KINGSTON ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION LIMITED

Conservation and Demand Management Annual Report

2005

Prepared by Utilities Kingston for Kingston Electricity Distribution Limited

CONTACTS

J. Keech, President and C.E.O. R. Murphy, Manager of Finance

INTRODUCTION

Kingston Electricity Distribution Limited hereby submits its Conservation and Demand Management (CDM) Annual Report to the Ontario Energy Board.

Of the 5 items approved in its CDM Approved Plan, Program 1 - LED retrofits - was started and completed in 2005 and Program 2 - Smart Meters was started.

The other 3 approved programs were not begun in 2005; therefore this report provides a complete analysis for Program 1.

For Program 2 – Smart Meters - the only activity for the year was the purchase of the meters and as such that program is not reflected in Appendix A or Appendix B.

EVALUATION OF THE CDM PLAN

The attached Appendix A provides an overview of the effectiveness of our CDM Plan. It includes only the LED retrofit program under the "Other 1" column.

DISCUSSION OF THE PROGRAMS

Program 1 – LED Retrofits

LED is a highly reliable lighting technology that is becoming increasingly popular for various lighting applications. LEDs offer many benefits relative to traditional lighting including significant energy savings.

We examined opportunities to reduce energy use by working with the municipality to determine intersections where replacement of lights would be most practical and cost effective.

During 2005, we replaced 206 traffic lights with a calculated Net TRC of \$268. Included in this calculation are participant costs of \$8,175.80 to install the new bulbs less \$877.24, the present value of the equipment that will not need to be replaced annually as a result of the longer lasting bulbs. The \$8,175.80 would have been incurred regardless of whether or not the CDM program was implemented because the incandescent bulbs would have needed to be replaced anyway. However these costs are included are included as they were incurred to install the LED bulbs.

Program 2 - Smart Meters

To enable Kingston Electricity Distribution Limited in supporting the Minister of Energy's commitment to the installation of SMART meters, a pilot program for residential SMART meters commenced in 2005.

This program will provide Kingston Electricity Distribution Limited with the experience and knowledge needed to efficiently expand the use of SMART meters over the next several years. It will also provide customers participating in the pilot program with an incentive to conserve or shift energy use. One of the key elements of the program will be in the assessment of communication technologies. Sub-metering opportunities for the purposes of customer information in bulk-metered situations (i.e. condominiums) are being considered.

Kingston Electricity Distribution Limited will look to make an investment to further the use of SMART or interval meters by commercial, industrial and institutional customers. This initiative will target Commercial, Industrial and Institutional customers with demand greater than 50kW who currently do not have interval meters. In conjunction with appropriate rate structures, they will encourage customers to conserve or shift energy use.

During 2005, we purchased Smart Meters at a cost of \$25,219; however we did not install any meters as final plans for deployment were still being developed.

LESSONS LEARNED

Kingston Electricity Distribution Limited has learned that conservation comes at cost. Upfront costs are necessary to reduce demand; however the monetary benefits will be recovered over time.

CONCLUSION

Kingston Electricity Distribution Limited is striving to meet the Minister's direction which is consistent with the direction of our Shareholder and a number of community partners. We began by working with our Shareholder and have achieved a reduction in demand for electricity with our first initiative at a very small cost.

Kingston Electricity will continue to promote a culture of conservation in 2006 through its approved CDM programs.

James A. Keech President & CEO

Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

	Total	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	Other 1	Other 2	Other 3	Other 4
Net TRC value (\$):	\$268.44							\$ 268.44			
Benefit to cost ratio:	\$1.01							\$ 1.01			
Number of participants or units delivered:	206.00							206			
Total KWh to be saved over the lifecycle of the plan (kWh):	439,278.00							439,278			
Total in year kWh saved (kWh):	87,855.00							87,855			
Total peak demand saved (KW):	10.03							10.03			
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):	0.0116%							0.0116%			7
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):	0.0070%							0.0070%			
Gross in year C&DM expenditures (\$):	\$22,680							\$22,680			
Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh)*:	\$0.07							\$0.068			
Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW)**:	\$2,989							\$ 2,988.89			

Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.
 Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

Utility discount rate (%):

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A.	Name of the Program: LED Retrofits						
	Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):						
	Replace incandescent traffic light bul	bs with LED bulbs.					
	Measure(s):	Measure 1		Macaura 2 (if applicable)	Magazira 2 (if applicable)		
	Base case technology:	Incandescent bulbs		Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)		
		LED bulbs					
	Number of participants or units delive						
	Measure life (years):	5					
B.	TRC Results:						
	TRC Benefits (\$):		\$	30,247.00			
	TRC Costs (\$):						
	Ü	tility program cost (less incentives):		22,680.00			
		Participant cost: Total TRC costs:		7,298.56			
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total THC Costs.	\$	29,978.56 268.44			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/		\$	1.01			
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)					
	Conservation Programs:	-					
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer					
	Winter lifecycle			in year			
	Energy saved (kWh): 439,278			87,855			
	Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3):			07,000			
	Other (specify):						
	Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	kWh):					
	Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hours): Power Factor Correction Programs: Amount of KVar installed (KVar): Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%): Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):						

Line	Loss	Reduction	Programs:
LILIC	LUSS	neuuction	riogianis.

Peak load savings (kW):		
	lifecycle	in year
Energy savngs (kWh):		
Distributed Generation and Load D	Displacement Programs:	
Amount of DG installed (kW):		
Energy generated (kWh):		
Peak energy generated (kWh):		
Fuel type:		
Other Programs (specify):		
Metric (specify):		

D. Program Costs*:

Program Costs*:		
Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	\$ 22,680.00
	Incremental O&M:	\$ THE CASE SECTION
	Incentive:	\$
	Total:	\$ 22,680.00
Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	
\$7,000	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	
Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment:	\$ 7,298.56
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	\$ 7,298.56

E. Comments:

Participant Costs include installation costs incurred by the customer to install the L.E.D. bulbs in their traffic lights less the present value of the annual equipment costs that would have been incurred.

^{*}Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.