

#### CAMBRIDGE AND NORTH DUMFRIES HYDRO INC.

1500 Bishop Street, P.O. Box 1060, Cambridge, Ontario N1R 5X6 • Telephone (519) 621-3530 • Facsimile (519) 621-7420 Website www.camhydro.com

March 31, 2006

Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street P.O. Box 2319 Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attn: Board Secretary

Re: Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. - RP-2004-0203/EB-2005-0199

Conservation and Demand Management Annual Report

Enclosed please find five (5) hard copies and two (2) electronic copies of Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc.'s 2005 Conservation and Demand Management Annual Report.

The electronic copies are provided on the enclosed CD-ROM. One copy includes the report in MS-Word format with the Appendices in MS-Excel format while the other copy includes the entire report in Adobe Acrobat (PDF) format.

Yours truly,

CAMBRIDGE AND NORTH DUMFRIES HYDRO INC.

John Grotheer, President and CEO

#### Report on

# 2005 Conservation & Demand Management Program Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro Inc. RP-2004-0203/EB-2005-0199

Submitted to Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board

March 31, 2006

John Grotheer President and CEO Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro Inc.



Prepared by:

Michael M. Knox Director, Customer Information Services & Conservation

> David Smelsky Controller

Ron Wright CDM Program Coordinator

This report provides an overview and evaluation of the Conservation and Demand Management programs undertaken by Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro Inc. in 2005.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. is a local distribution company that serves over 47,000 customers in the City of Cambridge and Township of North Dumfries. Our Vision is to be a leader in innovation and the preferred choice in the delivery of energy.

On November 30, 2004, an application was filed with the Ontario Energy Board (the Board) for an Interim Order pre-approving a Conservation and Demand Management (CDM) Plan. On December 23, 2004 the Board issued an Interim Order approving Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro's application. On January 12, 2005 Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro Inc. submitted an application to the Board for an Order approving their CDM Plan. The Board assigned File No. RP-2004-0203 / EB-2005-0199 to the application.

The elements of Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro's CDM Plan include: distribution system efficiency improvements, utility building energy usage assessments, a traffic and street light replacement program, a 'smart' meter pilot, an awareness and energy audit initiative for small business, programs targeted to the residential segment (such as education, home inspections, appliance buy-backs and funding for various energy efficient home products) and staff training and development. The total budget for the CDM Plan was consistent with the third installment of incremental Market Adjusted Revenue Requirement (MARR) in the amount of \$2,161,652.

On March 18, 2005 the Board granted approval of the CDM Plan in the amount of \$2,161,652, and concluded the CDM Plan satisfied the Minister of Energy's condition of a financial commitment to reinvest the equivalent of one year incremental MARR in conservation and demand management.

In 2005, Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. began to implement programs targeted to customers in the Residential Class, Small Commercial Class, Mid/Large Commercial Industrial Class and our Government and Institutional Customer Base. In addition, programs were implemented to improve the efficiency of the company's own assets. Finally, numerous programs were introduced to educate consumers and promote the development of a Culture of Conservation across all customer classes.

Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. has introduced the EarthWise<sup>™</sup> brand to our community. The EarthWise<sup>™</sup> brand is used on all of our Conservation and Demand Management programs, initiatives and advertising, and has become recognized as our "calling card" for programs related to conservation and energy efficiency.

#### II. EVALUATION OF THE CDM PLAN

The following Programs are reported on herein:

#### 1. Total Customer Base

- 1.1 Compact Fluorescent Light Bulb Giveaways
- 1.2 Customer Education
- 1.3 Switch to Cold Campaign

#### 2. Residential Customer Base

- 2.1 Heat Bank
- 2.2 Home Energy Evaluations
- 2.3 Incentive Program
- 2.4 Smart Thermostats
- 2.5 Festive Light Exchange

#### 3. Small Commercial Customer Base

3.1 On site Energy Audits

#### 4. Mid-Large Commercial/Industrial Customer Base

4.1 Interval (Smart) Meters, Web Presentment and Consulting

#### 5. Government/Institutional Customer Base

- 5.1 Street Light Retrofit
- 5.2 LED Traffic Light Conversion

#### 6. Local Distribution Company Asset Base

6.1 Lighting Retrofit – Corporate Offices

All Programs undertaken in 2005 resulted in overall positive Total Resource Cost values.

A summary of these programs is shown in Appendix A.

#### 6.2 III. DISCUSSION OF THE PROGRAMS

#### 1. Total Customer Base

#### 1.1 Compact Fluorescent Light Bulb (CFL) Giveaways

Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. distributed 16,677 13/15 watt and 23 watt compact fluorescent light bulbs in 2005 through a number of initiatives and promotions throughout the year. These include:

Food Bank: CFLs and energy savings tips were provided to Food Bank

recipients as a means to help people conserve energy and lower

their utility bills.

Golf Tournament: CFLs were distributed along with energy savings tips to

participants of a charity golf tournament to raise awareness and

promote conservation.

Energy Kits: Energy kits consisting of CFLs literature and energy savings

tips were distributed at environmental forums, service clubs

and to employees.

#### 1.2 Customer Education

Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. embarked on programs designed to raise awareness and promote a Culture of Conservation. These programs included newspaper and magazine advertising, corporate web site upgrades including a dedicated Conservation section and Energy Calculator and promotional graphics on one of the company's cube vans.

Advertising in the Cambridge Times reaches an audience of 39,480.

After implementing the Energy Conservation upgrades to the Web Site in May, the average number of unique visitors rose from 1077 to 1474, a 2.55% increase. Average number of "hits" per month rose from 55,445 to 77,394, a 2.29% increase.

#### 1.3 Switch to Cold Campaign

Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. also participated in the Canadian Energy Efficiency Alliance's "Switch to Cold" campaign in which 48,000 - \$1 off coupons were distributed. The expiry date of these coupons has not yet been reached, but it is assumed there will be redemption rate of between 3 and 5 per-cent.

#### 2. Residential Customer Base

#### 2.1 Heat Bank

Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. provided funds to facilitate home energy audits for recipients of heating assistance funds with the belief that it would help these people conserve energy and lower their utility bills.

#### 2.2 Home Energy Evaluations

Funding was provided to the Residential Energy Efficiency Project (REEP) of Waterloo Region to subsidize home energy audits including an assessment of major electrical appliances and 2 free compact fluorescent bulbs.

This program did not yield significant energy savings in its first year compared to the costs, however, a significant portion of this program is geared towards customer education, awareness and the creation of a "conservation culture".

#### 2.3 Incentive Program

Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. provided incentives of \$1,500 each for the installation of a geothermal ground source heating/cooling system or solar water heating system.

In 2005, 2 ground source heating systems and 1 solar water heating system were installed by customers and the grants were paid.

#### 2.4 Smart Thermostats

Funding was provided for programmable "smart" thermostats to be installed in electrically heated social housing units. These devices incorporate motion sensor technology to activate the set back feature.

#### 2.5 Festive Light Exchange

Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. undertook a Festive Light Exchange whereby customers could exchange up to 5 strings of old, incandescent festive lights for up to 5 strings of new, energy efficient lights. 15,000 strings of lights were exchanged at this event.

#### 3. Small Commercial Customer Base

#### 3.1 On-Site Energy Audits

In 2005, an Energy Audit process was developed by in-house staff. An advertisement was placed in the Chamber of Commerce magazine offering this service to small commercial customers. Although circulated to 1,600 businesses, we did not receive any calls or requests for this service.

#### 4. Mid-Large Scale Commercial/Industrial Base

#### 4.1 Interval (Smart) Meters, Web Presentment and Consulting

An investment of approximately \$30,200 for new meters and ancillary equipment was made so that all customers with demands of 200 kW and above would have Interval Meters.

All customers with demands of 200 kW and above were provided with access to a web presentment product that allows the customer to log in and review their energy consumption patterns.

50 selected customers were provided with 18 months of free services by a professional consulting firm to assist them in managing their energy use and looking for opportunities to reduce their demand, consumption and costs.

This program began in late December, 2005 and, as such, there is no meaningful energy savings data available.

#### 5. Government / Institutional Base

#### 5.1 Streetlight Retrofit

In 2005, Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. replaced 770 old mercury vapour (MV) streetlights with newer, more energy efficient high pressure sodium (HPS) lights.

- 216 100 watt HPS replaced 175 watt MV
- 499 150 watt HPS replaced 250 watt MV
- 55 250 watt HPS replaced 400 watt MV

#### 5.2 LED Traffic Light Conversions

Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc.'s CDM Plan includes funds to subsidize the conversion of older traffic lights to new, energy efficient LED systems. No funds were dispersed to the traffic authorities in 2005. It is expected that this work will commence in 2006.

Approximately \$60 was spent in 2005 on preliminary planning work for this project.

#### 6. Local Distribution Company Asset Base

#### <u>6.1 Lighting Retrofits – Corporate Offices</u>

Lighting in the Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. offices were converted to more energy efficient lighting, and motion sensors were installed in selected locations.

#### IV. LESSONS LEARNED

The following summarizes the lessons learned in 2005 as a result of Conservation and Demand Management Programs undertaken:

- Advertising and the development of a Conservation Culture are difficult to quantify in terms of energy savings. Both the direct advertising and the indirect benefits of program promotions likely have raised awareness and resulted in conservation.
- Work needs to be done to track and quantify energy savings that result from
  professional audits and the recommendations that come from those audits. Smart
  Meters may enable measurement of improvements resulting from efficiency upgrades
  and lifestyle changes in the future.
- The initial implementation of Home Energy Audits in 2005 has identified additional requirements for improvement in reporting by the third-parties executing the program. These improvements will help better align measures to OEB reporting requirements.
- Programs that were planned and executed in-house yielded the highest net TRC values (Festive Light Exchange and CFL Bulb Giveaways).
- Small Commercial and Mid-Large Commercial/Industrial Programs have yet to yield results as these programs have just recently got underway.

#### V. CONCLUSION

The following table summarizes total spending on Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc.'s Conservation and Demand Management Program to the end of 2005:

## CAMBRIDGE AND NORTH DUMFRIES HYDRO INC. Conservation and Demand Management Reporting Summary December 31, 2005

RP-2004-0203 / EB-2005-0199								
	Program Name	Program Status	Rate Class Targeted		Tot	al Approved Budget		penditures fe-to-Date"
Program 1	Consumer Educatio	n and Develo	pment Culture					
		Active	All	Capital	\$	-	\$	-
				Operating	\$	285,000	\$	75,268
Program 2	Conservation and D	emand Manag	gement Initiatives					
		Active	Residential	Capital	\$	=	\$	-
				Operating	\$	990,000	\$	305,966
Program 3	Small Business Cus	tomer Base						
•		Active	GS < 50kW	Capital	\$	-	\$	-
				Operating	\$	100,000	\$	1,221
Program 4	Mid to Large Commo	ercial/Industri	ial Customer Base					
•	_	Active	GS > 50kW	Capital	\$	70,000	\$	30,194
				Operating	\$	130,000	\$	101,209
Program 5	Government/Institut	ional Custom	er Base					
•		Active	Other	Capital	\$	-	\$	-
				Operating	\$	400,000	\$	248,501
Program 6	Local Distribution C	ompany - Ass	set Base					
		Active	Other	Capital	\$	186,652	\$	43,318
				Operating	\$	-	\$	-
				Capital	\$	256,652	\$	73,512
				Operating	\$	1,905,000	\$	732,165
				Total	\$	2,161,652	\$	805,677
							-	

In the first year of the program, Cambridge & North Dumfries Hydro Inc. has spent approximately 37% of the total program costs.

#### VI. APPENDICES

Appendix "A" – Evaluation of the CDM Plan

Appendix "B" – Discussion of Programs

## **Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan**

	Total Customer Base	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	Other 1	Other 2	Other 3
Net TRC value (\$):	411581.71	252068.13		9895.26			17277.96			
Benefit to cost ratio:	7.19	0.87		-0.96			-0.39			
Number of participants or units delivered:	19117	15758		770			345			
Total KWh to be saved over the lifecycle of the plan (kWh):	7547888	9452023		7785536			666965			
Total in year kWh saved (kWh):	2009	2035		2030			2030			
Total peak demand saved (kW):	37	32		73			21			
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):	0.46	0.58		47.4			0.0406			
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):	0.0115	0.00995		0.227			0.00652			
Gross in year C&DM expenditures (\$):***	71664.63	307501.89	1226.72	249747.87	132061.75		43534.92			
Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh)*:	0.0095	0.0325		0.0321			0.0653			
Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW)**:	48.88	62.13		17.05			163.67			

Utility discount rate (%):	. 7.5
----------------------------	-------

<sup>\*</sup>Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Includes Indirect Costs of Programs and may not match table shown in Report Conclusions. Some of these costs were originally allocated to Program 1.

(complete this section for each program)

A. Name of the Program: Total Customer Base - Program 1

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

This program includes: Compact Fluorescent Light Bulb (CFL) Giveaways, Advertising and Customer Education and the "Switch to Cold" Coupon Campaign. See Section III of the report body (1.1-1.3) for further information.

#### Measure(s):

	15W/13W CFL Giveaway	23W CFL Giveaway	Switch to the Cold Campaign
Base case technology:	60W Incandescent bulb	100W Incandescent Bulb	Average Stock Washing Detergent
Efficient technology:	15/13W	23W CFL	Cold Water Washing Detergent
Number of participants or units delivered:	16044	633	2440
Measure life (years):	4	4	1

361 312 58

В.	<u>TRC</u>	Results

TRC Renefits (\$).

1,069.13
49,200.00
50,269.13
411,581.71
7.19

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

#### **Conservation Programs:**

Demand savings (kW):	Summer	37
	Winter	390
	lifecycle	in year
Energy saved (kWh):	7547888	2009

Other resources saved:

	Natural Gas (m3):			
	Other (specify):			
	Demand Management Programs:			
	Controlled load (kW)			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):			
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):			
	Demand Response Programs:			
	Dispatchable load (kW):			
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):			
	Power Factor Correction Programs:			
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			
	Distribution system power factor at begining	g of year (%):		
	Distribution system power factor at end of year	ear (%):		
	Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
	Line Loss Reduction Programs.			
	Dock load sovings (kM):			
	Peak load savings (kW):	lifocyclo	i	'n voar
		lifecycle	i	n year
	Energy savngs (kWh):		i	n year
	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace		i	n year
	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW):		i	n year
	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh):		j	n year
	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):		i	n year
	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:		i	n year
	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify):		i	n year
	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:		j	n year
 ).	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):		j	n year
<b>)</b> .	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify): Program Costs*:	ement Programs:	j	n year
<b>)</b> .	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):	ement Programs:		
D.	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify): Program Costs*:	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	\$	1,069.13
<b>)</b> .	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify): Program Costs*:	ement Programs:		1,069.13 70,237.84
).	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify): Program Costs*:	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ \$	1,069.13
Э.	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ \$	1,069.13 70,237.84
D.	Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ \$	1,069.13 70,237.84

	Total:	357.66
Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment:	49200
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	49200

#### E. Comments:

Utility Indirect Cost - Incremental O&M, has been pro-rated as a percent of the Conservation & Demand Management (C & DM) Program Budget. This value was then applied against the total indirect cost of the C & DM Program (\$4041.34.)

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

Name of the Program:							
Name of the Program:	Residential - Program 2						
Description of the manner (in alcohim	g intent, design, delivery, partnerships and eva	livedia all					
		•					
This program includes: Heat Bank Energy Audits, Home Energy Evaluations, Incentives for Ground Source Heat Pumps and Solar Water Heaters, Smart Thermostats and Festive Light Exchange. See Section III of the report body (2.1-2.5) for further information.							
the report body (2.1-2.5) for further into	imation.						
Measure(s):							
	Heat Bank - Pilot WWOW - Water Heating	Heat Bank - Pilot WWOW - Thermal Envelope Improvements	Smart Thermostats			REEP Initiative - Geothermal Heat Pum	
Base case technology: Efficient technology:	Average Existing Stock Efficient Showerhead, Faucet Aerator	Average Existing Stock Caulking Products	Average Existing Stock Programmable Thermostat		Current Standard Electric Water Heat Solar Assisted Water Heating	inį Elec. Res. Heating, DX Cooling Ground Source Heat Pump	5W Christ LED Chris
Number of participants or units delivere		2	83	666	50iar Assisted Water Heating	2	15000
Measure life (years):	12	25	18	4	18	20	30
				-	-		
TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$):			\$ 117.549.35				
TRC Costs (\$):			Ψ 117,549.55	•			
2222 (4).	Utility program cost (less incentives)		\$ 72,918.78				
	Participant cost		\$ 61,600.00				
	Total TRC costs	:	\$ 134,518.78				
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			\$ 252,068.13				
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TR	RC Costs):		\$ 0.87				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,	_	_		
Results: (one or more category may ap	рріу)						
Conservation Programs:							
Demand savings (kW):	Summer		32				
	Winter		168				
Demand savings (kW):	Winter lifecycle		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh):	Winter		168				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	Winter lifecycle 9452023		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m):	Winter lifecycle 9452023		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:	Winter lifecycle 9452023		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m: Other (specif)	Winter lifecycle 9452023		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m):	Winter lifecycle 9452023		168 in year				
Demand savings (kWh):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m: Other (specify  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	Winter lifecycle 9452023 3):		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m: Other (specif)  Demand Management Programs:	Winter   lifecycle   9452023		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m: Other (specif)  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (k	Winter		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m: Other (specify)  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kt Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kt Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kt Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kt	Winter		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m: Other (specify)  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (k Energy shifted On-peak to Oif-peak (k)	Winter		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m: Other (specify  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (k Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (k Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (k Demand Response Programs:	Winter   lifecycle   9452023		168 in year				
Demand savings (kWh):  Cother resources saved:  Natural Gas (m: Other (specify)  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (k Energy shifted Mid-peak (b Off-peak (kt Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kt Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	Winter   lifecycle   9452023		168 in year				
Demand savings (kW):  Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m: Other (specify)  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kt Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kt) Energy shifted Mid-	Winter   lifecycle   9452023		168 in year				
Demand savings (kWh):  Cother resources saved:  Natural Gas (m: Other (specify)  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (k Energy shifted Mid-peak (b Off-peak (kt Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kt Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	Winter Illecycle 9452023  3): Wh): Wh):		168 in year				

#### Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load s	avings (kW):			
		lifecycle	in year	
Energy savi	ngs (kWh):			
Amount of E Energy gene Peak energy Fuel type:	Generation and Load Displ: OG installed (kW): erated (kWh): y generated (kWh):	acement Programs:		
Other Prog Metric (spec	rams (specify): ify):			
D. Program Co	osts*:			
Utility direct	costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		51,361.18
		Incentive:		4,605.00
		Total:	\$ 30	5,966.18
Utility indire	ct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		1535.71
		Total:		1535.71
Participant of	costs (\$):	Incremental equipment:		61600
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		61600

E. Comments:

Utility Indirect Cost - Incremental O&M, has been pro-rated as a percent of the Conservation & Demand Management (C & DM) Program Budget. This value was then applied against the total indirect cost of the C & DM Program (\$4041.34.)

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

A.	Name of the Program: C & DM Small Business - Program 3						
	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):						
	This program includes: On Site Energy Au	dits for Small Commercial establis	hments. See Section III of the rep	port body (3.1) for further details.			
	Measure(s):	The Spectrum					
	Base case technology:	The Opecitum					
	Efficient technology:						
	Number of participants or units delivered:	1600					
	Measure life (years):						
B.	TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):						
	U	Itility program cost (less incentives):					
		Total TRC costs:					
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):						
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC (						
C.	Results: (one or more category may apply	<i>'</i> )					
	Conservation Programs:						
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer					
		Winter					
		lifecycle	in year				
	Energy saved (kWh):						
	Other resources saved :						

Natural Gas (m3)	:		
Other (specify)			
Demand Management Programs:			
Controlled load (kW)			
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWl	n):		
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh			
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh			
Demand Response Programs:			
Dispatchable load (kW):			
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):			
Power Factor Correction Programs:			
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			
Distribution system power factor at begini	ng of year (%):		
Distribution system power factor at end of	year (%):		
Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
Peak load savings (kW):			
r bak idad saviriys (KVV).			
reak load Saviligs (kw).	lifecycle		in year
Energy savngs (kWh):	lifecycle		in year
Energy savngs (kWh):			in year
			in year
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Disple			in year
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):			in year
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Display Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh):			in year
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displace Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):			in year
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Disple Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:			in year
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Disple Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify):			in year
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displate Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			in year
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Disple Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify): Program Costs*:	acement Programs:		in year
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Disple Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify): Program Costs*:	acement Programs:	\$	in year 1,220.60
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Disple Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify): Program Costs*:	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	\$ \$ \$	
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Displate Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:		1,220.60
Energy savngs (kWh):  Distributed Generation and Load Disple Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify): Program Costs*:	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive:		1,220.60

	Total:	6.12
Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:	
Comments:		
	al O&M, has been pro-rated as a percent oplied against the total indirect cost of the	of the Conservation & Demand Management (C & DM) Program C & DM Program (\$4041.34.)

\*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

A.	Name of the Program:	Industrial - Program 4		
	Description of the program (including in	ntent, design, delivery, partners	hips and evaluation):	
	This program includes: Installation of new Section III of the report body (4.1) for further		of Web Presentment Tools and f	ree consulting services. See
	Measure(s):	Smart Meters		
	Base case technology:	Smart meters		
	Efficient technology:			
	Number of participants or units delivered:			
	Measure life (years):			
B.	TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):	tility program cost (less incentives): Participant cost:		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs:		
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC C	Costs):		
C.	Results: (one or more category may apply  Conservation Programs:	)		
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		
	·	Winter		
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):			
	Other resources saved :			

Natural Gas (m3)	:		
Other (specify)	:		
Demand Management Programs:			
Controlled load (kW)			
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh	n):		
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh	•		
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh	n):		
Demand Response Programs:			
Dispatchable load (kW):			
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):			
Power Factor Correction Programs:			
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			
Distribution system power factor at begini	ng of year (%):		
Distribution system power factor at end of	year (%):		
Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
Peak load savings (kW):			
	lifecycle		in year
Energy savngs (kWh):			
Distributed Generation and Load Displa	acement Programs:		
Amount of DG installed (kW):	<del></del>		
Energy generated (kWh):			
Peak energy generated (kWh):			
Fuel type:			
Other Programs (specify):			
Metric (specify):			
Program Costs*:			
Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
	Incremental O&M:	\$	101,209.07
	Incentive:	\$	30,193.54
		<b>c</b>	121 102 61
	Total:	\$	131,402.61
Litility indirect costs (\$):		Φ	131,402.01
Utility indirect costs (\$):	Total: Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	\$	659.14

	Total:	659.14	
Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:		
Comments:			
Utility Indirect Cost - Incremental O&M, ha Budget. This value was then applied again			agement (C & DM) Program

\*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

A.	Name of the Program:	Government/Institutional - Program	m 5	
	Description of the program (including inte	ent, design, delivery, partnership	s and evaluation):	
			•	
	This program includes: Street Light Retrofits	and LED Traffic Light Conversions.	. See Section III of the report bod	y (5.1-5.2) for further details.
	Measure(s):	Daniera MV 475 with LIDC 400	Daniage MV 050 with LIDC 450	Dealess MV 400 with LIDC 050
	Base case technology:	Replace MV 175 with HPS 100 Mercury Vapour 175 bulb	Replace MV 250 with HPS 150 Mercury Vapour 250	Replace MV 400 with HPS 250 Mercury Vapour 400
	Efficient technology:	High Pressure Sodium 100 bulb	High Pressure Sodium 150	High Pressure Sodium 250
	Number of participants or units delivered.	216	499	55
	Measure life (years):	25	25	25
_				
B.	TRC Results:			
	TRC Benefits (\$):		-\$ 238,546.87	
	TRC Costs (\$):			
			\$ 248,442.13	3
		Participant cost:	•	
	Not TDC (in war CDN ft)	Total TRC costs:		_
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$ 9,895.26	<u> </u>
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Co	sts):	-\$ 0.96	3
_				
C.	Results: (one or more category may apply)			
	Conservation Programs:			
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer	73	
		Winter	948	
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):	7785536	2030	
	Other resources saved :			
	Natural Gas (m3):			
	Other (specify):			
	. (-1, 3)			

	Demand Management Programs:				
	Controlled load (kW)				
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):				
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):				
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):				
	Energy stilled wild peak to on peak (kwin).				
	Demand Response Programs:				
	Dispatchable load (kW):				
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):				
	Power Factor Correction Programs:				
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):				
	Distribution system power factor at begining	of vear (%):			
	Distribution system power factor at end of ye	• • •			
	Distribution system perior ruster at one or y	Su. (70):			
	Line Loss Reduction Programs:				
	Peak load savings (kW):				
		lifecycle		in year	
	Energy savngs (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Load Displac	omant Brograms:			
	Amount of DG installed (kW):	ement Frograms.			
	Energy generated (kWh):				
	Peak energy generated (kWh):				
	Fuel type:				
	••				
	Other Programs (specify):				
	Metric (specify):				
D.	Program Costs*:				
٥.	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
	Clinty and coole (4).	Incremental O&M:	\$	59.24	
		Incentive:	\$	248,442.13	
		Total:	\$	248,501.37	
		rotar:	Φ	240,501.37	
	Litility indirect costs (\$);	In a way was a state of			
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		4040.5	
		Incremental O&M:		1246.5	
		Total:		1246.5	
	Double in and accept (C)				
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment:			
		Incremental O&M:			
		Total:			
E.	Comments:				
	Utility Indirect Cost - Incremental O&M, has	been pro-rated as a percent of	the Conservati	on & Demand Managem	ent (C & DM) Program Budget This
	value was then applied against the total indi			g	(,gg
		· ·	,		

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

A. Name of the Program:	LDC System - Program 6
-------------------------	------------------------

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation)

This program includes: Corporate Offices Lighting Retrofits. See Section III of the report body (6.1) for further details.

#### Measure(s):

	3W LED Exit Sign	175W Metal Halide Lamp	T8 32W Bulbs	T5 HO Lamps
Base case technology:	15W Incandescent Exit Sign	250MV Bulb	T12 75W Bulb	400W Metal Halide
Efficient technology:	3W LED Exit Sign	175W Metal Halide Lamp	T8 32W Bulbs	T5 HO Lamps
Number of participants or units delivered.	18	7	303	7
Measure life (years):	25	4	5	5

Occupancy Sensor Control On/Off Switch Occupancy Sensor Control 10

3. TRC Results:		
TRC Benefits (\$):	-\$	11,122.04
TRC Costs (\$):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):	\$	-
Participant cost:	\$	28,400.00
Total TRC costs:	\$	28,400.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	\$	17,277.96
	_	
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):	-\$	0.39

#### C. Results: (one or more category may apply)

#### **Conservation Programs:**

Demand savings (kW):		Summer	21
		Winter	23
		lifecycle	in year
Energy saved (kWh):		666965	2030
Other resources saved :			
	Natural Gas (m3):		

Other (specify):

#### **Demand Management Programs:**

Controlled load (kW)
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):

<u>Demand Response Programs:</u> Dispatchable load (kW):			
Peak hours dispatched in year (ho	urs):		
, , , ,	•		
Power Factor Correction Program	ms:		
Amount of KVar installed (KVar): Distribution system power factor as	t bogining of year (9/):		
Distribution system power factor at			
<b>Line Loss Reduction Programs:</b>			
Peak load savings (kW):			
	lifecycle	in year	
Energy savngs (kWh):			
<b>Distributed Generation and Load</b>	d Displacement Programs:		
Amount of DG installed (kW):			
Energy generated (kWh):			
Peak energy generated (kWh):			
. ,			
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:			
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify):			
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*:			
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):	Incremental capital:	0.740.00	
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*:	Incremental O&M:	\$ 3,710.00	
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*:	Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ 39,607.50	
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*:	Incremental O&M:	•	
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive:	\$ 39,607.50	
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*:	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 39,607.50	
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Incremental capital:	\$ 39,607.50 43,317.50	
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):  Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:  Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	\$ 39,607.50 43,317.50 217.42 217.42	
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total: Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total: Incremental equipment:	\$ 39,607.50 43,317.50 217.42	
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:  Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):  Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):  Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:  Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	\$ 39,607.50 43,317.50 217.42 217.42	

E.	Comments:
	Utility Indirect Cost - Incremental O&M, has been pro-rated as a percent of the Conservation & Demand Management (C & DM)
	Program Budget. This value was then applied against the total indirect cost of the C & DM Program (\$4041.34.)

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.