HYDRO ONE REMOTE COMMUNITIES INC.

Conservation and Demand Management Plan

Annual Report to December 31, 2005

RP-2005-0020/EB-2005-0511

March 31, 2006

Introduction

Hydro One Remote Communities Inc. (Remotes) serves off-grid communities in Ontario's far north. Remotes generates electricity for sale within these communities, primarily from diesel fuel. As Remotes' costs are unique, the avoided costs used in this report were filed in RP-2005-0020/EB-2005-0511 and include a 2.5% inflation factor. (The nominal TRC value of \$135,110.96 in Appendix A if restated with the 2.5% inflation factor is \$158,652.46.)

Remotes is operated on a break-even basis and does not earn a return on equity. Remotes believes that energy efficiency and conservation programs have the potential to reduce short and long term operating costs, with accompanying environmental and social benefits.

The primary intent of Remotes' DSM initiative is to cost-effectively develop and implement a range of residential customer and supplier programs that will deliver energy reductions and reduce expenditures on diesel fuel.

The DSM initiative has three main programs:

1) Residential Energy Conservation (Pilot Project)

This program will involve pilot projects in up to three communities to investigate energy efficiency measures for available and to acquire/sponsor customer rebates. Residential customers. Activities supported through this initiative will include installing insulation on water pipes, insulating water heaters and lighting. Costs for transportation and project coordination are included in the program costs.

2) Energy Conservation Education and Awareness Program

This program is designed to educate customers about conservation. The program includes a school program, community workshops on conservation initiatives; translation of conservation information; and community consultations related to conservation, along with education around building design as the Ontario Building Code does not apply on reserve.

3) Product Supplier Program

Transportation costs make goods far more expensive in Remote Communities than road connected communities. Additionally, many customers within Remotes' service territory are economically disadvantaged. This program would

attempt to work with product suppliers, Northern Stores and Band Councils and with NRCan to make Energy Star Labeled and other energy efficient products

Lessons Learned/Conclusions

Remotes began its program during 2005. Lessons learned to date include the importance of consultation and community engagement. Remotes anticipates that as the program is more fully developed, learning will continue.

A.	Name of the Program:	Hydro One Remote Communities
	Introduction	
	Remotes serves off-grid communities	es in the far north. Remotes generates electricity for sale within these communities, primarily from die

Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

	Total	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	Other 1	Other 2	Other 3	Other 4
Net TRC value (\$):	\$ 135,110.96	\$ 135,110.96									
Benefit to cost ratio:	3.4	3.4									
Number of participants or units delivered:	972	972									
Total KWh to be saved over the lifecycle of the plan (kWh):	526,338.00	526,338.00									
Total in year kWh saved (kWh):	17,544.60	17,544.60									
Total peak demand saved (kW):	n/a	n/a									
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):		0.04%									
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):		7.3872									
Gross in year C&DM expenditures (\$):	\$ 77,992.00	\$ 77,992.00									
Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh)*:											
Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW)**:											

Utility discount rate (%):	5.5
----------------------------	-----

^{*}Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.

^{**}Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

Name of the Program:	ame of the Program: Residential Energy Conservation (Pilot Project)					
Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):						
Pilot projects in up to 3 communities to investigate energy efficiency measures. The pilot projects involve hiring and training local resour						
	205271.92					
Measure(s):						
Base case technology:	Measure 1	Measu	re 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)		
Efficient technology:	072					
Measure life (years):						
TRC Results:						
			,			
* *	tility program cost (less incentives):	\$	46,599.00			
	Participant cost:	\$	-			
Not TDC (in year CDM 6);	Total TRC costs:		46,599.00			
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$	46,599.00			
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):	\$	2.90			
Results: (one or more category may	apply)					
Conservation Programs:						
Demand savings (kW):	Summer					
		7.3872	in was r			
Energy saved (kWh):	-	17544 6	ın year			
Other resources saved :	020000	17344.0				
Natural Gas (m3):						
Other (specify):						
Demand Management Programs:						
	/LM/b):					
						
Demand Response Programs:						
Dispatchable load (kW):						
Реак hours dispatched in year (hour	S <i>):</i>					
	<u>s:</u>					
• • •						
ייטוויטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייטוערייט	nu oi yeai (70).					
	Description of the program (included Pilot projects in up to 3 communities) Measure(s): Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delived Measure life (years): TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$): Net TRC (in year CDN \$): Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/ Results: (one or more category may) Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved: Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Nid-peak to Off-peak	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, pa Pilot projects in up to 3 communities to investigate energy efficiency in Base case technology: Efficient technology: Measure of participants or units delive Measure life (years): TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$): Utility program cost (less incentives): Participant cost: Total TRC costs: Net TRC (in year CDN \$): Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs): Results: (one or more category may apply) Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Summer Winter lifecycle Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh): Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh): Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh): Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hours): Power Factor Correction Programs:	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships) Pilot projects in up to 3 communities to investigate energy efficiency measures. The projects in up to 3 communities to investigate energy efficiency measures. The projects in up to 3 communities to investigate energy efficiency measures. The projects in up to 3 communities to investigate energy efficiency measures. The participant cost: Base case technology: Wheasure I measure 1 measure 1 measures 1 measures 2 measures 3 measures 3 measures 3 measures 3 measures 3 measures 4 measures 3 measures 4 measures 4 measures 5 measures 4 measures 5 measures 5 measures 6 measures 6 measures 6 measures 7	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation): Pilot projects in up to 3 communities to investigate energy efficiency measures. The pilot projects involve the pilot projects involved		

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:				
	Peak load savings (kW):				
	Fig. 1911 - 2011	lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savngs (kWh):				
	Distributed Generation and Load	Displacement Programs:			
	Amount of DG installed (kW):				
	Energy generated (kWh):				
	Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:				
	Other Programs (specify):				
	Metric (specify):				
D.	Program Costs*:				
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:	\$	46,599.00	
		Incentive:			
		Total:			
	I William in all and a sector (Ch).				
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental O&M:			
		Total:			
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment:		0	
	, ,	Incremental O&M:		0	
		Total:		0	
Ε.	Comments:				

^{*}Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

Α.	Name of the Program:	A. Name of the Program: Energy Conservation Education and Awareness Program						
	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):							
	Educate customers about conservati	on, keeping oral traditions in mind	I. This program inc	cludes a school	based awareness program, tran			
		17544.6						
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if a	applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)			
	Base case technology:				modelie e (ii appiioadie)			
	Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delive	ered:						
	Measure life (years):							
B.	TRC Results:							
	TRC Benefits (\$):		Φ.	24 202 00				
	TRC Costs (\$):	Itility program cost (less incentives):	\$ \$	31,393.00 31,393.00				
			\$	-				
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs:	\$	31,393.00				
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TPC Contol:						
	·	·						
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)						
	Conservation Programs:	•						
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter						
		lifecycle	in yea	ar				
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved:							
	Natural Gas (m3):							
	Other (specify):							
	Demand Management Programs:							
	Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(kWh):						
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak	(kWh):						
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	(kWh):						
	Demand Response Programs:							
	Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hours	s):						
	Power Factor Correction Programs							
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	<u>s.</u>						
	Distribution system power factor at b							
	Distribution system power factor at e	nd of year (%):						

	<u>Line Loss Reduction Programs:</u> Peak load savings (kW):			
	Tour load savings (KVV).	lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savngs (kWh):		,	
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify):	<u>Displacement Programs:</u>		
	Metric (specify):			
D.	Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 31,393.00	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:		
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:	0 0 0	
E.	Comments:			

^{*}Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A.	Name of the Program:	me of the Program: Product Supplier Program						
	Description of the program (include	ling intent, design, delivery, par	tnerships and evaluation):					
	Make energy efficient products availa	able in the communities. There w	ere no expenditures related to this	s program in 2005.				
		17544.6						
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)				
	Base case technology: Efficient technology:	Wododie 1	Mododio 2 (ii applicable)	Wododie e (ii applicable)				
	Number of participants or units delive Measure life (years):	ered:						
B.	TRC Results:							
	TRC Benefits (\$):							
	TRC Costs (\$):	tility program cost (less incentives):						
	J	Participant cost:	\$ -					
		Total TRC costs:	•					
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):							
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):						
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)						
	Conservation Programs:							
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer						
		Winter lifecycle	in year					
	Energy saved (kWh):	mecycle	iii yeai					
	Other resources saved :							
	Natural Gas (m3):							
	Other (specify):							
	Demand Management Programs:							
	Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(k\M/h):						
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak							
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak							
	Demand Response Programs:							
	Dispatchable load (kW):							
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hours	s):						
	Power Factor Correction Programs	<u>s:</u>						
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):							
	Distribution system power factor at b							
	Distribution system power factor at e	nd of year (%):						

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
	Peak load savings (kW):	lifecycle	in woor	
	Energy savngs (kWh):	illecycle	in year	
		Diantagement Programs		
	Distributed Generation and Load I Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	Displacement Programs:		
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			
D.	Program Costs*:			
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Incentive:		
		Total:		
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment:	0	
		Incremental O&M:	0	
		Total:	0	
E.	Comments:			

^{*}Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.