



### Newmarket Hydro RP-2004-0203 EB-2005-0236

# Conservation and Demand Management Plan Annual Report

April 2, 2007

#### Introduction

The goal of the Newmarket Hydro Conservation and Demand Management Plan (CDM Plan) is to create awareness around the importance of energy conservation and to encourage conservation behaviours by all of its customers. In 2006 our funds supported this goal by granting access to conservation resources in hopes of achieving a sustained conservation movement throughout our service area. Through education campaigns and incentive programs Newmarket Hydro customers are informed and also encouraged to participate in energy conservation activities.

Newmarket Hydro's budget for its CDM Plan is \$1,267,010.00. We are offering several conservation programs targeting customers in all consumer classes.

Residential customers are encouraged to conserve through incentive programs and various education initiatives. We put an emphasis on energy conservation in the home through appliance retirement and upgrades as well as switching to compact fluorescent lighting. Residential customers are also offered tools to help monitor their own energy usage and are therefore aware of opportunities to conserve energy.

Newmarket Hydro has built a working relationship with the housing providers in Newmarket in the social housing sector. We have also successfully engaged members of the commercial and industrial sectors through incentive programs.

On August 17, 2006 the Ontario Energy Board approved Newmarket Hydro's proposal for a Time-of-Use Pricing Pilot Project. In conjunction with this project Newmarket Hydro was approved to reallocate \$130,000 of its CDM funds - \$50,000 from the residential sector and \$80,000 from the business/commercial/industrial sector - to install smart thermostats in the homes of volunteer time-of-use pilot project participants.

#### **Evaluation of the CDM Plan**

Newmarket Hydro's expenditures total \$277,789.00 for the 2006 reporting year representing 22% of its total CDM budget. Total expenditures to date are \$584,284.00 or 46% of the total approved budget.

The benefit to cost ratio for all Newmarket Hydro CDM programs in 2006 is 3.20. The total energy savings achieved are 1,780,131 kWh and the total peak demand saved is 900 kW. Our expenditures per kWh saved amounts to \$0.18/kWh, a decrease of \$0.03/kWh from that seen in 2005. Similarly, the expenditures per kW saved decreased by \$15.00/kW from \$338.70/kW to \$323.70/kW.

Total energy savings as a percentage of total kWh delivered is 0.25%. The peak demand saved as a percentage of Newmarket Hydro's peak load for 2006 is 0.58%

Newmarket Hydro was successful in engaging its residential customers in 2006. The total funding spent on residential programs was \$223,866.00 or 81% of the total spending for the reporting year. For all residential programs including Energy Star appliance rebates, an appliance recycling program, CFL discounts and giveaways, the LED Christmas light exchange, two provincial programs: Switch to Cold and Keep Cool 2006, the Kill A Watt electric usage monitor program and the time-of-use pilot project with programmable thermostats there was benefit to cost ratio of 3.27. The programs yielded a total energy saving of 1,444,627 kWh and a total peak demand savings of 541 kW. The total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered is 0.21% and the peak kW saved as a percentage of our peak kW load is 0.35%.

Two large general service users in the Newmarket area have received an extensive energy audit from Ecosystem Inc. in partnership with Newmarket Hydro. An elementary school and an industrial building underwent lighting retrofits as recommended by the audit whereby Newmarket Hydro provided a combined total incentive of \$10,110.

#### **Discussion of the Programs**

#### **Residential Programs:**

#### CFL Discount and Giveaway Programs

Newmarket Hydro sold 98 six-packs of CFL's to its residential customers in 2006. Each pack is subsidized by Newmarket Hydro in the amount of \$11.00. As incentive to attend public information sessions, Newmarket Hydro gave away 1500 CFL bulbs to residential customers. Both programs have a TRC benefit to cost ratio of 13.12 and yield a combined total annual energy savings of 196,188 kWh.

#### Keep Cool

Newmarket Hydro participated in the Keep Cool program, a provincial conservation program where customers could take an old room air conditioner (RAC) to one of two Home Depot locations and receive a \$25 gift card. A total of 241 residential customers participated in the program of which 145 retired their RAC and 98 replaced it with an Energy Star qualified RAC. The program has a benefit to cost ratio of 3.08 and produces a total annual energy savings of 71,403 kWh. The program also results in a peak demand savings of 132.46 kW.

#### The Kill A Watt Electric Usage Monitor Program

The Kill A Watt electric usage monitor is a conservation tool that allows the customer to see how much electricity an individual appliance or electronic device

is using. It is available for loan or purchase from Newmarket Hydro. The program commenced in the fall of 2006 and 43 residential customers participated. Assuming a 2% energy savings per customer where the average customer uses 500 kWh, the program shows an energy savings of 430 kWh.

#### LED Christmas Light Exchange

A total of 330 customers participated in the LED Christmas light exchange in 2006 where they retire an old set of Christmas lights and receive a new set of 24 LED Christmas lights in exchange. The program yielded an energy savings of 5912 kWh this year.

#### Switch to Cold

In the provincial Switch to Cold program residents were encouraged to wash clothes in cold water to save energy. A total of 550 customers participated online resulting in a 256,988 kWh annual energy savings and an 8.58 kW peak demand savings.

#### Energy Star Qualified Appliance Rebate Program

Newmarket Hydro is offering rebates for the purchase of an Energy Star qualified refrigerator, dishwasher with time delay or front-loading clothes washer. A total of 432 residential customers participated in 2006 yielding a total annual energy savings of 124,504 kWh and a total peak demand savings of 80.1 kW. The benefit to cost ratio for the program is 2.71. The overall lifecycle energy savings of the program amount to 1,768,340 kWh. The rebate program for the Energy Star qualified refrigerator was completed on May 15, 2006.

#### Appliance Retirement Program

A total of 1405 residential customers participated in Newmarket Hydro's appliance recycling program. Customers purchase an appliance pick-up tag from the local municipal office and Newmarket Hydro then reimburses them for the full cost of the tag as a rebate on their hydro bill. Appliances such as dishwashers, clothes washers, clothes dryers, freezers, ranges/ovens and refrigerators are eligible for the rebate. For all appliance categories combined the program yields an annual energy savings of 771,878 kWh. The benefit to cost ratio for the program is 3.27.

#### Time-of-Use Pilot Project

Out of a possible 400 eligible residential customers, 132 volunteered to participate in our smart thermostat program as part of our time-of-use pilot project. The installation of programmable thermostats shows an annual energy savings of 17,324 kWh, a peak demand savings of 319.51 kW and a benefit to cost ratio of 3.75. The thermostats are equipped with a communication chip that allows Newmarket Hydro to cycle participants' air conditioners during provincial critical peak periods. Due to a late start to the program we were unable to run a critical peak event during the cooling season of 2006. Results will be available in 2007.

#### Small Business < 50 kW

Newmarket Hydro is currently researching the use of advanced technology for cooling systems in the small business sector. The product will maximize the use of off-peak electricity where the cost and environmental impact of generation are low. We also plan to participate in the OPA's business incentive program starting in the summer of 2007.

#### **Business/Commercial/Industrial**

In 2006 Newmarket Hydro provided incentives to commercial and industrial customers who partook in energy-efficiency upgrades. Two customers, an elementary school and an industrial building completed lighting retrofits and received a combined total incentive of \$10110.00 - \$2500.00 and \$7610.00 respectively. The program generated a total energy savings of 335,504 kWh and a peak demand savings of 359 kW for the reporting year.

#### **Social Housing**

Members of the social housing community have shown great enthusiasm in implementing conservation measures in their housing complexes. In support of the needs expressed by the Newmarket housing providers through a working relationship with Newmarket Hydro we have allocated a significant portion of our CDM funds to energy efficiency upgrades within five housing complexes in the Newmarket area. Providers are in the process of submitting energy management plans that outline their conservation measures and quantify the energy saving for each. Results of the program will be available in 2007.

We are also funding a pilot project in two electrically-heated housing co-ops in Newmarket. Twelve units, six in each co-op, are participating. Three of the six in each co-op will receive an electric thermal storage unit in supplement to their existing baseboard heating. Electric thermal storage heating uses off-peak electricity to generate heat energy and stores it in ceramic bricks. The stored heat is then circulated throughout the home during on-peak hours when the cost of electricity and environmental impact of generation are high. Results of the pilot will be available in 2007.

In an attempt to spread conservation awareness Newmarket Hydro, in cooperation with members of the social housing community, distributed its first issue of Conservation Comes Home in the fall of 2006. The newsletter focuses on conservation information and tips to be applied in the home and communities at large. It will be distributed to all customers residing in social housing communities in the Newmarket area on a quarterly basis.

#### **Program Development and Administration**

Newmarket Hydro requires administrative support to develop, implement and maintain all of its CDM programs. We also provide customer support via telephone, email, our website and through various public information sessions held throughout the year.

#### **Education Program**

Newmarket Hydro exhausted its funds for the education program in 2005 by developing an education and marketing program. The creation of the Newmarket Hydro Conserve logo has allowed us to be recognized in our community as a leader in conservation initiatives. We continue to provide education and marketing for all programs through their individual budgets.

#### **Lessons Learned**

#### **Residential Programs**

Newmarket Hydro CDM programs targeting the residential sector proved to be successful for the 2006 reporting year.

#### CFL Discount and Giveaway Programs

The CFL discount and giveaway programs created awareness around the benefits of switching to compact fluorescent lighting. The programs yield a total lifecycle energy savings of 784,754 kWh. Newmarket Hydro will continue to promote the use of CFL's by subsidizing the cost of a 6-pack of CFL's and through giveaways.

#### Keep Cool

The Keep Cool program was successful in informing the public of the energy and environmental costs of old room air conditioning units. It contributed a total lifecycle energy savings of 474,038 kWh to our residential programs. Results indicate that it is much more beneficial to have an RAC retired instead of replaced by an Energy Star qualified one. Approximately 60% of our participants retired an old RAC amounting to a lifecycle energy savings of 382,800 kWh, representing 81% of total lifecycle energy savings for the program. Newmarket Hydro is hoping to participate in the provincial Keep Cool program for the summer of 2007.

#### The Kill A Watt Electric Usage Monitor Program

Newmarket Hydro 's residential customers have responded well to the Kill A Watt electric usage monitor program. We will continue to offer the usage monitor to residential customers for sign-out or purchase in attempt to educate the public

and create awareness. We have recently expanded the program by making the monitors available at the local municipal office as well as the local public library.

#### The LED Christmas Light Exchange

The LED Christmas light exchange was a success in 2006. The program will produce a lifecycle energy savings of 118,232 kWh with a benefit to cost ratio of 8.89. Newmarket Hydro hopes to host another LED Christmas light exchange in the latter part of 2007.

#### Switch to Cold

The provincial Switch to Cold program has a benefit to cost ratio of 4.14 and proved to be successful in 2006. Newmarket Hydro would gladly participate if the program is run again in 2007.

#### Energy Star Qualified Appliance Rebate Program

In the case of the Energy Star qualified appliance rebate program, the front-loading clothes washer rebate shows the best results with an individual annual energy savings of 111,024 kWh, representing 89% of the total annual energy savings for the program. Peak demand savings for the washer is 51.9 kW and represents 74% of the total peak demand savings for the program. The Energy Star qualified dishwasher with time delay rebate program showed poor results with a benefit to cost ratio of only 0.63. The dishwasher rebate program was developed in response to requests from our time-of-use pilot project participants. The time delay setting allows for load shifting to off-peak times. This benefit cannot be quantified using the TRC and will therefore be further evaluated. The Energy Star qualified refrigerator rebate program ended as of May 15, 2006 due a poor benefit to cost result in 2005 of 0.98.

#### Appliance Recycling Program

The appliance recycling program yielded a total lifecycle energy savings of 4,631,268 kWh, a result far greater than any other residential program offered by Newmarket Hydro. The refrigerator recycling program is the most beneficial with an individual energy savings of 333,720 kWh, representing 43% of the annual energy savings of the total program, and a benefit to cost ratio of 4.78. Newmarket Hydro will continue to fund the appliance recycling program until the launch of the OPA appliance retirement program in the summer of 2007.

#### Time-of-Use Pilot Project

The installation of programmable thermostats as part of our time-of-use pilot project yields a summer demand savings of 319.51 kW. This is the most demand savings achieved by a residential program offered by Newmarket Hydro in 2006. Newmarket Hydro is planning to install more thermostats throughout the Newmarket area through participation in the OPA's residential and small commercial demand response program for the 2007 cooling season.

As part of the time-of-use pilot project, in the summer of 2007 Newmarket Hydro will be offering a Critical Peak Price Rebate (CPPR) where we will declare a critical peak event when the demand for electricity is high in Ontario and customers are encouraged to conserve energy through a rebate incentive. For all participating customers Newmarket Hydro will calculate their projected usage for the given time period using an average of the last five weekdays and weather correcting it. Any customers who use less than their projected usage for that time period will receive a rebate per kWh saved. Participating customers will be notified prior to the event and the critical peak period will run from 2 to 6 hours depending on demand requirements. We expect positive results from the program to be reported in 2007.

#### Business/Commercial/Industrial > 50 kW

The incentive program for our >50 kW customers was successful in 2006. The two lighting retrofits completed in 2006 lead to a lifecycle energy savings of 1,677,521 kWh with a benefit to cost ratio of 2.92. This program alone saved 0.23% of the peak load for Newmarket in 2006.

Newmarket Hydro is currently working with two additional industrial buildings that will be completing energy-efficiency upgrades in 2007. Results will be available at this time. We will continue to promote energy saving measures in the commercial and industrial sectors and provide incentive where applicable. Newmarket Hydro plans to participate in the OPA business incentive program commencing in the summer of 2007.

#### Please note:

Newmarket Hydro believes there is an error in the TRC Guide Assumptions and Measures List for the Industrial sector. The Commercial list, "Interior Lighting – Warehouse & Workshop" uses annual operating time of 4000 hours. In the Industrial list, "Interior Lighting – Warehouse & Plant", for the same equipment, the annual operating time is 6000 hours. This operating hour difference in the Industrial Assumptions and Measures List should yield different Base Annual Energy and different Efficient Energy Use calculations. In all but two of the calculations the same numbers are used on the Commercial page and the Industrial page. Newmarket Hydro is appealing to the Board to change the TRC Guide to correct the guide. Thank you.

#### **Social Housing**

We expect high energy savings from our program funding energy-efficiency upgrades in 5 social housing complexes in the Newmarket area. We also expect positive results from our electric thermal storage pilot and hope to relieve some of the burden placed on our customers residing in social housing complexes with electric heat. We will continue to work with the housing providers on the above mentioned conservation and demand management initiatives and expect positive

results to be reported in 2007. We are working with the Social Housing Services Corporation (SHSC) and the OPA to further develop our conservation programs in the social housing sector for the coming year.

#### Conclusion

The 2006 reporting year has been very successful one for the Newmarket Hydro conservation and demand management programs. We have had overwhelming participation from our customers in the residential sector and have achieved positive results from all residential programs. Our incentive program for the Business/Commercial/Industrial sector has successfully encouraged large users to invest in lighting retrofits that reduce operating costs and generate high energy savings. We have successfully engaged members of the social housing community and continue to work closely with those individuals to achieve our conservation goals.

Newmarket Hydro remains in close contact will all of its customers in regard to conservation matters. We continue to refine our conservation programs to meet customer needs and achieve optimum results. Our plans for 2007 include the expansion of our conservation education program to reach elementary school students, sponsorship and participation in a local ecology festival, further development of our two pilot projects, the time-of-use pilot with smart thermostats and the electric thermal storage pilot, and the continuation of our current programs as long as funds are available. As a continuation of our diverse conservation programs we will participate in all four conservation programs facilitated by the OPA to commence in the summer of 2007. Newmarket Hydro has completed a successful year in conservation and demand management and look forward to our future conservation endeavors.

# Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

Highlighted boxes are to be completed manually, white boxes are linked to Appendix C and will be brought forward automatically.

	s Cumulative Totals Life-to- date	Total for 2006	Residential	Commercial	Social Housing	Program Social Housing Development & Administration	Education	LDC System
Net TRC value (\$):	\$768.915	\$ 485,303	\$ 399,123	\$ 86,180	· •	,	•	, <del>(/)</del>
Benefit to cost ratio:	347	3.20	3.27	2.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	00:0
Number of participants or units delivered:	63200	31422	4733	2	12		26675	
Lifecycle (KWh) Savings:	17,454.786	10,023,400	8,345,879	1,677,521	0	0	0	0
Report Year Total kWh saved (kWh):	3,245,140	1,780,131	1,444,627	335,504	0	0	0	0
Total peak demand saved (KW):	1805	006	541	359		0	0	0
Total KWh saved as a percentage of total KWh delivered (%):	0 46%	0.25%	0.21%	0.05%				
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):	1.16%	0.58%	0.35%	0.23%				
, Report Year Gross C&DM expenditures (\$):	\$584,284	\$ 277,789	\$ 223,866	\$ 10,110	\$ 10,384	\$ 34,010	-\$ 582	ι <del>છ</del>
2 Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh):	\$0.18	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.01	- <del>СЭ</del>	1 69	, <del>СЭ</del>	ι <del>છ</del>
з Expenditures per КW saved (\$/kW):	\$323.70	\$ 308.61	\$ 414.07	\$ 28.13	<del>СЭ</del>	· •	&	, ↔

Utility discount rate (%):

Expenditures are reported on accrual basis.
 Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.
 Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.
 Please report spending related to 3rd tranche of MARR funding only. TRC calculations are not required for Smart Meters. Only actual expenditures for the year need to be reported.
 Includes total for the reporting year, plus prior year, if any (for example, 2006 CDM Annual report for third tranche will include 2005 and 2004 numbers, if any.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

A.	Name of the Program: Energy Gateway Pilot Project and Advantage and Adva							
	Description of the program (inclu	ıdıng intent, design, delivery, p	artnerships and evaluation):					
	This energy management pilot prog thermostat and the existing electric capabilities and information. Goals and small commercial facilities; man	meter and back office system via of this project were to prove the	the internet to provide customer technology and infrastructure exis	s with energy management				
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)				
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):							
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date							
В.	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:				
	<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$): <sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):			\$2,409.30				
	Utility	program cost (excluding incentives): Il Measure Costs (Equipment Costs) Total TRC costs:		900 \$900.00				
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			\$1,509.30				
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits	s/TRC Costs):		2.68				
C.	Results: (one or more category ma	y apply)		Cumulative Results:				
	Conservation Programs:							
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter		. 11. 20. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12				
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	lifecycle	in year	Cumulative Cumulative Lifecycle Annual Savings				
	Demand Management Programs							
	Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-pea Energy shifted On-peak to Off-pea Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-pea	k (kWh): k (kWh):						
	Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hou	urs):		#				

Amount of KVar installed (KVar): Distribution system power factor a Distribution system power factor a			
Line Loss Reduction Programs Peak load savings (kW):		a sha bhitheach Air Aire	
Energy savings (kWh):	lifecycle	in year	
Distributed Generation and Local Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	ad Displacement Programs:		
Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			yt fattlicher Wiffer fi
Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date           \$ 25,000.00           \$ 25,000.00
Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:		
Assumptions & Comments:			

D.

Ē.

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b
2 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Residential - Compact Fluorescent Light Discount

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers can purchase a 6-pack of Philips Marathon 15w CFL's for \$11.49 plus taxes. Newmarket Hydro subsidizes this program at a rate of \$11.00 per 6 pack.

Measure(	s	١:
----------	---	----

	Measure	1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:		139.2		
Efficient technology:		34.8		
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:		00		
Measure life (years):		4		
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date		250		

TRC Results:	Repo	rting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
TRC Benefits (\$):	\$	13,882.05	\$35,272.13
TRC Costs (\$):			
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):			
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$		\$2,699.48
Total TRC costs	\$	1,058.40	\$2,699.48
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$	12,823.65	\$32,572.13

#### Results: (one or more category may apply)

**Cumulative Results:** 

#### Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer Winter

Energy saved (kWh):

lifecycle

in year

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative **Annual Savings** 

220994

55248

140940

Other resources saved:

563760

Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

<b>Amount</b>	of KVar	installed	(KVar):
---------------	---------	-----------	---------

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	\$ 1,390.53 \$ 5,321.25
		Incentive: Total:	\$ 1,078.00 \$ 2,750.00 \$ 2,468.53 \$ 6,399.25
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Residential - Compact Fluorescent Light Giveaway

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro provides Philips Marathon Classic 60 16w CFL's as an incentive for attendance of public information sessions discussing various CDM programs.

M	ea	e i	re	/e	١.

. ,	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	1;	39.2	
Efficient technology:	•	34.8	
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:		1 <b>500</b>	
Measure life (years):		4	
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	1	1680	

TRC Results:	<u>Repo</u>	orting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
TRC Benefits (\$):	\$	35,413.40	\$74,816.17
TRC Costs (\$):			
Utility program cost (excluding incentives)	).		
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs	s) \$	2,700.00	\$5,724.00
Total TRC costs	s: \$	2,700.00	\$5,724.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$	32,713.40	\$69,092.17

#### Results: (one or more category may apply)

**Cumulative Results:** 

#### **Conservation Programs:**

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

lifecycle

Cumulative

Cumulative

Energy saved (kWh):

Lifecycle

Annual Savings

563760

140940

1195171

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 10,400.40 \$ 32,122.80 \$ 10,400.40 \$ 32,122.80
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred <u>and</u> the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Residential - Keep Cool Program (No Replacement)

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

In collaboration with the Clean Air Foundation Newmarket Hydro encouraged customers to retire old, inefficient room air conditioners (RAC's). Customers brought an old RAC to one of two Home Depot locations and received a \$25 gift card.

	Measure(s):								
			Measur	e 1	N	leasure 2 (	if applicable)	Measure 3	3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:			88	0			the second second	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	Efficient technology:	4		79	2				
	Number of participants or u	ınits							
	delivered for reporting year			4.4					-
		•	4	14					
	Measure life (years):	* * * * *		1;	2				
	Number of Participants or ι	ınits							3 Je 1 - 1
	delivered life to date			14	5				
	TRC Results:					Panarti	ing Year	l ifo to dat	e TRC Results:
	<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):				. (*	Keporti			
					\$		23,519.33	5	\$23,519.33
,	<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):								
		Utility program co	st (excludii	ng incentives):					
	Inc	cremental Measure	Costs (Equ	ipment Costs)	\$	100	7,250.00	).	\$7,250.00
			Tota	al TRC costs	\$		7,250.00	)	\$7,250.00
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):				\$	· · ·	16,269.33		\$16,269.33
	7-1-1-1-1				<u> </u>		10,200.00	<u> </u>	Ψ10,200.00
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC	Benefits/TRC Cos	ts):		\$		3.24	1 -	3.24
C.	Results: (one or more cate	gory may apply)				_		Cumula	tive Results:
	Conservation Programs:								
	Demand savings (kW):			Summer	39.15		٠.		39.15
	Domana savings (KVV).			Winter	00,10				39.13
								Cumulative	Cumulative
			lifecyc	le		in y	⁄ear	Lifecycle	Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh):	382800	•		6380	0		382800	63800
	Other resources saved :	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				7			-3000
		> / 2\·							
	ivaturai C	Gas (m3):				100			18 D. C.

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Other (specify):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:	<del>.</del> .	Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ 15,276.29 \$ 15,276.29
	Total:		\$ 15,276.29 \$ 15,276.29
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	

#### E. Assumptions & Comments:

Total program cost is \$25460.49. Since 145 participants represents approximately 60% of the total participation we have therefore reported 60% of total program costs under Utility direct costs for this portion of the program.

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Residential - Keep Cool Program (old RAC replaced by new Energy Star RAC)

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

In collaboration with the Clean Air Foundation Newmarket Hydro encouraged customers to retire old, inefficient room air conditioners (RAC's). Customers brought an old RAC to one of two Home Depot locations and received a \$25 gift card.

Measure 1		Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	880 792	,	
	12		
	96		
	Measure 1	880 792 96 12	880 792 96 12

TRC Results:		 Reporting Y	<u>′ear</u>	Life-to-date TRC	Results:
<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	8,344.61		\$8,344.61
<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):					
	Utility program cost (excluding incentives):				
	Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	3,110.40		\$3,110.40
	Total TRC costs:	\$	3,110.40		\$3,110.40
Net TRC (in year CD	N \$):	\$	5,234.21		\$5,234.21
Benefit to Cost Ratio	(TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):	\$	2.68	w lad.	2.68

C	Results:	(one or	more cate	gory may	apply)

**Cumulative Results:** 

#### **Conservation Programs:**

Demand savings (kW):

Summer Winter

lifecycle

Cumulative

Cumulative

Energy saved (kWh):

in year

Lifecycle

**Annual Savings** 

Other resources saved:

91238

7603

91238

7603

Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ 10,184.20 \$ 10,184.20
		Total:	\$ 10,184.20 \$ 10,184.20
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	

#### E. Assumptions & Comments:

Total program cost is \$25460.49. Since 96 participants represents approximately 40% of the total participation we have therefore reported 40% of total program costs under Utility direct costs for this portion of the program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred <u>and</u> the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Residential - Kill A Watt Electric Usage Monitor Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to borrow a Kill A Watt electric usage monitor for a maximum period of 30 days at no charge. The monitor is a conservation awareness tool that lets the customer determine how much electricity individual appliances and electronic devices are using on an hourly, weekly or monthly basis. Customer can then figure out the energy costs of the appliance from the usage read-out it displays. Customers can also purchase the monitor for \$29.99 plus taxes, the minimum amount we are permitted to charge as determined by the manufacturer. Newmarket Hydro has recently extended the program by making the monitors available to customers at the local municipal government office as well the local public library.

Measure(s): Measure 2 (if applicable) Measure 3 (if applicable) Measure 1 Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years): Number of Participants or units delivered life to date 43 **TRC Results:** Reporting Year Life-to-date TRC Results: <sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$): 2 TRC Costs (\$): Utility program cost (excluding incentives): Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs) Total TRC costs: Net TRC (in year CDN \$): Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs): Results: (one or more category may apply) Cumulative Results: **Conservation Programs:** Demand savings (kW): Summer Winter Cumulative Cumulative Lifecycle Annual Savings in year lifecycle Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of	KVar	installed	(KVar)

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in veer

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

430 kWh

430 kWh

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting	<u>Year</u>	Cumulative Life	e to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ \$	2,506.20 \$ 2,506.20 \$		2,506.20 2,506.20
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:				

#### E. Assumptions & Comments:

Assume an energy savings (kWh) of 2% for each participant where the average participant used 500 kWh.

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred <u>and</u> the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Residential - LED Christmas Light Exchange

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers were encouraged to bring in an old set of Christmas lights and receive one free set of 24 LED Indoor/Outdoor Christmas lights.

Measure(s)	:
------------	---

. ,	Measure 1	1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:		19.4		
Efficient technology:		0.54		
Number of participants or units	The second	•		
delivered for reporting year:		330		
Measure life (years):		30	•	and the first of the second
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date		714		

<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):	\$	E 570 00	
	Ψ.	5,572.62	\$11,822.36
<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):			
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):			$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cap \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	627.00	1356.6
Total TRC costs:	\$	627.00	1356.6
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$	4,945.62	\$10,465.76

#### Results: (one or more category may apply)

**Cumulative Results:** 

#### Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer Winter

Energy saved (kWh):

lifecycle

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative

118232

5912

Annual Savings 12791

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar in	nstalled (KVa	r)
-------------------	---------------	----

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:	<del></del>		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ .	3,972.00 \$ 3,972.00 \$	11,987.50 11,987.50
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:			

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Residential - Switch to Cold

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers were informed about the Switch to Cold campaign through a billing insert in 2005. Customers were provided with information on average savings achieved by washing in cold water and encouraged to participate online and were provided with a code unique to each LDC.

	Measure(s):	Measure 1		Measure 2 (if a	anliachla)	Macaura 2	if applicable)
	Paga agas taghnalagur	****	779	weasure ∠ (ii a	pplicable)	weasure 3	if applicable)
	Base case technology:	•	156				
	Efficient technology: Number of participants or units		100	**			
	delivered for reporting year:		550				
	,	•	330				
	Measure life (years):		1	:			
	Number of Participants or units						
	delivered life to date	10	022				
B.	TRC Results:			Reporting	Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:
	TRC Benefits (\$):		\$		17,074.32		\$31,727.19
	<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):						•
	Utility	program cost (excluding incentive	ıs):			÷.,	
	Incrementa	al Measure Costs (Equipment Cos	its) \$		4,125.00		\$7,665.00
		Total TRC co.			4,125.00		\$7,665.00
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12,949.32		\$24,062.19
					·		
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefit	s/TRC Costs):	\$		4.14	•	4.14
C.	Results: (one or more category ma	ay apply)				Cumulati	ve Results:
	Conservation Programs:						
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer	8.58	3			15.94
	z comana cannigo (moy).	Winter			1		10.01
					•		
						Cumulative	Cumulative
		lifecycle		in yea	r	Lifecycle	Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved :	256988	256	988	·	477531	477531
	Natural Gas (m3)					* .	
	Other (specify)			-		٠	
	Sales (speediy)	•					₹.
	Demand Management Programs	<u>.</u>					

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	
Distribution system power factor at beginning of year	(%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:	·	Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 500.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Residential - Energy Star Qualified Refrigerator Rebate Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers were encouraged to replace an older refrigerator with one that is Energy Star qualified. Upon proof of purchase the customer received a \$100 rebate applied to their Newmarket Hydro bill. The program was completed as of May 15, 2006.

Measure(s):	Measure 1		Magaura 2 (if applicable)	Manager 2 (if analisable)
Base case technology: Efficient technology:	weasure i	514 440	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):		97 19		
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date		121		

TRC Benefits (\$):  TRC Costs (\$):  Utility program cost (excluding incentives):	6,197.05 \$7,677
•••	
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):	
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs) \$	6,111.00 \$7,623
Total TRC costs: \$	6,111.00 \$7,623
Net TRC (in year CDN \$): \$	86.05 \$118

U.	(one or more category may apply)	

**Cumulative Results:** 

#### Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):

Summer Winter 28.2

Energy saved (kWh):

lifecycle

in year

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative

122744

6460

153114

**Annual Savings** 8058

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting \	<u>′ear</u>	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	 		
		Incremental O&M:	*		
		Incentive:	\$	9,700.00 \$	12,100.00
		Total:	\$	9,700.00 \$	12,100.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:			
		Total:	1		

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Residential - Energy Star Qualified Dishwasher with Time Delay Setting Rebate Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to replace their old dishwasher with one that is Energy Star qualified and has a time delay setting. The program provides energy savings through upgrading to Energy Star efficiency and also encourages load shifting to offpeak times. The program commenced in September 2006 and offers a \$75 rebate applied to the customer's Newmarket Hydro bill. All Energy Star qualifed dishwasher with time delay purchased after September 1, 2006 qualify for the rebate.

Measure(s):	Meas	ure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable	e) Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:		592		
Efficient technology:		492		
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:		78		
Measure life (years):		13		
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date		78		

B. TRC Results:			Reporting Y	<u>'ear</u>	Life-to-date TRC F	Results:
<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):		\$		4,434.01		\$4,434.01
<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):						
	Utility program cost (excluding incentives):					8 - 19 41 L
	Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$		7,020.00		\$7,020.00
	Total TRC costs:	\$		7,020.00		\$7,020.00
Net TRC (in year CDN	/ \$):	-\$		2,585.99		-\$2,585.99
Benefit to Cost Ratio (	TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):	\$		0.64		0.64

#### Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

#### **Conservation Programs:**

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

Energy saved (kWh): 91260

Cumulative in year

Cumulative

lifecycle

Lifecycle

Annual Savings

Other resources saved:

7020

91260

Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Re	eporting Year C	umulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ \$	5,850.00 \$ 5,850.00 \$	5,850.00 5,850.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:			

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Residential - Energy Star Front-Loading Clothes Washer Rebate Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to replace an older clothes washer with an Energy Star qualified front-loading clothes washer. Upon proof of purchase the customers receive a \$100 rebate applied to their Newmarket Hydro bill.

	Measure(s):							
		Measure	e 1	Ме	asure 2 (if a	pplicable)	Measure 3 (	if applicable)
	Base case technology:		779					
	Efficient technology:		299					
	Number of participants or units							
	delivered for reporting year:		257	•				
	Measure life (years):	•	14:					
	Number of Participants or units							
	delivered life to date		290					
В.	TRC Results:				Reporting		Life-to-date	TRC Results:
1	TRC Benefits (\$):			\$		150,381.13		\$169,183.16
2	TRC Costs (\$):							
	· ·	program cost (excludir	= :					
	Incrementa	al Measure Costs (Equi	ipment Costs)	\$		46,260.00		\$52,200.00
		Tota	al TRC costs:	\$		46,260.00		\$52,200.00
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			\$		104,121.13		\$116,983.16
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefit	s/TRC Costs):				3.25	. 1 + . 1.	3.21
C.	Results: (one or more category ma	ay apply)					Cumulativ	/e Results:
	Conservation Programs:							
	Demand savings (kW):		Summer Winter	51.9				58.56
	,				•	-	Cumulative	Cumulative Annual Savings
		lifecyci	le	44460	in yea		Lifecycle	
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	1554336		11102	4		1753920	125280
	Natural Gas (m3,	);			4 4		\$ ** *	
		: Water 280 m³	:	Water	20 m³			

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar in	nstalled (	(KVar).
-------------------	------------	---------

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs: Reporting Year		<u>ear</u>	Cumulative Life to Date		
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive:	·	25,700.00 \$	and the second s	29,000.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Total: Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:				the state of the s
		Total:				

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Other Programs - Recycling Program - Dishwasher

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to retire old and inefficient appliances. Our customers are reimbursed for the full cost of an appliance pick-up tag purchased at the local Municipal office. A rebate of \$11.00 is applied to their Newmarket Hydro account for each appliance pick-up tag purchased.

Measure(s):						
	Measure 1		Measure 2 (if applic	able)	Measure	3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:		592				
Efficient technology:						
Number of participants or units						
delivered for reporting year:		311				
Measure life (years):	•	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Number of Participants or units			1.			

TRC Results:	Reporting Y	'ear	<u>Life-t</u>	o-date TR	C Results:
<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):	\$	30,828.35			\$64,173.68
<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):				•	
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):		÷			
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	15,550.00			\$32,550.00
Total TRC costs:	\$	15,550.00			\$32,550.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$ 	15,278.35	·		\$31,623.68
			-		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):	\$	1.98	+ .		1.97

#### Results: (one or more category may apply)

Cumulative Results:

#### **Conservation Programs:**

Demand savings (kW):

delivered life to date

Summer Winter

litecycle in year Cumulative

Cumulative

Energy saved (kWh):

552336

92056

Lifecycle

**Annual Savings** 

Other resources saved:

1156176

192696

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

#### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Report	ing Year C	umulative Life to	Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			
		Incentive: Total:	\$ \$	3,421.00 \$ 3,421.00 \$		7,161.00 7,161.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:				

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

#### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Measure(s):

Other Programs - Recycling Program - Clothes Washer

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to retire old and inefficient appliances. Our customers are reimbursed for the full cost of an appliance pick-up tag purchased at the local Municipal office. A rebate of \$11:00 is applied to their Newmarket Hydro account for each appliance pick-up tag purchased.

Measure 2 (if applicable)

	Measure 1	
Base case technology:		779
Efficient technology:		
Number of participants or units		
delivered for reporting year:	*	250

Measure life (years):

Number of Participants or units delivered life to date 552

3. TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:	
<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):	\$	32,609.61	\$71,583.95	
<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):				
Utility program cost (excluding incentives	):			
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs	s) \$	12,500.00	\$27,600.00	
Total TRC cost	's: \$	12,500.00	\$27,600.00	
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$	20,109.61	\$43,983.95	
		·		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):	\$	2.61	2.6	

Results: (one or more category may apply)

**Cumulative Results:** 

Measure 3 (if applicable)

**Conservation Programs:** 

Demand savings (kW):

Summer Winter

Cumulative

Cumulative

Energy saved (kWh):

lifecycle

in year

Lifecycle

**Annual Savings** 

584250

97375

1290024

215004

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

**Demand Management Programs:** 

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

**Demand Response Programs:** 

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

#### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

#### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

#### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Repo	orting Year	Cumulative Life to Date		
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ \$	2,750.00 \$ 2,750.00 \$		6,072.00 6,072.00	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:					

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Other Programs - Recycling Program - Clothes Dryer

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to retire old and inefficient appliances. Our customers are reimbursed for the full cost of an appliance pick-up tag purchased at the local Municipal office. A rebate of \$11.00 is applied to their Newmarket Hydro account for each appliance pick-up tag purchased.

Measure	(2)	
Measure	5,	

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology: Efficient technology:	916		
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:	219		
Measure life (years):	6 :		
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	439		

B. TRC Results:	Reporting '	Year	Life-to-date TR	C Results:
<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):	\$ •	33,589.82		\$66,974.91
<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):				
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):		1.0		
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$	10,950.00		\$21,950.00
Total TRC costs:	\$	10,950.00		\$21,950.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$ 	22,639.82		\$45,024.91
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):	\$	3.07		3.06

### Results: (one or more category may apply)

**Cumulative Results:** 

### **Conservation Programs:**

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

Energy saved (kWh):

lifecycle

in year

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative Annual Savings

601812

100302

1206372

201062

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		<u>Re</u> r	oorting Year	Cumulative Life to Date		
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:					
		Incentive: Total:	\$ \$	2,409.00 \$ 2,409.00 \$		4,829.00 4,829.00	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:					

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Other Programs - Recycling Program - Freezer

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to retire old and inefficient appliances. Our customers are reimbursed for the full cost of an appliance pick-up tag purchased at the local Municipal office. A rebate of \$11.00 is applied to their Newmarket Hydro account for each appliance pick-up tag purchased.

Measure(s):	Measure 1		Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology: Efficient technology:		900		
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):		115 6		
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date		277		

Reporting Year	Life-to-date TR	C Results:
\$ 37,079.29		\$88,712.88
\$ 10,350.00		\$24,930.00
\$ 10,350.00		\$24,930.00
\$ 26,729.29		\$63,779.88
\$ 3.58	e a e	3.56
\$	\$ 37,079.29 \$ 10,350.00 \$ 10,350.00 \$ 26,729.29	\$ 37,079.29 \$ 10,350.00 \$ 26,729.29

C.	Results:	(one or more	category n	nay appl	y)
----	----------	--------------	------------	----------	----

**Cumulative Results:** 

**Conservation Programs:** 

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

lifecycle

Winter

in year

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative **Annual Savings** 

Energy saved (kWh):

558900

93150

1346220

224370

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:			Reporting Year		Cumulative Life to Date		
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	<b>\$</b> \$	1,265.0 1,265.0			3,047.00 3,047.00	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:						

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Other Programs - Recycling Program - Range/Ovens

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to retire old and inefficient appliances. Our customers are reimbursed for the full cost of an appliance pick-up tag purchased at the local Municipal office. A rebate of \$11.00 is applied to their Newmarket Hydro account for each appliance pick-up tag purchased.

IVE	е	a	5	u	T	3(	5	,	·

modouro(o).	Measure 1		Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:		550		
Efficient technology:				and the second second
Number of participants or units		•		
delivered for reporting year:		201		
Measure life (years):		6		
Number of Participants or units				
delivered life to date		381		

B. TRC Results:	 Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):	\$ 18,510.87	\$34,911.84
<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):		
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):		
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)	\$ 10,050.00	\$19,050.00
Total TRC costs:	\$ 10,050.00	\$19,050.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$ 8,460.87	\$15,861.84
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):	\$ 1.84	1.83

### Results: (one or more category may apply)

**Cumulative Results:** 

### **Conservation Programs:**

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

lifecycle

in year

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative Annual Savings

Energy saved (kWh):

331650

55275

628650

104775

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

### Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%): Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

**Line Loss Reduction Programs:** 

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

**Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:** 

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs: Reporting Year		Cumulative Life to Date	
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:		
		Incentive: Total:		211.00 \$ 4,191.00 211.00 \$ 4,191.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:		

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

### (complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:

Other Programs - Recycling Program - Refrigerator

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro customers are encouraged to retire old and inefficient appliances. Our customers are reimbursed for the full cost of an appliance pick-up tag purchased at the local Municipal office. A rebate of \$11.00 is applied to their Newmarket Hydro account for each appliance pick-up tag purchased.

	Measure(s):					
		Measure 1		Measure 2 (if	applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:		1200	and the second		
	Efficient technology:					
	Number of participants or units	* ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *				
	delivered for reporting year:	1	309			
	Measure life (years):		6			
	Number of Participants or units		<u>.</u>			
	delivered life to date		752			
B.	TRC Results:	<u>.</u>		Reportin	g Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
	<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):		9	\$	132,840.59	\$321,101.33
	<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):				•	¥3=1,73,000
	Utility pro	gram cost (excluding incentiv	/es):		. <del>.</del>	
	Incremental N	leasure Costs (Equipment Co	osts) \$	3	27,810.00	\$67,680.00
		Total TRC c	osts: \$	<b>}</b>	27,810.00	\$67,680.00
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$	3	105,030.59	\$253,421.33
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/T	RC Costs):	\$	3	4.78	4.75
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)			,,	Cumulative Results:

### **Conservation Programs:**

Demand savings (kW):

Summer

Winter

lifecycle

in year

Cumulative Lifecycle

Cumulative

Energy saved (kWh):

2002320

333720

4872960

Annual Savings

Other resources saved:

Natural Gas (m3):

Other (specify):

### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)

Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of K	Var in	stalled	(KVar).
-------------	--------	---------	---------

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%): Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting	g Year	Cumulativ	e Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ \$	3,399.00 \$ 3,399.00 \$		8,272.00 8,272.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:				

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A.	Name of the Program:	TOU Pilot			
	Description of the program (inc	luding intent, design, delivery, p	partnerships and evaluation):		
	132 homes in Newmarket. The th communication chip which allows	pject, beginning in the summer of 2 ermostats are highly sophisticated Newmarket Hydro to cycle particip eptember 2006. Due to the late sta vallable in 2007	l with the option of programming to ants' air conditioners during provi	nrough the Interr ncial critical pea	net as well a k periods.
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Magaura 3	(if applicable)
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):	Measure 1		Measure 3	(п аррпсаше)
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	133	2		
	TRC Results:  1 TRC Benefits (\$):  2 TRC Costs (\$):	<del></del>	Reporting Year \$ 24,527,77.		TRC Results: \$24,527.77
		y program cost (excluding incentives): tal Measure Costs (Equipment Costs) Total TRC costs.	\$ 6,534.00		\$6,534,00 \$6,534,00
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ 17,993.77	\$ 17,993.77	,
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefi	its/TRC Costs):	3.75		3.75
C.	Results: (one or more category m	nay apply)		Cumulat	ive Results:
	Conservation Programs:				
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter	319.5126		319.5126
		lifecycle	in year	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	3/1829	17324	311829	17324
	Natural Gas (m: Other (specify	9):		)	
	Demand Management Programs	<u>s:</u>			
	Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-pe Energy shifted On-peak to Off-pea Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-pe	ak (kWh):			
	Demand Response Programs:				
	Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (ho	ours):			AFRICALAÇÃ Dva no ceousia
				ৰ প্ৰথম কৰিবলৈ এই চাডি সংচাৰ্থীক	Missing property was a section of

	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):				
	Distribution system power factor a	at beginning of year (%):			
	Distribution system power factor a	at end of year (%):			
	Line Loss Reduction Programs	<u> </u>			
	Peak load savings (kW):				
		lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Loa	ad Displacement Programs:			
	Amount of DG installed (kW):				
	Energy generated (kWh):				
	Peak energy generated (kWh):				
	Fuel type:				
	Other Programs (specify):				
	Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date		
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:	\$ 118,812,00 \$ 118,812.00		
		Incentive:			
		Total:	\$ 118,812.00 \$ 118,812.00		
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:			
		Total:			
_					
E.	Assumptions & Comments:	As received market in the frequency of the court of the first	THE ACCUPANT AND SELF TENTIAL PROPERTY OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CO		
		为第5条。 第5条第二条第5条第5条第5条第			
		leto trata especial de Pois de Aria			

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b
For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Name of the Program:	Small Business < 50 kW		
Description of the program (inc	cluding intent, design, delivery,	partnerships and evaluation):	
Newmarket Hydro is evaluating se	ome new technology that maximiz	es the use of off-peak electricity by	air conditioners during the
cooling season.			
Measure(s):			
Dana ann tachardana	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology: Efficient technology:			
Number of participants or units	and the state of t		
delivered for reporting year:			
Measure life (years):			
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date			
TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):			
<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):			
	y program cost (excluding incentives) Ital Measure Costs (Equipment Costs	the contract of the contract o	
natinen	Total TRC costs.		
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	70tai 1740 coste	ter a service de la companya de la c	
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benef	its/TRC Costs):		a Tomato anosal indicate 27
Pagultar (and or more estagen)	any anniv		
Results: (one or more category n	пау арріу)		Cumulative Results:
Conservation Programs:			
Demand savings (kW):	Summer		
	Winter		
	liës a vala	:	Cumulative Cumulative
Energy saved (kWh):	lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle Annual Savings
Other resources saved :			
Natural Gas (m	3).	1.12.12	. Jac
Other (specify	-		
Demand Management Program	s:		
Controlled load (kW)	_		en e
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-pe	eak (kWh):		
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-pea			
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-pe			

### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

A.

В.

C.

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):		
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):		
Line Loss Reduction Programs:		
Peak load savings (kW):		
Energy savings (kWh):	in year	

### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:		
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:		

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

	•								
Α.	Name of the Program:	Business/Commercial/Industri	al > 50 kW	· 的基本是不是一个					
	Description of the program (inc	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):							
	Newmarket Hydro was working wi buiding mechanical systems and a provides incentives for subsequer	energy consumption to test for pro	pject feasibility at no cost to the						
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Maggura 2	(if applicable)				
	Base case technology:	iviedsure i	weasure z (ii applicable)	Measure 3	(ii applicable)				
	Efficient technology:			rend garrana karana arawa Pendaran					
	Number of participants or units								
	delivered for reporting year:		2						
	Measure life (years):								
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date		32						
В.	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:				
	<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):		\$ 131,114	76	\$131,114.76				
	<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):	the state of the s	rum in gropen i for got sont dura refreso eté dita n top Aurite i Atropen	alanen i elneko karasen hakasen edaket	R. de alempra ay emma a las lest qui area mos				
		y program cost (excluding incentives) tal Measure Costs (Equipment Costs	Statistical and the state of th						
		Total TRC cost			\$44,934.75 \$44,934.75				
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	70147770 0001	\$ 86,180		\$84,180.01				
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefit	its/TRC Costs):	2,92		2.92				
C.	Results: (one or more category n	nay apply)	<u>.</u>	Cumulat	ive Results:				
	Conservation Programs:								
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter	359,478		359,478				
				Cumulative	Cumulative				
		lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle	Annual Savings				
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	1677521	335504	1677521	335504				
	Natural Gas (m: Other (specifi	to the street without the street of the stre		49 (145 E97) Files					
	., .			Carrette Brown Stage (Carrette Stage)	August 2011, Light Leibhar 2011, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987,				
	Demand Management Program Controlled load (kW)	<u>s:</u>	75. ST. 1880 C. A. S.	Ser Bill (#800/2004) terdisi (#800	enganulabbanasa sa				
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-pe	nak (kWh):		ya. 3 San dalah 3 (22)43 (20) (20) (20) (20)	logista ett 1800 valsa. Persit 1800 valsa				
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak	· · · · ·							
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-pe								
	Demand Response Programs:								
	Dispatchable load (kW):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	STALL OF THE EXPLORATION OF THE STATE OF THE	ned attribute parte				

### **Power Factor Correction Programs:**

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):  Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):  Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):				
	Distribution system power factor a	at end of year (%):			
	Line Loss Reduction Programs	<u>:</u>			
	Peak load savings (kW):	-			
		lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Loa	d Displacement Programs:			
	Amount of DG installed (kW):				
	Energy generated (kWh):				
	Peak energy generated (kWh):				
	Fuel type:				
	Other Programs (specify):				
	Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date	
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:		3,268.03	
		Incentive:	\$ 10.110.40		
		Total:	\$ 10,110.40 \$	13,378.43	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:			
		Total:			
E.	Assumptions & Comments:				
		赵 我期间由,你你知识的保持就知道,还看,力可能在时候都不同时都是不多了	化头面化物配头点效应电点点 的复数拉拉特的 经总额额产款股票 的复数形式 经收款分 网络小型皮肤的	我们身体的支撑的有限基础支撑 恐惧 塞里的女孩医产品女子 医克里氏试验检 引动 野菜 笔声 奶果!	

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

### (complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program:

Affordable/Social Housing - Electric Thermal Storage Pilot

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Newmarket Hydro is coordinating a pilot project in two electrically-heated social housing complexes in Newmarket. Six units in each complex received an energy audit and will be receiving energy efficiency upgrades such as compact fluorescent lights and weatherstripping. In order to test the efficiency of electric thermal storage, three of the six units in each complex will receive an electric thermal storage unit in supplement to baseboard heating. Results will be available in 2007.

Measure(s):	,		
Once the street and the street	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology: Efficient technology:			
Number of participants or units			
delivered for reporting year:	12	,	
Measure life (years):			
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	12		
B. TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):			医心性内部 医抗病毒病 医乳蛋白
<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):			
	rogram cost (excluding incentives):		
Incremental	Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		
	Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/ C. Results: (one or more category may	·	es de la companya de	Complete Position
C. Nesalts: (one of more eategory may	арріу)		Cumulative Results:
Conservation Programs:			
Conservation Programs:  Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter		
	Winter		Cumulative Cumulative
Demand savings (kW):		in year	Cumulative Cumulative Lifecycle Annual Savings
	Winter	in year	
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh):	Winter	in year	
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh):  Other resources saved:  Natural Gas (m3):	Winter	in year	
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh):  Other resources saved:  Natural Gas (m3):  Other (specify):	Winter	in year	

### Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh): Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):
Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in vear

Energy savings (kWh):

### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:	·	Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 10,384.09 \$ 10,384.09 \$ 10,384.09 \$ 10,384.09
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A.

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Α.	Name of the Program:	Other Programs - Administrative	Support	
	Description of the program (inclu	ding intent, design, delivery, pa	artnerships and evaluation):	
	Administrative support to coordinate support in conservation matters.	and maintain all conservation ar	nd demand management progra	ams. Also to provide customer
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:	Wicasure 1	Measure 2 (II applicable)	ivieasure 3 (ii applicable)
	Efficient technology:			
	Number of participants or units			
	delivered for reporting year:			
	Measure life (years):			
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date			
В.	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
	<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):			and to date into itesuits.
	<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):			
	( )	program cost (excluding incentives):	The All the Control of the	against the contract of the co
	•	Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		
		Total TRC costs:		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits	/TRC Costs):		
C.	Results: (one or more category ma	y apply)		Cumulative Results:
	Commenter Durant			
	Conservation Programs:			
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter		
				Cumulative Cumulative
		lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:			
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):	The state of the s		
	Demand Management Programs:			
	Controlled load (kW)			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(EM/h):		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak			
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak  Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak			
	ьны уу энитей мий-реак to OII-реан	(NVVII).		
	Demand Response Programs:			
	Dispatchable load (kW):			ing the control of the second

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):
Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in vear

Energy savings (kWh):

### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 34,010.09 \$ 41,778.09 \$ 34,010.09 \$ 41,778.09
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e., the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A.	Name of the Program:	Program I	Development & Moni	toring		Marina a
	Description of the program (inc	luding inten	t, design, delivery,	partnerships and evalua	ion):	
	Independent support to design, im includes research, coordination ar	plement and d developm	l maintain CDM progr ent of all programs a	rams as well as ongoing eo s well as monitoring and e	lucation programs. valuation of results.	Project management
	Measure(s):		Measure 1	Magazira 2 (if amplia	oblo) Mana	2 //
	Base case technology:	**	weasure i	Measure 2 (if applic	abie) Measi	ire 3 (if applicable)
	Efficient technology:	447 (1)				
	Number of participants or units	The second second				
	delivered for reporting year:					
	Measure life (years):					to average of
	Number of Participants or units	-				
	delivered life to date					
	denvered me to date		europe de la Personal de la Companya de la Company La Companya de la Companya de			
В.	TRC Results:		<del></del>	Reporting Yea	l ife-to-	date TRC Results:
	<sup>1</sup> TRC Benefits (\$):			<u></u>		dato into industry.
	<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):					
	• •	program cos	t (excluding incentives)	grand the state of	and the particle	
	Increment	al Measure C	osts (Equipment Costs)	)		
			Total TRC costs	s:		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):					
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefit	ts/TRC Cost	s):			
C.	Results: (one or more category m	ay apply)		<del> </del>	Cum	ulative Results:
	Conservation Programs:					
	Demand savings (kW):		Summer	the second second		erio de la companio
	Domana Savings (KVV).		Winter		v al to a	
			vvii i(Gi			
			lifecycle	in year	Cumulai Lifecyd	
	Energy saved (kWh):		mooyalo	m you	2.10090	7 minder Cavinge
	Other resources saved :		•		•	e visit in the second
	Natural Gas (m3	) <del>:</del>				
	Other (specify					
	Demand Management Programs	<u>:</u>				
	Controlled load (kW)	<u>.</u>				
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-pea	ak (kWh)				
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-pea			en e		
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-pea					

### **Demand Response Programs:**

Dispatchable load (kW):

Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):
Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%).
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:

### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	Reporting Year         Cumulative Life to Date           \$         117,600.00           \$         117,600.00
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A.	Name of the Program:	Education Program		
	Description of the program (in	cluding intent, design, delivery, pa	ertnerships and evaluation):	
		ng all customer groups through print a vas an opportunity to create conserva		d tradeshows. The Newmarket
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):	26675	measure 2 (ii applicable)	incadic d (ii applicable)
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	55527		
В.	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
	1 TRC Benefits (\$):			
	<sup>2</sup> TRC Costs (\$):	lity program cost (excluding incentives):	en e	en e
		ental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		
		Total TRC costs:		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			
	D			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Bene	ifits/TRC Costs):		
C.	Results: (one or more category	may apply)		Cumulative Results:
	Conservation Programs:	_		
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter		
				Cumulative Cumulative
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	lifecycle	in year	Lifecycle Annual Savings
	Natural Gas (n Other (spec			
	Demand Management Program	ns:		
	Controlled load (kW)	_		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-p			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-p Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-p			
	Demand Response Programs:			
	Dispatchable load (kW):			
	Peak hours dispatched in year (	hours).		

**Power Factor Correction Programs:** 

A.

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%): Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	-\$ 582.00 \$ 55,418.00 -\$ 582.00 \$ 104,836.3
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

(complete this Appendix for each program)

Α.	Name of the Program:	Distribution System & Equipmen	t Optimization		
	Description of the program (inclu	ıding intent, design, delivery, pa	artnerships and evaluation)	:	
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable	) Maasura 3	3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year: Measure life (years):	Measure 1	measure 2 (ii applicable	) Measure	s (ii applicable)
	Number of Participants or units delivered life to date				
	TRC Results:  1 TRC Benefits (\$):  2 TRC Costs (\$):		Reporting Year	<u>Life-to-dat</u>	e TRC Results:
	Incrementa	program cost (excluding incentives): Il Measure Costs (Equipment Costs) Total TRC costs:			
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):  Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits	s/TRC Costs):			
C.	Results: (one or more category ma		· "	Cumula	tive Results:
	Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter			
		lifecycle	in year	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:				
	Natural Gas (m3) Other (specify)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	k (kWh): k (kWh):			
	Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hou	ırs):			

Amount of KVar installed (KVar)
---------------------------------

Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

### **Line Loss Reduction Programs:**

Peak load savings (kW):

lifecycle

in year

Energy savings (kWh):

### **Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:**

Amount of DG installed (kW):

Energy generated (kWh):

Peak energy generated (kWh):

Fuel type:

### Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):

D. <u>Actual Program Costs:</u> Utility direct costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Incentive:

Total:

Utility indirect costs (\$):

Incremental capital:

Incremental O&M:

Total:

<sup>1</sup> Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit b

<sup>2</sup> For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run and incentives program are program costs, and are to be as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

# **Appendix C - Program and Portfolio Totals**

### Report Year:

1. Residential Programs
List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.
Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Total Caroline the midgliny of the formulas, prease most the additional lows in the midgle of the fist office	ie iominaas, piease		מו וסמס ווו מוס וווימש				Total Peak	Report Year
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Benefit/Cost Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Energy Gateway Pilot Project	The later with the control of the co	The second secon	9	00:0	The second secon	A Company of the Comp		9
CFL Discount	\$ 13,882	13,882 \$ 1,058	\$ 12,824	13.12	55,248	220,994	Control of the second of the s	\$ 2,469
CFL Giveaway	\$ 35,413	35,413, \$ 2,700	\$ 32,713	13.12	140,940	563,760	The second secon	10,400
Keep Cool (No Replacement)	\$ 23,519 \$	1.5	\$ 16,269	3.24	63,800	382,800	.39	\$ 15,276
Keep Cool (Energy Star Replacemen \$			\$ 5,234	2.68	7,003	The state of the s	Commence American and the	\$ 10,184
Kill A Watt Electric Usage Monitor		A STATE OF THE PERSON OF THE P	· <del>69</del>	0.00	430	430		\$ 2,506
LED Christmas Light Exchange	\$ 5,573 \$	\$	\$ 4,946	8.89	5912		And the state of t	3,838
Switch to Cold	\$ 17,074 \$	\$ 4,125	\$ 12,949	4.14	256,988	256,988	Carlo a service and the servic	9
Energy Star Rebate - Dishwasher	\$ 434 \$		-\$ 2,586	0.63	7,020	91,260	一年 一年 一日 一日 日本	5,850
Energy Star Rebate - Refrigerator	\$ 6,197 \$	\$ 6,111	\$ 86	1.01	6,460	122,744	28	\$ 700
Energy Star Rebate - Front-Loading ( \$	c \$ 750,381 \$	\$ 46,260	\$ 104,121	3.25	11,024	1,554,336	25	\$ 25,700
Recycling Program - Dishwasher	\$ 30,828 \$	15,550	\$ 15,278	1.98	92,056	552,336	A Company of the Comp	3,421
Recycling Program - Clothes Dryer	\$ 33,589 \$	\$ 10,950	\$ 22,639	3.07	100,302	601,812	A Company of the Comp	\$ 2,409
Recycling Program - Freezer	\$ 37,079 \$	.\$ 10,350	\$ 26,729	3.58	93,150	258,900	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	5. 1,265
Recycling Program - Range/Oven	\$ 18,511 \$	\$ 10,050	\$ 8,461	1.84	55,275	331,650		\$ 2,211
Recycling Program - Refrigerator	<b>\$</b> 132,841 <b>\$</b>	\$ 27,810	\$ 105,031	4.78	333,720	2,002,320	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	3,399
Recycling Program - Clothes Washer \$	7 \$ 32,610 \$	\$ 12,500	\$ 20,110	2.61		584,250	大学 はない ないかん ないない はんない ないかん かんかん かんかん かんかん かんかん かんかん かんかん か	\$ 2,750
Time-of-Use Pilot Project	\$ 24,528 \$	\$ 6,534	\$ 17,994	3.75	17,324	311,829	320	118,812
*Totals App. B - Residential	\$ 574,804	\$ 172,006	\$ 402,799	3.34	1,444,627	8,345,879	541	\$ 223,866
Residential Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program		3,676						
Total Residential TRC Costs		\$ 175,682						
**Totals TRC Residential	\$ 574,804	574,804 \$ 475,682	\$ 399,123	3.27				

2. Commercial Programs
List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.
Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

were an entire medical of the following bleese medical entire	estate of the second se						1	;
							Total Peak	Report Year
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Benefit/Cost Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV) (	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Small Business <50 kW	Commence of the commence of th		\$	0.00	e segui, per generale de partir per en esta de partir de la companya del la companya de la companya del la companya de la comp	A Comment of the Comm	eta erre esta erre erre erre erre erre erre erre er	te per de la completa completa, per como conseguir de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa della della completa della completa della della completa della comp
B/C/I	\$ 131,115	\$ 131,115 \$ 44,935	\$ 86,180	2.92	335,504	1,677,521	359	.\$ 10,110
*Totals App. B - Commercial	\$ 131,115	\$ 44,935	\$ 86,180	2.92	335,504	1,677,521	329	\$ 10,110

44,935 \$ 86,180 2,92 44,935 \*\*TotalsHRG-Commercial attributable to any specific program Commercial Indirect Costs not Total TRC Costs

3. Social Housing Programs
List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Note: To elistic the integrity of the following, preuse miser the available in the integrity of the master of the	io ioiiiialas, pieas				:		ו עומו רסטע	ויבאחור ובמו	
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Benefit/Cost Report Year Total Lifecycle (kWh)	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM	=
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	TRC Costs (PV) \$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)	(\$)
Electric Thermal Storage Pilot		から ない はいかい かんしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅう	€	0.00				\$ 10,3	2
*Totals App. B - Social Housing	\$	\$	-	0.00	0	0	0	\$ 10,384	84
Social Housing Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program									
Total TRC Costs "Totals TRC "Social Housing 5				00.0					

## 4. Program Development & Administration Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Report Year

**Total Peak** 

	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Benefit/Cost Report Year Total Lifecycle (kWh)	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	TRC Costs (PV) \$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Administrative Support		Secretary and the second secon	٠	00.0				\$ 34,010
Program Development & Monitoring	門門 新華 心がある はんしん	新 八 日本	· <del>69</del>	0.00				\$.
*Totals App. B - Program Developn \$	₩	<del>دی</del>	٠ <del>د</del>	00.00	0	0	0	\$ 34,010
Program Development & Administration Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
Total TRC Costs "Totals TRC- Program Developme S	(\$	<b>.</b> \$	\$	0.00				

### **Education Programs**

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

•	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Benefit/Cost Report Year Total Lifecycle (kWh)	Lifecycle (kWh)	Total Peak Demand (kW)	Report Year Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits Ratio	Ratio	KWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Education Program	是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个		٠ &	0.00				-\$

*Totals Ann B - Education	₩.	65	€	00.00	0	0	<del>\$</del> -0	582
		Sea Colore Marchaell Color Colored Programs						
Education Indirect Costs not			5-77					
attributable to any specific program	<b>L</b>		=,++, ***					
Total TRC Costs		69		*				
Totals TRG-Education		•	<b></b>	00:0				

## 6. LDC System Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formation, preudo into the control of the formation of	omena, press						Total Peak	Report Year
	TRC Benefits			Senefit/Cost	Benefit/Cost Report Year Total Lifecycle (kWh)	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV) \$ Net	PV) \$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Distribution System & Equipment Optimization	nization	\$		00:0				<b>3</b>
*Totals App, B - LDC System	€	€ <del>S</del>	1	00.0	0	0	0	
LDC System Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								9 9 9 9
Total TRC Costs \$ \$\tag{\frac{1}{2}}\$	S	1 \$	A	2000.				

## 7. Smart Meters Program

Only spending information that was authorized under the 3rd tranche of MARR is required Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below. List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Report Year

**Total Peak** 

Expenditures (\$) Gross C&DM Demand (kW) Saved Benefit/Cost Report Year Total Lifecycle (kWh) Savings kWh Saved Ratio 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 TRC Costs (PV) \$ Net TRC Benefits TRC Benefits <u>S</u> Name of Program C Name of Program D Name of Program E Name of Program G Name of Program H Name of Program B Name of Program F Name of Program A Name of Program I

9.0

Name of Program J

- \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									ı
<b>A</b>	#REF!	\$ <del>⇔</del>	<del>⇔</del> '	1	0.00	0	0	\$ 0	٠,
		Control of the contro							
		€9	ı						
			****		00.00				

#REF!
List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.
Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

NOTE: TO ENGLIS THE HEAVILY OF THE POTENTIAL PRODUCTION AND MAINTENANCE TO THE HEAVILLE THE HEAV	o me lomana, prease						Total Peak	Report Year
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Benefit/Cost Report Year Total Lifecycle (kWh)	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$	0.00	A control of the second of the		And the second s	And the second s
Name of Program B			€ <del>9</del>	0.00				
Name of Program C	Company of the Compan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	0.00		The state of the s		
Name of Program D			· •	0.00			のでは、100mmの	A SANTAL
Name of Program E	19. 化甲基酚 医骨部内侧 的复数 "数年代",是是是这个人的现在分词,我们是这一种的人的人们是一个人们的人们是一种的人们的人们的人们的人们是一种的人们是一种的人们的一种,他们们是一种一种,他们们们	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	· ·	0.00				
Name of Program C		A Later and the Control of the Contr		0.00			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	A Company of the Comp
Name of Program G	And the second s		•	0.00		A Comment of the Comm	A STATE OF THE STA	
Name of Program H			. ↔	0.00				
Name of Program I			€	0.00				
•								
Name of Program J		5 S. C. C.	<del>го</del>	0.00				
#REF!	₽	· *	\$	0.00	0	0	0	\$
#REF!			and the second s					
Total TRC Costs		г <del>69</del>						
STATE OF THE STATE	S	6	**************************************	00.0				
STATE CONTRACTOR TO THE RESIDENCE AND A STATE OF THE PROPERTY								

## LDC's CDM PORTFOLIO TOTALS

	TRC Benefits	\$ (\\a) apool JOL	e Not TDC Bonefite	Benefit/Cost	Benefit/Cost Report Year Total Lifecycle (kWh)	Lifecycle (kWh)	Total Peak Demand (kW)	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
*TOTALS FOR ALL APPENDIX B	\$ 205,919	220,617	485,303	320	1,780,131	\$ 10,023,400	006	\$ 277,789
Any <u>other</u> Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
TOTAL ALL LDC COSTS **LDC' PORTFOLIO TRC	\$ \$ 705,919	220,61	485,303	3,20				

<sup>\*</sup> The savings and spending information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A. \*\* The TRC information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A.