## Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

	Total	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	Other 1	Other 2	Other 3	Other 4
Net TRC value (\$):											
Benefit to cost ratio:					ot a						
Number of participants or units delivered:							ica	ble		<b>0</b> E	
Total KWh to be saved over the lifecycle of the plan (kWh):				N	ot	bbi		nec	31/	JO	
Total in year kWh saved (kWh):						and	rg in	ט ב			
Total peak demand saved (kW):				ner	0						
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):			101								
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):											
Gross in year C&DM expenditures (\$):											
Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh)*:											
Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW)**:											
		T									

Utility discount rate (%):

<sup>\*</sup>Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.

\*\*Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

A.	Name of the Program:	Utility Ass	et Conservation			
	Description of the program (include	ling intent	, design, delivery, pa	rtnerships	and evaluation):	
	The intent of the program is to analy	se and prid	oritized the need of effi	ciencies wi	thin the distribution gr	id. Voltage conversion is given hiç
	Measure(s):		Measure 1	Measu	re 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:	N/A		N/A		N/A
		N/A		N/A		N/A
	Number of participants or units delive	eN/A		N/A		N/A
	Measure life (years):					
В.	TRC Results:					
٥.	TRC Benefits (\$):					
	TRC Costs (\$):					
		Itility prograi	n cost (less incentives):	N/A		
	_		Participant cost:	14// (		
			Total TRC costs:			
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		Total Trio costs.			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs	):			
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)				
	Conservation Programs:					
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		N/A		
	• , ,	Winter		N/A		
			lifecycle		in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):	N/A	•	2005		
	Other resources saved :					
	Natural Gas (m3):	N/A				
	Other (specify):			2005		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Demand Management Programs:					
	Controlled load (kW)			N/A		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(IdM/b):		N/A		
	<del></del>			N/A		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak			N/A		
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	(KVVII):		IN/A		
	<b>Demand Response Programs:</b>					
	Dispatchable load (kW):			N/A		
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	s):		N/A		
	Power Factor Correction Program	<u>s:</u>				
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	_		N/A		
	Distribution system power factor at b	egining of	year (%):	N/A		
	Distribution system power factor at e			N/A		
	,	,	• /			

	Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
	Peak load savings (kW):		N/A	
	- ' '	lifecycle		in year
	Energy savngs (kWh):	N/A	2005	
	Distributed Generation and Load	Nicolacomont Programs:		
	Amount of DG installed (kW):	d Displacement Frograms.	N/A	
	Energy generated (kWh):		N/A	
	Peak energy generated (kWh):		N/A	
	Fuel type:		N/A	
	Other Programs (specify):			
	Metric (specify):		N/A	
	wethe (specify).		IN/A	
D.	Program Costs*:			
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	N/A	
		Incremental O&M:	N/A	
		Incentive:	N/A	
		Total:	N/A	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	N/A	
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment:		
		Incremental O&M:		
		Total:		
E.	Comments:			
⊏.	Comments.			
	At this time, there are no costing or	r savings to show.		

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

A.	Name of the Program:	Customer	Conservation			
	Description of the program (include	ling intent	, design, delivery, pa	rtnerships	and evaluation):	
	This program is intended to provide of	demand sic	de management and de	emand resp	onse programs for re	sidential and small commercial cus
	Measure(s):		Measure 1	Measu	ıre 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:	N/A		N/A	, 11	N/A
		N/A		N/A		N/A
	Number of participants or units delive	N/A		N/A		N/A
	Measure life (years):					
B.	TRC Results:					
ъ.	TRC Benefits (\$):					
	TRC Costs (\$):					
		Itility prograr	n cost (less incentives):	N/A		
	_	77.3	Participant cost:	14/7		
			Total TRC costs:			
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		701077710 00010.			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs	):			
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)				
	Conservation Programs:					
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		N/A		
	Demana savinge (NVV).	Winter		N/A		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lifecycle	1 4// (	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):	N/A	mooyolo	2005	iii youi	
	Other resources saved :	14/71		2000		
	Natural Gas (m3):	N/A				
	Other (specify):			2005		
	Caron (apoony).	14/74		2000		
	Demand Management Programs:					
	Controlled load (kW)			N/A		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	(kWh)·		N/A		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak			N/A		
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak			N/A		
	Demand Response Programs:	,				
	Dispatchable load (kW):			N/A		
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	s):		N/A		
	Power Factor Correction Program					
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			N/A		
	Distribution system power factor at b	eainina of	vear (%):	N/A		
	Distribution system power factor at a			N/A		
	Distribution system power factor at e	na or year	( / 0/-	1 4/ / 1		

	<b>Line Loss Reduction Programs:</b>				
	Peak load savings (kW):			N/A	
	2 . ,		lifecycle		in year
	Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A		2005	•
	Distributed Generation and Load	l Displacemer	nt Programs:		
	Amount of DG installed (kW):			N/A	
	Energy generated (kWh):			N/A	
	Peak energy generated (kWh):			N/A	
	Fuel type:			N/A	
	Other Programs (specify):				
	Metric (specify):			N/A	
D.	Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):		:	N/A	
	Ullity direct costs (\$).	Incremental			
		Incremental	O&M:	N/A	
		Incentive:		N/A	
		Total:		N/A	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental of	capital:	N/A	
	,	Incremental (			
		Total:			
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental	equipment:		
		Incremental			
		Total:			
E.	Comments:				
	At this time, there are no costing or	savings to sh	ow because the	program has r	not been implemented

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

A.	Name of the Program:	Customer C	Conservation			
	Description of the program (include	ding intent,	design, delivery, pa	rtnerships	and evaluation):	
	This program is developed to focus of	on communit	y and specific custon	ner informat	ion to foster an energ	y conservation culture. Programs
	Measure(s):	ı	Measure 1	Measu	re 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:	N/A		N/A		N/A
	Efficient technology:	N/A		N/A		N/A
	Number of participants or units deliver	€N/A		N/A		N/A
	Measure life (years):					
В.	TRC Results:					
	TRC Benefits (\$):					
	TRC Costs (\$):					
		Jtility program	cost (less incentives):			
			Participant cost:			
			Total TRC costs:			
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):					
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs):				
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)				
	Conservation Programs:					
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		N/A		
	Demand Savings (KW).	Winter		N/A		
		vviiitoi	lifecycle	14/71	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):	A1/A	mccycic		iii youi	
		$NI/\Delta$		2005		
	Other resources saved :	N/A		2005		
	Other resources saved :			2005		
	Natural Gas (m3):	N/A				
		N/A		2005		
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):	N/A				
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):  Demand Management Programs:	N/A		2005		
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	N/A N/A		2005 N/A		
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	N/A N/A		2005 N/A N/A		
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak	N/A N/A (kWh): (kWh):		2005 N/A N/A N/A		
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	N/A N/A (kWh): (kWh):		2005 N/A N/A		
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Demand Response Programs:	N/A N/A (kWh): (kWh):		2005 N/A N/A N/A N/A		
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A N/A (kWh): (kWh): (kWh):		2005 N/A N/A N/A N/A		
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-	N/A N/A (kWh): (kWh):		2005 N/A N/A N/A N/A		
	Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid	N/A N/A (kWh): (kWh):		2005 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		
	Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify):  Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hour Power Factor Correction Program Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A N/A (kWh): (kWh): (kWh):		2005 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		
	Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid	N/A N/A  (kWh): (kWh): (kWh):		2005 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		

2,320.15
2,320.15

\_\_\_\_

At this time, there are no savings to show because the program has not been implemented for that long, however, we see customers us

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

A.	Name of the Program:	Partnershi	p Program			
	Description of the program (include	ding intent	, design, delivery, pa	rtnerships	and evaluation):	
	Alliances will be formed with other or	ganizations	s delivering of promotir	ng enegy ef	ficient services and pr	oducts. This will allow leveraging
	Measure(s):		Measure 1	Measu	re 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:	N/A		N/A		N/A
		N/A		N/A		N/A
	Number of participants or units delive	€N/A		N/A		N/A
	Measure life (years):					
B.	TRC Results:					_
υ.	TRC Benefits (\$):					
	TRC Costs (\$):					
		Itility program	n cost (less incentives):	N/A		
	C	ruity prograi	Participant cost:	IN/A		
			•			
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		Total TRC costs:			
	Net TNO (III year ODIV ψ).					
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs	):			
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)				
	Conservation Programs:					
	·	Cumamaar		N/A		
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer				
		Winter		N/A	to	
	F	A / / A	lifecycle	0005	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):	N/A		2005		
	Other resources saved :					
	Natural Gas (m3):					
	Other (specify):	N/A		2005		
	Demand Management Programs:			N1/A		
	Controlled load (kW)			N/A		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak			N/A		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak			N/A		
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	(kWh):		N/A		
	<b>Demand Response Programs:</b>					
	Dispatchable load (kW):			N/A		
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	rs):		N/A		
	Power Factor Correction Program	<u>s:</u>				
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	<del></del> -		N/A		
	Distribution system power factor at b	eginina of	vear (%):	N/A		
	Distribution system power factor at e			N/A		
	ay atam pontar radior at a	5. 3001	1/*			

	<b>Line Loss Reduction Programs:</b>				
	Peak load savings (kW):			N/A	
	2 . ,		lifecycle		in year
	Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A		2005	•
	Distributed Generation and Load	l Displacemer	nt Programs:		
	Amount of DG installed (kW):			N/A	
	Energy generated (kWh):			N/A	
	Peak energy generated (kWh):			N/A	
	Fuel type:			N/A	
	Other Programs (specify):				
	Metric (specify):			N/A	
D.	Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):		:	N/A	
	Ullity direct costs (\$).	Incremental			
		Incremental	O&M:	N/A	
		Incentive:		N/A	
		Total:		N/A	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental of	capital:	N/A	
	,	Incremental (			
		Total:			
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental	equipment:		
		Incremental			
		Total:			
E.	Comments:				
	At this time, there are no costing or	savings to sh	ow because the	program has r	not been implemented

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

A.	Name of the Program:	Planning 8	Coordination			
	Description of the program (include	ding intent	, design, delivery, pa	rtnerships	and evaluation):	
	The monitoring and evaluation of the	e conservati	on and DSM plan are	necessary t	o ensure that the prog	grams proceed according to plan,
	Measure(s):		Measure 1	Measu	re 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:	N/A		N/A	· · · · ·	N/A
		N/A		N/A		N/A
	Number of participants or units delive	€N/A		N/A		N/A
	Measure life (years):					
B.	TRC Results:					
ъ.	TRC Benefits (\$):					
	TRC Costs (\$):					
		Itility program	n cost (less incentives):	N/A		
	_	inty program	Participant cost:	IN/A		
			Total TRC costs:			
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		Total TNO Costs.			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/	TRC Costs	):			
C.	Results: (one or more category may	apply)				
	Conservation Programs:					
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		N/A		
	<u> </u>	Winter		N/A		
			lifecycle		in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):	N/A	•	2005	•	
	Other resources saved :					
	Natural Gas (m3):	N/A				
	Other (specify):			2005		
	Demand Management Programs					
	<u>Demand Management Programs:</u> Controlled load (kW)			N/A		
		(/d//h);		N/A		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak			N/A		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak					
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	(KVVII).		N/A		
	<b>Demand Response Programs:</b>					
	Dispatchable load (kW):			N/A		
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	s):		N/A		
	Power Factor Correction Program	s:				
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			N/A		
	Distribution system power factor at b	eginina of v	/ear (%):	N/A		
	Distribution system power factor at e			N/A		
	= addition and addition at a	J. Jour	1 · <del>-</del> /-			

	<b>Line Loss Reduction Programs:</b>				
	Peak load savings (kW):			N/A	
	2 . ,		lifecycle		in year
	Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A		2005	•
	Distributed Generation and Load	l Displacemer	nt Programs:		
	Amount of DG installed (kW):			N/A	
	Energy generated (kWh):			N/A	
	Peak energy generated (kWh):			N/A	
	Fuel type:			N/A	
	Other Programs (specify):				
	Metric (specify):			N/A	
D.	Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):		:	N/A	
	Ullity direct costs (\$).	Incremental			
		Incremental	O&M:	N/A	
		Incentive:		N/A	
		Total:		N/A	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental of	capital:	N/A	
	,	Incremental (			
		Total:			
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental	equipment:		
		Incremental			
		Total:			
E.	Comments:				
	At this time, there are no costing or	savings to sh	ow because the	program has r	not been implemented

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.