# Chapleau Public Utilities Corp.

110 Lorne St . S Chapleau, Ontario P.O. Box 670 P0M 1K0

Telephone (705) 864-0111 Fax (705) 864-1962 E-mail chec@onlink.net

April 3, 2006

Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge St., P.O. Box 2319 Suite 2700 Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Sir or Madam:

Re: CDM Initiatives Chapleau Public Utilities Corporation RP-2004-0203/EB-2004-543

Please find enclosed the year-end report of 2005 CDM activities by Chapleau Public Utilities Corporation. 2005 was spent planning, organizing and preparing our CDM programs and events.

In 2006 we are well on our way to implementing some of these programs. We have received our "Kill A Watt" devices and our promo nightlights. Chapleau Public Utilities Corporation has rented a booth at our communities sport and trade show on April 21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup>, where we will be launching our "Kill A Watt" program. We will also be handing out energy saving material and promoting conservation at this time.

Although a small LDC, Chapleau Public Utilities Corporation is committed to playing our role in CDM. We believe our 2006 annual CDM reporting will reflect our commitment.

If we can be of any assistance, please contact me at (705) 864-0111.

Respectfully

Marita Morin Secty-Treas.

### **Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan**

	Total	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	Other 1	Other 2	Other 3	Other 4
Net TRC value (\$):											
Benefit to cost ratio:											
Number of participants or units delivered:											
Total KWh to be saved over the lifecycle of the plan (kWh):							ah	1e			
Total in year kWh saved (kWh):				104	ap	plic	Jan		21/	05	
Total peak demand saved (kW):				Aor		Jin(	a D	ec	<b>J</b> • '		
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):				iod	en						
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):	f	orl	961		ap en						
Gross in year C&DM expenditures (\$):			_	_	_						
Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh)*:											
Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW)**:											

Utility discount rate (%):

<sup>\*</sup>Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

Α.	Name of the Program:	Examination & Roll Out of Smart	weters	
	Description of the program (include	ling intent, design, delivery, par	tnerships and evaluation):	
	The intent of this program is to exam	ine the application and the roll-out	of smart metering technology an	d support infrastructure.
	Measure(s):			
	Base case technology:	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Efficient technology:			
	Number of participants or units delivented Measure life (years):	ered:		
_				
B.	TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$):			
	TRC Costs (\$):			
	U	Itility program cost (less incentives):		
		Participant cost: Total TRC costs:		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs.		
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):		
_	·	,		
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)		
	Conservation Programs:			
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter		
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):			
	Other resources saved :  Natural Gas (m3):			
	Other (specify):			
	Demand Management Programs:			
	Controlled load (kW)			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	-		
	Demand Response Programs:	. ,		
	Dispatchable load (kW):			
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	s):		
	Power Factor Correction Programs	<u>s:</u>		
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			
	Distribution system power factor at b			
	Distribution system power factor at e	na or year (%):		

	<u>Line Loss Reduction Programs:</u> Peak load savings (kW):					
	reak load savings (kw).	lifecycle	in	year		
	Energy savngs (kWh):			,		
	Distributed Generation and Load Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):	Displacement Programs:				
D.	Program Costs*:					
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$	969.52		
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:				
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:				
E.	Comments:					
	At this time, there are no costing or	savings to show because we have	just begun talk	s with Rodan and	Bell/Nortel about a pilot projec	t.

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Α.	Name of the Program:	Distribution Systems improvemen	ITS	
	Description of the program (include	ding intent, design, delivery, par	tnerships and evaluation):	
	The intent of the program is to study	and minimize losses of the distribu	ution system.	
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:		, , ,	, , ,
	Efficient technology: Number of participants or units deliv	ered:		
	Measure life (years):			
B.	TRC Results:			
	TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):			
		Itility program cost (less incentives):		
		Participant cost:		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs:		
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):		
C.	·			
С.	Results: (one or more category may	<i>т</i> арріу)		
	Conservation Programs:  Demand savings (kW):	Summer		
	Domana cavingo (NV).	Winter		
	<b>5</b>	lifecycle	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:			
	Natural Gas (m3):			
	Other (specify):			
	<u>Demand Management Programs:</u> Controlled load (kW)			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(kWh):		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak			
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	(KWN):		
	<u>Demand Response Programs:</u> Dispatchable load (kW):			
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	rs):		
	Power Factor Correction Program	s:		
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	<del>-</del>		
	Distribution system power factor at b			
	Distribution system power factor at e	ena or year (%):		

	<b>Line Loss Reduction Programs:</b>		
	Peak load savings (kW):		
	- , ,	lifecycle	in year
	Energy savngs (kWh):		
	Distributed Generation and Load Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify):	Displacement Programs:	
	Metric (specify):		
D.	Program Costs*:		
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	
		Incremental O&M:	\$ 334.00
		Incentive:	
		Total:	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	
	, ,	Incremental O&M:	
		Total:	
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment:	
	τ απισιρατίτ σοσίο (ψ).	Incremental O&M:	
		Total:	
E.	Comments:		
	At this time, there are no costing or	savings to show.	

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

A.	Name of the Program:	Energy Savings Information & Pr	omotional Programs	
	Description of the program (include	ling intent, design, delivery, par	rtnerships and evaluation):	
	The intent of the program is to encou	urage energy savings through pos	itive changes in consumption patt	erns, operations and behavior.
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology: Efficient technology:			
	Number of participants or units delive Measure life (years):	ered:		
В.	TRC Results:			
	TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):			
	• •	Itility program cost (less incentives):		
		Participant cost:		
		Total TRC costs:		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):		
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)		
	Conservation Programs:			
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		
		Winter	_	
	Energy saved (kWh):	lifecycle	in year	
	Other resources saved :			
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):			
	Other (specify).			
	<u>Demand Management Programs:</u> Controlled load (kW)			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(kWh)·		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak			
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak			
	Demand Response Programs:			
	Dispatchable load (kW):			
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	rs):		
	Power Factor Correction Program	s:		
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	_		
	Distribution system power factor at b			
	Distribution system power factor at e	end of year (%):		

	<b>Line Loss Reduction Programs:</b>			
	Peak load savings (kW):	lifoquala	in waar	
	Energy savngs (kWh):	lifecycle	in year	
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:		
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			
D.	Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 267.84	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:		
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:		
E.	Comments:  At this time, there are no savings to booth at our communities Sport & T			

our Kill a Watt program.

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

A.	Name of the Program:	Customer Oriented CDM Program	ms	
	Description of the program (include	ling intent, design, delivery, par	tnerships and evaluation):	
	The intent of this program is to determ	mine the appropriate cost effective	e technical options for CDM behin	d customers meters for all classe
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delive Measure life (years):	ered:		
В.	TRC Results:			
	TRC Benefits (\$):			
	TRC Costs (\$):	Itility program cost (less incentives):		
	O	Participant cost:		
		Total TRC costs:		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):		
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)		
	Conservation Programs:			
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		
		Winter	in voor	
	Energy saved (kWh):	lifecycle	in year	
	Other resources saved :			
	Natural Gas (m3):			
	Other (specify):			
	Demand Management Programs:			
	Controlled load (kW)			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak			
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak			
	Demand Response Programs:			
	Dispatchable load (kW):			
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hours	s):		
	Power Factor Correction Programs	<u>s:</u>		
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			
	Distribution system power factor at b Distribution system power factor at e			
	Distribution system power factor at e	nu oi yeai (70).		

	<b>Line Loss Reduction Programs:</b>		
	Peak load savings (kW):		
		lifecycle	in year
	Energy savngs (kWh):		
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:	
	Other Programs (specify):		
	Metric (specify):		
D.	Program Costs*:		
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 167.04
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:	
E.	Comments:		
	At this time there is no costing or say	vinas to show	
	and and to the deciming of our		

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.