):						
	Results: (one or more category may	y apply)		Cumulat	ve Results:			
	Concernation Brograms							
	Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):	Summer						
	Demand Savings (KVV).	Winter						
		vviitei						
				Cumulative	Cumulative			
		lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle	Annual Savings			
	Energy saved (kWh):							
	Other resources saved :							
	Natural Gas (m3):							
	Other (specify):							
	Demand Management Programs:							
	Controlled load (kW)							
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak							
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak							
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	(kWh):						
	Demand Response Programs:							
	Dispatchable load (kW):							
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hou	rs):						
	Power Factor Correction Program	ns:						
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):							
	Distribution system power factor at I	beginning of year (%):						
	Distribution system power factor at a							

Peak load savings (kW): lifecycle in year Energy savings (kWh):	
Energy savings (kWh):	
S, S, ,	
Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs: Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):	
Fuel type:	
Other Programs (specify):	
Metric (specify):	
D. Actual Program Costs: Reporting Year Cumulative Life	e to Date
Utility direct costs (\$): Incremental capital:	
Incremental O&M: \$ 21,732.89 \$	21,732.89
Incentive:	
Total: \$ 21,732.89 \$	21,732.89
Utility indirect costs (\$): Incremental capital:	
Incremental O&M:	
Total:	

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made

Key Account Seminars and Education

A.	Name of the Program:	Key Account Seminars and Educ	cation		
	Description of the program (include	ding intent, design, delivery, pa	rtnerships and evaluation):		
	Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro has been in Activities include brochures, calenda			on energy cons	ervation.
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3	(if applicable)
	Base case technology:	Weddure 1	Wedsure 2 (II applicable)	Wicasarc S	(п аррпоавіс)
	Efficient technology:				
	Number of participants or units				
	delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):				
	weddie me (years).				
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date				
В.	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:
	TRC Benefits (\$):		roporting rout	<u> </u>	Tito itocano.
	² TRC Costs (\$):				
	-	program cost (excluding incentives):			
	Incrementa	al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)			
	Not TDC (in year CDM 6)	Total TRC costs:	<u> </u>		\$ -
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ -		φ -
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):			
C.	Results: (one or more category may	/ apply)		Cumulati	ve Results:
	Concernation Browns				
	Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):	Summer			
	Demand Savings (KW).	Winter			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				Cumulative	Cumulative
		lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle	Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh):				
	Other resources saved :				
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):				
	Demand Management Programs:				
	Controlled load (kW)	(//A//a).			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak				
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak				
	, ,	. (
	Demand Response Programs:				
	Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	re).			
	r ean nours dispatched in year (nour	o <i>).</i>			
	Power Factor Correction Program	<u>s:</u>			
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):				
	Distribution system power factor at the				
	Distribution system power factor at e	anu or year (%).			

	Peak load savings (kW):	lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savings (kWh):			
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:		
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:	\$ 2,306.86	\$ 2,665.93
		Incentive:		
		Total:	\$ 2,306.86	\$ 2,665.93
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

^{For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made}

Energy Management Workshops

Name of the Program:	Energy Management Workshops									
Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):										
Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro held or spor	nsored energy management works	shops to educate its commercial of	customers.							
Measure(s):										
	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3	(if applicable)						
Base case technology: Efficient technology:										
Number of participants or units										
delivered for reporting year:										
Measure life (years):										
N / 68 % / %										
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date										
TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$):		Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:						
TRC Costs (\$):										
() /	program cost (excluding incentives):									
-	al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)									
	Total TRC costs:									
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ -		\$ -						
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	/TRC Costs):									
Results: (one or more category may	y apply)		Cumulati	ve Results:						
O										
Conservation Programs:	Summer of									
Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter									
	winter									
			Cumulative	Cumulative						
	lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle	Annual Savings						
Energy saved (kWh):										
Other resources saved :										
Natural Gas (m3):										
Other (specify):										
Demand Management Programs:										
Controlled load (kW)										
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak										
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak										
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	c (kWh):									
Demand Response Programs:										
Dispatchable load (kW):										
Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	rs):									
Power Factor Correction Program	<u>ıs:</u>									
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	_									
Distribution system power factor at b	heginning of year (%).									
Distribution system power factor at a	ognining or your (70).									

	Peak load savings (kW): Energy savings (kWh):	lifecycle	in year		
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:			
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Da	ate
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		•			
		Incremental O&M:	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 9,1	43.35
		•			
		Incremental O&M:	\$ 1,500.00 \$ 1,500.00		43.35
	Litility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:			
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Incremental capital:			
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:			
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Incremental capital:			

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

^{For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made}

Cool Shops Program

A. Name of the Program: Cool Shops Program (Clean Air Foundation)

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Through its program, a Cool Shops representative visits small street-facing retail businesses in Kitchener. During each visit, the small business owner receives an energy audit, installation of two free CFLs and one free LED exit bulb retrofit kit, education in energy efficiency and money-saving discounts for CFLs, PAR fluorescent light bulbs and T8 lighting retrofits.

Measure(s):					
• •	Measure 1	Ν	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:					
Efficient technology:	15W CFL		LED Exit		11W C
Number of participants or units					1
delivered for reporting year:	2977		1268		
Measure life (years):	7		20		
Number of Participants or units					1
delivered life to date	2977		1268		
TRC Results:			Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	344,616.46		344,616.
² TRC Costs (\$):					
Utilii	ty program cost (excluding incentives):	\$	79,859.65		79,859.
Incremer	ntal Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	40,426.34		40,426.
	Total TRC costs:	\$	120,285.99		120,285.
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	2.00	\$	224,330.47		224,330.
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefit	s/TRC Costs):		2.86		2
Results: (one or more category ma	av apply)			Cumulativ	/e Results:
	a, app.,,,			<u> Gamaian</u>	re results.
Conservation Programs:					
Demand savings (kW):	Summer		219.08		219
	Winter		219.08		219
				Cumulative	Cumulative
	lifecycle		in year	Lifecycle	Annual Savin
Energy saved (kWh):	5,677,094		405,507	405,507	405,5
Other resources saved:					
Natural Gas (m3):				
Other (specify):				
Demand Management Programs	<u>.</u>				
Controlled load (kW)	-				
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-pea	ak (kWh):				
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-pea					
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-pea	' '				
Demand Response Programs:					
Dispatchable load (kW):					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	urali				
Peak hours dispatched in year (ho	urs):				
Power Factor Correction Progra	ms:				
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):					
Distribution system power factor as	t beginning of year (%):				
Biotinbation dystom power ractor at	bogining of your (70).				

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
	Peak load savings (kW):			
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savings (kWh):			
	Distributed Generation and Load I	Displacement Programs:		
	Amount of DG installed (kW):			
	Energy generated (kWh):			
	Peak energy generated (kWh):			
	Fuel type:			
	Other Programs (specify):			
	Metric (specify):			
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Incentive:	\$ 79,859.65	\$ 79,859.65
		Total:	\$ 79,859.65	\$ 79,859.65
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made

Lighting Retrofit Program

A. Name of the Program: Lighting Retrofit Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

KWH offered a lighting retrofit program targeted to industrial, commercial and institutional end-users with facility peak loads of greater than 50 kW. The program enables the customers to improve the quality of their lighting, lower maintenace cost, and increase equipment life. The equipment cost is \$402,811.89. The estimated energy saving is 1,672 MWh and 290.05 kW per year. The net TRC value is \$298.587.4 and the benefit to cost ratio is 1.82

life. The equipment cost is \$402,811 \$298,587.4 and the benefit to cost ra		IS 1	,672 MWN and 290.05 KW per	year. The net I	RC value is
Measure(s):	Measure 1		Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 ((if applicable)
Base case technology:					
Efficient technology:	Upgrade lighting system				
Number of participants or units					
delivered for reporting year:	10				
Measure life (years):	7				
Number of Participants or units					
delivered life to date	10				
TRC Results:			Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	661,118.10		661,118.
² TRC Costs (\$):					
Utility	program cost (excluding incentives):				
Incrementa	al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	362,530.70		362,530.
	Total TRC costs:		362,530.70		362,530.
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	7 0101 77 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$	298,587.40		298,587.4
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits	/TRC Costs):	\$	1.82		1.
Results: (one or more category may	v apply)			Cumulativ	ve Results:
) - //			Jamaian	o recounce.
Conservation Programs:	0		200.05		000
Demand savings (kW):	Summer		290.05		290.
	Winter		290.05		290.
	lifecycle		in year	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savin
Energy saved (kWh):	11,705,606		278,705	278,705	278,70
Other resources saved :				,	,
Natural Gas (m3):					
Other (specify):					
Demand Management Programs:					
Controlled load (kW)					
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	c (kWh)·				
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak	•				
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	` '				
Demand Response Programs:					
Dispatchable load (kW):					
Peak hours dispatched in year (hou	rs):				
Power Factor Correction Program	is:				
Amount of KVar installed (KVar)					
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	heginning of year (%):				
Amount of KVar installed (KVar): Distribution system power factor at I Distribution system power factor at I	• • • • •				

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
	Peak load savings (kW):	lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savings (kWh):			
	Distributed Generation and Load D	Displacement Programs:		
	Amount of DG installed (kW):	-		
	Energy generated (kWh):			
	Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:			
	ruei type.			
	Other Programs (specify):			
	Metric (specify):			
Ο.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Incentive:	\$ 119,054.52	\$ 119,054.52
		Total:	\$ 119,054.52	\$ 119,054.52
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a custome are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Power Factor Correction

A. Name of the Program: Power Factor Correction

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

KWH offered a power factor correction program targeted to industrial, commercial and institutional end-users with facility peak loads of greater than 50 kW. To date, the equipment cost is \$11,140. The estimated energy savings is 33.8 kW. The net TRC value is \$9,977 and the benefit to cost ratio is 1.9.

greater than 50 kW. To date, the eq and the benefit to cost ratio is 1.9.	uipment cost is \$11,140. The estir	mate	d energy savings is 33.8 kW.	The net TRC va	alue is	\$9,977
Measure(s):						
	Measure 1		Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3	(if app	licable)
Base case technology:	Davis Factor Commenting					
Efficient technology: Number of participants or units	Power Factor Correction					
delivered for reporting year:	1					
Measure life (years):	20					
Number of Participants or units						
delivered life to date	1					
TRC Results:			Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC	Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	21,117.14			21117.
² TRC Costs (\$):			,			
Utility	program cost (excluding incentives):					
Increment	al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	11,140.00	\$		11,140.0
	Total TRC costs:	\$	11,140.00	\$		11,140.0
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$	9,977.14		\$	9,977.1
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits	/TRC Costs):	\$	1.90			1.9
Results: (one or more category may apply)				Cumulati	ve Re	sults:
Conservation Programs:			20.0			
Demand savings (kW):	Summer		33.8			33
	Winter		33.8			33
	lifecycle		in year	Cumulative Lifecycle		ulative ıal Saving
Energy saved (kWh):			,			
Other resources saved :						
Natural Gas (m3).						
Other (specify).						
Demand Management Programs:						
Controlled load (kW)						
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peal	k (kWh)·					
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak						
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	` '					
Demand Response Programs:	,					
Dispatchable load (kW):						
Peak hours dispatched in year (hou	rs):					
,	,					
Power Factor Correction Program	<u>1S:</u>		000			
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	haginning of year (9/):		200			20
Distribution system power factor at Distribution system power factor at			85% 93%			85
Distribution system power factor at	enu oi year (70).		93%			939

	Line Loss Reduction Programs: Peak load savings (kW):				
	- ' '	lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:			
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative	Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
) (+/-	пистепнан сарнан.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Incremental O&M:			
	J	•	\$ 4,456	5.00 \$	4,456.00
	,	Incremental O&M:		\$.00 \$ \$.00 \$	4,456.00 4,456.00
	,	Incremental O&M: Incentive:			
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive:			
	, ,,,	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:			
	, ,,,	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Incremental capital:			

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Appendix C - Program and Portfolio Totals

Report Year: 2006

1. Residential Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TR	C Benefits					Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Total Peak Demand (kW)	eport Year oss C&DM
	•••	(PV)	TRC Cos	ts (PV)	\$ Net	TRC Benefits		kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	enditures (\$)
Fuel Switching - Electricity to Gas	\$	792,284	\$ 2	202,800	\$	589,484	3.91	471,980	16,991,280	65	\$ 44,600
Fall Coupon	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	488,797	0	0	\$ 19,826
Residential Education (General)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	0	0	0	\$ 64,363
Energy Conservation Kits	\$	-	\$	-			0.00	1,328,800	0	0	\$ -
Social Housing	\$	23,210	\$	10,260	\$	12,950	2.26	46,220	369,756	25	\$ 9,750
Residential Education (Low Income)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	0	0	0	\$ -
WWOW	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	343,161	0	0	\$ -
EnerGuide for Houses (REEP)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	0	0	0	\$ 21,733
Name of Program I					\$	-	0.00				
Name of Program J					\$		0.00				
*Totals App. B - Residential	\$	815,494	\$ 2	213,060	\$	602,434	3.83	2,678,958	17,361,036	90	\$ 160,272
Residential Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program											
Total Residential TRC Costs			\$ 2	213,060							
**Totals TRC - Residential	\$	815,494	\$ 2	213,060	\$	602,434	3.83				

2. Commercial Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TR	RC Benefits					Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Total Peak Demand (kW)		eport Year ross C&DM
		(PV)	TRC	Costs (PV)	\$ Ne	t TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Exp	enditures (\$)
Key Account Seminars & Education	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	0	0	0	\$	2,307
Energy Management Workshops	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	0	0	0	\$	1,500
Lighting Retrofit	\$	661,118	\$	362,531	\$	298,587	1.82	278,705	11,705,606	290	\$	119,055
Power Factor Correction	\$	21,117	\$	11,140	\$	9,977	1.90	0	0	34	\$	4,456
Cool Shops	\$	344,616	\$	120,286	\$	224,330	2.86	405,507	5,677,094	219	\$	79,860
Name of Program F					\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program G					\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program H					\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program I					\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program J					\$	-	0.00					
*Totals App. B - Commercial	\$	1,026,852	\$	493,957	\$	532,895	2.08	684,212	17,382,700	543	\$	207,177

**Totals TRC - Commercial	\$	1,026,852	\$ 493,957	\$ 532,895	2.08	
Total TRC Costs			\$ 493,957			
Commercial Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	_					

3. Institutional Programs
List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the	e forr	nulas, please	insert the addition	nal r	ows in the midd	le of the list b	elow.		Total Peak	D.	eport Year
	TR	C Benefits				Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)		oss C&DM
		(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ No	et TRC Benefits		kWh Saved	Savings	Saved		enditures (\$)
LED Traffic Lights	\$	1,488,220	\$ 619,200	\$	869,020	2.40	71,221	35,000,000	C	\$	14,000
Municipal Buildings	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	0.00	284,202	0	C	J	0
Name of Program C				\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program D				\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program E				\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program C				\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program G				\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program H				\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program I				\$	-	0.00					
Name of Program J				\$	-	0.00					
*Totals App. B - Institutional	\$	1,488,220	\$ 619,200	\$	869,020	2.40	355,423	35,000,000	C	\$	14,000
Institutional Indirect Costs not											
attributable to any specific program											
Total TRC Costs			\$ 619,200								
**Totals TRC - Institutional	\$	1,488,220	\$ 619,200	\$	869,020	2.40					

4. Industrial Programs List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the	TRC Benefits (PV)	* Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A		\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C		\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C		\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program D		\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program E		\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program F		\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program G		\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program H		\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I		\$ -	0.00				

Name of Program J			\$ -	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Industrial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	0	\$ -
Industrial Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
Total TRC Costs		\$ -						
**Totals TRC - Industrial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00				

5. Agricultural Programs
List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.											
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Total Peak Demand (kW)	Report Year Gross C&DM			
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)			
Name of Program A			\$ -	0.00							
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00							
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00							
Name of Program D			\$ -	0.00							
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00							
Name of Program F			\$ -	0.00							
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00							
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00							
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00							
Name of Program J			\$ -	0.00							
*Totals App. B - Agricultural	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	0	\$ -			
Agricultural Indirect Costs not											
attributable to any specific program											
Total TRC Costs		\$ -									
**Totals TRC - Agricultural	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00							

6. LDC System Programs
List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.
Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	•							Total Peak	Report Year
	•	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
		(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefit	s Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Capacitor Banks	\$	1,724,275	\$ 509,448	\$ 1,214,827	3.38	857,700	34,308,001	425	\$ 324,018
Main Entrance LED Sign	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- 0.00	0	0	0	\$ -

In-House Retrofit	\$ 148,822	\$ 41,725	\$ 107,097	3.57	29,167	3,500,000	0	\$ 115,216
Electricity to Gas	\$ 575,756	\$ 137,640	\$ 438,116	4.18	83,333	9,000,000	300	\$ 137,640
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program F			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ <u>-</u> _	0.00				
*Totals App. B - LDC System	\$ 2,448,853	\$ 688,813	\$ 1,760,040	3.56	970,200	46,808,001	725	\$ 576,875
LDC System Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	 							
Total TRC Costs		\$ 688,813	 					
**Totals TRC - LDC System	\$ 2,448,853	\$ 688,813	\$ 1,760,040	3.56				

7. Smart Meters Program

Only spending information that was authorized under the 3rd tranche of MARR is required to be reported for Smart Meters.

	· ·
Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)	

8. Other #1 Programs
List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

•	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits		Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	•	rt Year C&DM itures (\$)
Administration	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	C	\$	8,391
Name of Program B			\$ -	0.00					
Name of Program C			-	0.00					
Name of Program D			\$ -	0.00					
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00					
Name of Program F			\$ -	0.00					
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00					
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00					
Name of Program I			-	0.00					
Name of Program J			\$ -	0.00					
*Totals App. B - Other #1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	C	\$	8,391
Other #1 Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program									
Total TRC Costs		\$ -							
**Totals TRC - Other #1	\$ -	\$ -	-	0.00					

9. Other #2 Programs
List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of th				lle of the list b	elow.		Total Peak	Report Year
	TRC Benefits	TD0.0 ((D)))	AN (TDOD		Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program B			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$ -	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Other #2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	0	\$ -
Other #2 Indirect Costs not								
attributable to any specific program								
Total TRC Costs		\$ -						
**Totals TRC - Other #2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00				

LDC's CDM PORTFOLIO TOTALS

	TF	RC Benefits (PV)	TRO	Costs (PV)	\$ Ne	t TRC Benefits		eport Year Total kWh Saved	Lif	fecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Gro	port Year ss C&DM nditures (\$)
*TOTALS FOR ALL APPENDIX B	\$	5,779,418	\$	2,015,030	\$	3,764,389	2.87	\$ 4,688,792	\$	116,551,737	\$ 1,358	\$	966,714
Any other Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program													
TOTAL ALL LDC COSTS			\$	2,015,030									
**LDC' PORTFOLIO TRC	\$	5,779,418	\$	2,015,030	\$	3,764,389	2.87						

^{*} The savings and spending information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A. ** The TRC information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A.

Attachment A – 2006 LED Sign Messages

The messages displayed are changed on a monthly basis. The sign displays MEET THE ENERGY CHALLENGE" in addition to the following example messages which have been displayed depending on the season:

- "Keep light fixtures clean. A cleaner bulb is a BRIGHTER bulb"
- "The greatest winter demand for electricity is 4PM to 9PM. Consider switching usage"
- "To save \$\$ turn your thermostat down at night and when you're away"
- "Unplug seldom used TV's. Electricity may be used even when turned off"
- "Who helps you to save money by using LESS of their product? WE DO!"
- "Visit us on the Web for more energy and \$\$ saving tips. www.kwhydro.on.ca"
- "Wrap your electric water heater in a special tank blanket to save electricity"
- "Make a New Year's resolution to join the ENERGY CHALLENGE and reduce your energy consumption by 10%"
- "January is usually the darkest and coldest month of the year. Energy usage is higher in the morning and evening. Shift to off-peak times"
- "Don't forget to clean or replace furnace filters each month during the high heating season"
- "Put on a sweater and set your thermostat to the lowest comfortable setting. Save energy \$\$\$!"
- "Reversible ceiling fans push down hot air in the winter"
- "New electronics over the holiday season??? Don't forget to use the 'sleep mode' and cut energy use in half"
- "Wash your clothes in cold water. Heating the water can use 85% more energy!"
- "Clean Lint filters in your dryer. Clogged filters can use 30% more energy"
- "Defrost freezers to keep them working efficiently"
- "Cold weather and shorter days keeps energy demand high"
- "Switch non-essential chores to off-peak times"
- "Keep the cold air out. Close blinds and curtains at night"
- "Switch to lower wattage bulbs. Your lights may be brighter than you need"
- "Don't leave the refrigerator door open. 30% of the cooled air will escape"
- "Choose to turn off unnecessary lights & lower thermostats to lower your energy usage"
- "A kilowatt saved is a kilowatt that doesn't have to be generated!"
- "Always turn off the lights when leaving a room. It's a myth that it takes more energy to turn a light on!"
- "Microwave ovens use about 50% less energy than conventional ovens!"
- "Is your furnace more than 20 years old? It may be more cost-effective to replace it with a new unit"
- "Use your computer's sleep or idle mode during periods of inactivity"
- "Use light timers to help save energy"

- "Make sure your attic is well insulated and ventilated to avoid heat build-up"
- "Air dry your dishes. You can save 10% of the cost of operating your dishwasher"
- "It's barbeque season! Enjoy cooking outdoors and save energy"
- "Air conditioning can account for half of your home's energy consumption. "Program your system to turn off during hours when no one is around"
- "Keep lamps away from thermostats. The heat produced can cause your A/C to run more than needed"
- "Keep window coverings closed during the day to prevent heat gain from the sun"
- "Use low-voltage or solar lighting to light walkways, patios and decks"
- "A bulb isn't just a bulb anymore. Switch to CFL bulbs and use 75% less energy!"
- "Hot July days keeps demand high throughout the day. Shift or reduce your usage from high demand hours"
- "Install a programmable thermostat. It is one of the easiest ways to save energy \$"
- "Vacuum or wipe dust from your air conditioner's evaporator coil to improve efficiency and lower energy usage!"
- "It's vacation time! Don't pay to cool an empty house. Turn your air conditioner off when you leave for a weekend or longer"
- "Put swimming pool pumps on a timer to run during off-peak hours"
- "Install ceiling fans to supplement or as an alternative to air conditioning"
- "Put a timer on room air conditioners if there's no one home during the day"
- "Electronic devices draw a small yet constant amount of power ... Unplug as many as you can"
- "Get some fresh air! Consider using a clothesline to dry your laundry"
- "Save \$ on your A/C costs. Cool your home to only 24C to 26C instead of the low 20's"
- "Put energy costs on ice! Don't let freezers build up more than 6mm of frost"
- "To maximize heating & cooling efficiency, move furniture away from forced air registers"
- "Turn off unnecessary lights. They produce heat that works against the A/C"
- "Peak demand is shifting between 1-2 PM. Delay energy use until later in the day"
- "Keep stovetop burner reflectors clean. They will reflect the heat more efficiently"
- "Consider a maintenance check on your furnace to ensure peak efficiency"
- "Switch non-essential chores to off-peak times usually later in the evening"
- "Check your attic insulation. Upgrading from 3" to 12" can cut heating costs by 20%"
- "Programmable thermostats can reduce your annual heating bill by up to 15%"
- "Drain a pail of water from the faucet at the bottom of your water heater to remove sediment that reduces energy efficiency"

- "Be aware! Incandescent bulbs known as 'long life' last a long time but give 30% less light while using the same amount of energy"
- "Keep the cold air out! Check for drafts around windows, doors & electrical outlets"
- "Light up the holiday season for less! Switch to seasonal LED lights!
- "Motion sensors are ideal and can also double as security lights"
- "Using a dishwasher is more efficient that hand washing dishes 3-4 times a day"
- "Don't overload your dryer. Large loads make the dryer work longer and waste energy"
- "Seasonal LED lights use 95% less electricity and last 100 times longer than traditional holiday lights"
- "Consider using a humidifier. Properly humidified air feels warmer and allows you to turn your thermostat down"
- "For every degree above 20 degrees that your thermostat is set, your heating costs increase by 5%"
- "While doing your holiday cooking, keep the lids on the pots. You'll save energy and speed up your cooking time!"
- "Use insulating curtains to reduce excessive heat loss from windows at night"

Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro Inc. CDM Plan - Budget to Actual

		Expenditures to Dec. 2006	Budget			Variances	
	General Program Category					(Over Budget) /	
	General Program Category		Original	Changes	Revised	Under Budget	% Remaining
Capital E	xpenditures						
Α.	Utility Side Investments	780,747	1,300,000	(232,360)	1,067,640	286,893	27%
	A.1. Capacitor banks, line loss / load balancing optimization	509,448					
	A.2. KW Hydro in-house retrofit program	133,659					
	A.3. Fuel switching - electricity to gas	137,640					
Operation	n and Maintenance Cost						
В.	Shareholder / Community	66,848	250,000	-	250,000	183,152	73%
	B.1. LED traffic lights	14,000					
	B.2. Municipal buildings program	52,848					
C.	Residential - General	144,074	230,000	(80,640)	149,360	5,286	4%
<u></u>	C.1. Fuel switching - electricity to gas	44,600					
	C.2. Fall coupon program	35,111					
	C.3. Residential Consumers Education	64,363					
D.	Residential - Low Income	32,597	200,000	-	200,000	167,403	84%
	D.1. Low income program with Region	25,147					
	D.2. Audit / educate / retrofit pilot	3,000					
	D.3. Audit / educate / roll out	4,450					
E.	Residential - Electrically Heated Homes	21,733	100,000	(65,000)	35,000	13,267	38%
	E.1. EGH (EnerGuide for houses) increased incentive	21,733					
F.	General Service (ICI) Programs	215,179	200,000	408,000	608,000	392,821	65%
	F.1. Key account seminars / info	2,666					
	F.2. Energy management workshops	9,143					
	F.3. Cool shops	79,860					
	F.4. Lighting retrofit program	119,055					
	F.5. Power factor correction program	4,456					
G.	Administration and Planning	26,043	70,000	(30,000)	40,000	13,957	35%
	G.1. Planning, reporting, hearings, monitoring and evaluation	26,043					
					Т		
SUM	IMARY OF CDM EXPENDITURES	780,747	1,300,000	(232,360)	1,067,640	286,893	12%
	Capital Non Capital	780,747 506,474	1,300,000	(232,360) 232,360	1,067,640	286,893 775,886	33%
	11011 Θαρικαί	1,287,221	2,350,000	232,360	2,350,000	1,062,779	45%
		1,201,221	2,000,000		2,000,000	1,002,110	4370