Cochrane Office: (705) 272-6669
NOW inc. Toll Free: (800) 619-6722
customercare@puc.net

Northern Ontario WIRES inc.

153 Sixth Avenue – 153 Sixième Rue
P.O. Box 640 – C.P. 640
Cochrane, Ontario P0L 1C0

March 31, 2006

Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge St., P.O. Box 2319
Suite 2700
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Sir or Madam:

Re: CDM Initiatives

Please find enclosed the year-end report of 2005 CDM activities by Northern Ontario Wires. Overall, we have failed to implement the strategic plan we had set for ourselves. Although, we have participated in flyer distributions, calls to customers during the hot summer of 2005 and conversations with our local paper, these steps we fully acknowledge as being very little.

In review of our limited manpower, I have now appointed a CDM Officer from within to lead the charge for 2006. Enclosed, for your perusal is a copy of the plan with time lines and allocated costs. I am now very confident that we will see promising results and that you will see a 2006 year-end report we can all be proud of.

I would like in closing to reassure the Board that Northern Ontario Wires Inc., although a small LDC, is committed to the goal of conservation and will play its part. We commit that 2006 will indeed produce action and results. If we can be of assistance or clarify any concerns, please feel free to contact me office at (705) 272-2002.

Respectfully submitted,

Doug Theobald
CEO

CDM Plan of Action
March 1, 2006

Monies used to date: $2,900.00

1. **Utility Asset Conservation – 3-year Budget of $45,000.00**

   (a) Voltage Conversion – as per Larry this is ongoing in the communities of Cochrane and Iroquois Falls.
   (b) Power Factor Correction – to be determined by the Engineer's Report
   (c) Engineering Study – to include mapping of system
   (d) Line Loss Reductions – to be determined by the Engineer's Report
   (e) Transformer and Other Losses – Use of infrared camera….is it more feasible to purchase or to rent?

To Do	Deadline	Who	Associated $
Draft RFP for Engineer Study	March 31, 2006	Larry/ Doug	In House
Contract Engineering Services	April 30, 2006	Doug	$30,000.00
Total			**$30,000.00**

2. **Customer Conservation Program – 3-year Budget of $24,000.00**

   (a) Free energy Audits for low/fixed income and social assisted customers.
   (b) Minimum fee for audits performed outside of low/fixed or social assistance.

   Elements involved: Advertising (ads / posters); Appointment taking; promo bag (fluorescent bulb; timers; weather stripping; pamphlet, outlet gaskets; shower coach; shower head).

To Do	Anticipated Date	Who	Associated $
Advertising Campaign	April 2006	Roxanne	$1,000.00
Promo Bag see watt reader	April 2006	Roxanne	see watt reader
Associated Web Update	April 2006	Roxanne	In House
Appointment Taking	April/ May 2006	Office Staff	In House
Home Visits	May/ June 2006	Louise/ Sandra	In House
Total			**$1,000.00**

3. **Education & Information – 3-year Budget of $28,338.00**

   (a) Energy Conservation Forum (Cost Prediction Tool). This will involve a forum for high energy users in conjunction with Utilismart.
   (b) School Programs. This will involve presentations in elementary schools within the distribution area.

To Do	Anticipated Date	Who	Associated $

Book Utilismart n/c	March 2006	Sandra	nil
Individual Interval Reports	March 2006	Utilismart	$1500.00
Book Best Western	March 2006	Roxanne	$75 +15 $105
Pamphlet for Forum & Distribute	March 2006	Roxanne	In House
Associated Web Update	March 2006	Roxanne	In House
Forum	April 2006	Sandra/ Utilismart	In House
Colouring book (2500 F & 2500 E)	March 2006	Roxanne	$1900.00
Pencils & Erasers	March 2006	Roxanne	$2700.00
Prepare Powerpoint presentation	March/ April 2006	Roxanne	In House
School Presentations	May 2006	Roxanne/ Dan	In House
Total			**$6,205.00**

4. **Partnership Programs** – 3-year Budget of $13,500.00

   (a) Watt Reader Program in partnership with local Libraries
   (b) Mission: Conservation Possible – Energy Efficient bulb campaign in partnership with local Canadian Tire and Home Hardwares
   (c) Christmas Bright Light campaign in partnership with local Canadian Tire and Home Hardwares.

To Do	Anticipated Date	Who	Associated $
Purchase Watt Readers	March 2006	Roxanne	$792.00
Communicate with Libraries	March 2006	Roxanne	In House
Posters for Watt Reader Program (12)	March 2006	Roxanne	$ 32.68
Book Marks (250)	March 2006	Roxanne	$ 103.00
Energy Reader instructions (250)	March 2006	Roxanne	$ 220.00
Energy Tips Brochure (250)	March 2006	Roxanne	$394.00
Promo Bags* (250)	March 2006	Roxanne	$3500.00
Associated Web Update	March 2006	Roxanne	In House
Communicate with Merchants	March/ April 2006	Roxanne	In House
Purchase of bulbs?	March 2006	Roxanne	$21798.00
Advertising	April 2006	Roxanne	$1500.00
Coupons	March 2006	Roxanne	$ 701.00
Associated Web Update	March 2006	Roxanne	In House
Communicate with Merchants	September 2006	Roxanne	In House
Purchase of Christmas Lights?	October 2006	Roxanne	$40,257.00
Advertising	November 2006	Roxanne	$1500.00
Coupons	October 2006	Roxanne	701.00
Associated Web Update	November 2006	Roxanne	In House
Total			**$71,498.68**

* Promo Bag includes:

- CFL
- LED Nightlight
- Showerhead
- Hot Water Card

- Refrigerator thermometer
- Outlet gaskets
- Weatherization strip
- Toilet tank bank
- Bag
- Custom Card $14.00 each

Add $1.20 for the Shower Coach.

5. **Planning and Coordination – 3-year Budget of $15,000**

Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

	Total	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	Other 1	Other 2	Other 3	Other 4
Net TRC value ($):											
Benefit to cost ratio:											
Number of participants or units delivered:											
Total KWh to be saved over the lifecycle of the plan (kWh):											
Total in year kWh saved (kWh):											
Total peak demand saved (kW):											
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):											
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):											
Gross in year C&DM expenditures ($):											
Expenditures per KWh saved ($/kWh):*											
*Expenditures per KW saved ($/kW)**:*											

Not applicable for period ending Dec31/05

Utility discount rate (%):	

*Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.
**Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Utility Asset Conservation

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

The intent of the program is to analyse and prioritized the need of efficiencies within the distribution grid. Voltage conversion is given hig

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		N/A
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	N /A
	Incentive:	N /A
	Total:	N /A
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*	
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no costing or savings to show.

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Customer Conservation

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

This program is intended to provide demand side management and demand response programs for residential and small commercial cus

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		N/A
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	N /A
	Incentive:	N /A
	Total:	N /A
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*	
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no costing or savings to show because the program has not been implemented

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Customer Conservation

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

This program is developed to focus on community and specific customer information to foster an energy conservation culture. Programs

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A	
	Incremental O&M:	$	2,320.15
	Incentive:	N /A	
	Total:	$	2,320.15
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A	
	Incremental O&M:		
	Total:		
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*		
	Incremental O&M:		
	Total:		

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no savings to show because the program has not been implemented for that long, however , we see customers us

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Partnership Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Alliances will be formed with other organizations delivering of promoting enegy efficient services and products. This will allow leveraging

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		N/A
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	N /A
	Incentive:	N /A
	Total:	N /A
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*	
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no costing or savings to show because the program has not been implemented

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Planning & Coordination

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

The monitoring and evaluation of the conservation and DSM plan are necessary to ensure that the programs proceed according to plan,

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		N/A
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	N /A
	Incentive:	N /A
	Total:	N /A
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*	
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no costing or savings to show because the program has not been implemented

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

	Total	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	Other 1	Other 2	Other 3	Other 4
Net TRC value ($):											
Benefit to cost ratio:											
Number of participants or units delivered:											
Total KWh to be saved over the lifecycle of the plan (kWh):											
Total in year kWh saved (kWh):											
Total peak demand saved (kW):											
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):											
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):											
Gross in year C&DM expenditures ($):											
Expenditures per KWh saved ($/kWh):*											
*Expenditures per KW saved ($/kW)**:*											

Not applicable for period ending Dec31/05

Utility discount rate (%):	

*Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.
**Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Utility Asset Conservation

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

The intent of the program is to analyse and prioritized the need of efficiencies within the distribution grid. Voltage conversion is given hig

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		N/A
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	N /A
	Incentive:	N /A
	Total:	N /A
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*	
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no costing or savings to show.

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Customer Conservation

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

This program is intended to provide demand side management and demand response programs for residential and small commercial cus

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		N/A
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	N /A
	Incentive:	N /A
	Total:	N /A
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*	
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no costing or savings to show because the program has not been implemented

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Customer Conservation

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

This program is developed to focus on community and specific customer information to foster an energy conservation culture. Programs

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A	
	Incremental O&M:	$	2,320.15
	Incentive:	N /A	
	Total:	$	2,320.15
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A	
	Incremental O&M:		
	Total:		
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*		
	Incremental O&M:		
	Total:		

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no savings to show because the program has not been implemented for that long, however , we see customers us

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Partnership Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Alliances will be formed with other organizations delivering of promoting enegy efficient services and products. This will allow leveraging

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		N/A
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	N /A
	Incentive:	N /A
	Total:	N /A
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*	
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no costing or savings to show because the program has not been implemented

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this section for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Planning & Coordination

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

The monitoring and evaluation of the conservation and DSM plan are necessary to ensure that the programs proceed according to plan,

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Efficient technology:	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of participants or units delive	N/A	N/A	N/A
Measure life (years):			

B. **TRC Results:**

TRC Benefits ($):		
TRC Costs ($):		
Utility program cost (less incentives):		N/A
Participant cost:		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN $):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply)

Conservation Programs:

Demand savings (kW):	*Summer*	N/A
	Winter	N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy saved (kWh):	*N/A*	2005
Other resources saved :		
Natural Gas (m3):	N/A	
Other (specify):	N/A	2005

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):	N/A

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):	N/A
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):	N/A

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at begining of year (%):	N/A
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):	N/A

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		N/A
	lifecycle	*in year*
Energy savngs (kWh):	N /A	2005

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):	N/A
Energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Peak energy generated (kWh):	N/A
Fuel type:	N/A

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):	N/A

D. **Program Costs*:**

Utility direct costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	N /A
	Incentive:	N /A
	Total:	N /A
Utility indirect costs ($):	*Incremental capital:*	N /A
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	
Participant costs ($):	*Incremental equipment:*	
	Incremental O&M:	
	Total:	

E. Comments:

At this time, there are no costing or savings to show because the program has not been implemented

*Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.