Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

	Total	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	Other 1	Other 2	Other 3	Other 4
Net TRC value (\$):	\$ 25,449.12	\$22,744	\$2,505	\$18							
Benefit to cost ratio:	-1.34	-\$1.34	-\$1.34	-\$1.34							
Number of participants or units delivered:	1	0.89	0.10	0.01							
Total KWh to be saved over the lifecycle of the plan (kWh):	2742625	2,451,086	269,943	20,053							
Total in year kWh saved (kWh):	109705	98,043	10,798	802							
Total peak demand saved (kW):	950	849	94	7							
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):	0.38%	0.34%	0.04%	0.00%							
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):	0.57%	0.51%	0.06%	0%							
Gross in year C&DM expenditures (\$):	-\$ 75,072.00	-\$67,092	-\$7,389	-\$549							
Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh)*:	(0.68)	(0.68)	(0.68)	(0.68)							
Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW)**:											
Utility discount rate (%):											

7.63

*Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.

**Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

Name of the Program: LINE LOST STUDY- OPTIMAZATION OF THE SYSTEM

Α.

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD HAS CONDUCT A STUDY INCLUDING LINE LOST STUDY AND OPTIMIZATION OF THE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM OF COOPERATIVE HYDRO EMBRUN INC. IN MID-JULY 2005. THE STUDY RESULTS A SAVING OF 109,760 kWh PER YEAR AND REDUCTION OF 38 kW PEAK DEMAND YEARLY

	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Meas	ure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:				
	Efficient technology:				
	Number of participants or units delive Measure life (years):	ered:			
В.	TRC Results:				
	TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	100,521.12	
	TRC Costs (\$):	Itility program cost (less incentives):	¢	75 070 00	
	0	Participant cost	-⊅ ¢	75,072.00	
		Total TRC costs:	ው - \$	- 75 072 00	
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	10101 1110 00313.	\$	25.449.12	
			<u> </u>		
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):	-\$	1.34	
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)			
	Conservation Programs:				
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer			
		Winter			
		lifecycle		in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):				
	Other resources saved :				
	Natural Gas (m3):				
	Other (specify):				
	Demand Management Programs:				
	Controlled load (kW)				
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(KWN):			
	Energy shifted Mid pook to Off-peak ((KVVN): ////////			
	Energy shined wid-peak to On-peak	(KVVII).			
	Demand Response Programs:				
	Dispatchable load (kW):				
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	s):			
	Power Factor Correction Programs	<u>s:</u>			
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):				
	Distribution system power factor at b	egining of year (%):			
	Distribution system power factor at e	nd of year (%):			

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

	Peak load savings (kW):			38
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savngs (kWh):	2,742,625		109,705
	Distributed Generation and Load	Displacement Programs:		
	Amount of DG installed (kW):			
	Energy generated (kWh):			
	Peak energy generated (kWh):			
	Fuel type:			
	Other Programs (specify):			
	Metric (specify):			
J.	Program Costs^:	1		
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Incentive:		
		Total:		
	(Itility indiract costs (\$);	Incremental conital:		
	$Ounty maneet costs (\phi).$	Incremental Capital.		
		i olai.		
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment:		
		Incremental O&M ⁻		
		Total:		

E. Comments:



*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.