# Waterloo North Hydro Inc.

### RP-2004-0203 \ EB-2005-0207

# **Conservation and Demand Annual Report**

### March 2006

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Waterloo North Hydro Inc. (WNH) is the local electricity distribution company providing electricity distribution services to 48,000 customers in the City of Waterloo, the Township of Wellesley and the Township of Woolwich. Our service territory is 656 square kilometres, consisting mostly of rural areas – 590 square kilometres of rural territory and 66 square kilometers of urban territory. However, only 20% of our customer base is in the rural and small villages outside the City of Waterloo.

In December of 2003, the Minister of Energy indicated the government's intention to permit Local Distribution Companies (LDCs) to apply to the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) for the next installment of their allowable return on equity beginning March 1, 2005. The approval by the OEB for this final installment or third tranche was on the condition that LDCs reinvest an amount equal to one year's incremental returns of their third tranche, in conservation and demand management activities. The Minister of Energy in a letter dated May 31, 2004, granted written approval to all distributors to apply to the Ontario Energy Board for approval to establish a deferral account to record costs incurred with respect to conservation and demand management activities.

The Minister's letter indicated that LDCs should pursue a broad range of programs that support the more efficient use of electricity in Ontario, including those that were discontinued on the opening of the electricity market, to reduce customers' overall energy demand and/or demand for purchased energy.

The letter also indicated that reasonable expenditures on the planning, delivery and evaluation of any of the following measures should be supported by the Ontario Energy Board:

- energy efficiency;
- behavioural and operational changes, including the application of benchmarking or "smart" control systems;
- load management measures which facilitate interruptible and dispatchable loads, dual fuel applications, thermal storage, and demand response; measures to encourage fuel switching which reduces the total system energy for a given end-use;

Waterloo North Hydro Inc. RP-2004-0203\EB#2005-0207 Conservation and Demand Annual Report

- programs and initiatives targeted to low income and other hard to reach consumers; and
- distributed energy options behind a customer's meter such as tri-generation, cogeneration, ground source heat pumps, solar, wind, and biomass systems.

On October 5, 2004 the Board issued a procedural order (RP-2004-0203) setting out the process for how distributors may apply for approval of a Conservation and Demand Management Plan, and stipulating the filing requirements for a distributor's plan. Distributors were given the option of applying for interim or final approval of their plan.

This section outlines the programs proposed as Waterloo North Hydro's conservation and demand management program in response to the Minister's Directive and the Procedural Order from the OEB.

### **OUR APPROACH**

Prior to the opening of the electricity market, Waterloo North Hydro had an Energy Services Group that provided advice to customers on energy conservation and demand side management. Under restructuring for the electricity market, this function was discontinued. Our water heaters were sold to a gas company; some of our staff with expertise in this area retired and some were deployed elsewhere in the company.

Our approach to responding to the Minister's directive, has been to draw on the internal expertise, some outside expertise, discussion of programs with other utilities and information from various websites and sources listed by groups such as The Canadian Energy Efficiency Alliance. We have borrowed ideas from the experiences of B.C. Hydro, Manitoba Hydro and a few energy efficiency and geothermal websites in the United States.

We have put together a number of programs to touch on several customer sectors and address several of the objectives from the Minister's letter.

We found that programs involving outside agencies required quite an amount of work to lay the foundation for moving forward. As such, after we received approval for our CDM plans in March, 2005, the remainder of 2005 was a planning year for Waterloo North Hydro and many of the programs will be implemented beginning in 2006.

Please note that some of the measurable savings are preliminary figures and will be updated in future filings as the information is available.

## CONSERVATION AND DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

### 1. Residential Energy Efficiency Project:

The Residential Energy Efficiency Project (REEP) is a non-profit initiative of the Faculty of Environmental Studies at the University of Waterloo and the Elora Centre for Environmental Excellence. Evaluators are trained and certified in accordance to Natural Resources Canada standards. R.E.E.P. uses the *Energuide for Houses* system to conduct a comprehensive assessment of a home's energy efficiency and provide recommendations for improvement.

The audit involves examining the windows and doors, attic and wall insulation, foundation, and heating/ventilation system, as well as executing a scientific air leakage test.

This program is aimed at raising consumer awareness of the benefits of energy efficiency, such as energy-cost savings, improved home comfort and indoor air quality. It will also identify and prioritize energy efficiency upgrades, providing consumers the facts needed to make informed decisions about their home energy use. The program leverages an existing program that is well established in the area.

In REEP's report to WNH it was stated, "By supporting REEP, WNH is demonstrating a clear commitment to energy conservation and promoting a healthy environment in Waterloo Region."

CDM Funds Committed: \$30,000

CDM Funds Spent to December 31, 2005: \$30,000

Initiative Status at December 31, 2005: Completed

Measurable Results Available: Yes

Comments: Measurable Results have been

estimated by REEP; however, savings data is still currently in the

process of being updated.

In addition to kWh Savings, it has been reported that WNH customers have also reduced 301 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2005.

Anticipated Completion Date: Completed

# 2. Energy Efficient Traffic Lights and Street Lighting

The Region of Waterloo provides traffic lights at all intersections throughout the Regional Municipality of Waterloo. New traffic light installations use LED lights to illuminate the vehicle and pedestrian signals, while older installations use incandescent light bulbs. The LED lights use significantly less electricity than incandescent bulbs and the LED lights last six to ten times longer. However, the LED lamps are substantially more expensive and can range up to \$150 per LED lamp compared to \$2 per incandescent bulb.

Waterloo North Hydro, along with Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro and Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro, propose to partially fund the replacement of incandescent bulbs with LED bulbs in the traffic lights throughout the Region.

This program will result in significant energy savings as the LED lights use 80 to 90% less electricity. It will also reduce maintenance costs as the LED bulbs last longer and are more visible.

CDM Funds Committed: \$100,000

CDM Funds Spent to December 31, 2005: NIL

Initiative Status at December 31, 2005: Planning Started with Region of

Waterloo

Measurable Results Available: No

Comments: The Regional Municipality of

Waterloo has approved this project and is currently working on preparing the tender documents for the commencement of the project in the

spring of 2006.

# 2. Energy Efficient Traffic Lights and Street Lighting - continued

Several years ago, Waterloo North Hydro worked with the municipalities in our service area to replace old street lighting with energy efficient high-pressure sodium (HPS) streetlights. A number of streetlights were not replaced at the time in the rural areas and some villages of the townships in the service area. Waterloo North Hydro proposes to work with the municipalities to fund the replacement of these old street light fixtures with newer, energy efficient HPS streetlights.

This program will result in energy savings, as the HPS streetlights are more efficient. It is difficult to determine the amount of savings until the individual street light locations and existing equipment is identified. This program will also reduce maintenance costs.

CDM Funds Committed: \$50,000

CDM Funds Spent to December 31, 2005: NIL

Initiative Status at December 31, 2005: Planning Started

Measurable Results Available: No

Comments: WNH has had an ongoing dialogue

with the Townships of Wellesley and Woolwich and is working at their level in order to commence the project.

Waterloo North Hydro Inc. RP-2004-0203\EB#2005-0207 Conservation and Demand Annual Report

# 3. Loss Reduction on the Distribution System

Waterloo North Hydro will investigate and install technologies that will reduce losses on the distribution system. These technologies will include the deployment of capacitor banks, voltage conversion programs and upgrading of old transformers to newer low loss transformers.

All of these programs are aimed at energy efficiency of the distribution system, will help to reduce distribution system losses and will reduce the system demand. This in turn will help relieve growth strains on transmission network capacity and demand for generation capacity. These reductions will benefit all customers and will effect permanent changes that are not reliant on sustained changes in customer consumption.

CDM Funds Committed: \$365,000

CDM Funds Spent to December 31, 2005: NIL

Initiative Status at December 31, 2005: Not Started

Measurable Results Available: No

Comments: Work commenced in installing

capacitor banks in 2006. Resources in 2005 were re-allocated to the Smart

Meter Initiative.

Waterloo North Hydro Inc. RP-2004-0203\EB#2005-0207 Conservation and Demand Annual Report

## 4. Smart Metering Pilot Project

Comments:

Waterloo North Hydro has been reviewing several technologies as possible metering replacements for single-phase customers less than 50 kW demand (mostly residential). WNH has several areas where wireless communication may be an issue that will test the effectiveness of the Smart Meter technologies. We proposed a pilot involving approximately 1,500 locations to be retrofitted with Smart Meters.

This project will support the Ministry of Energy commitment to deploy Smart Meters and is a technology that will enable behavioural changes in conservation and demand management. We are, however, unable to determine the net effect as this project must work in conjunction with rate structures to be determined by the OEB to encourage customers to conserve energy or shift the time of day for energy use.

CDM Funds Committed: \$300,000

CDM Funds Spent to December 31, 2005: \$ 5,128

Initiative Status at December 31, 2005: Involved heavily in OUSM Project

in 2005

Measurable Results Available: No

Consultant's Report; however, the pilot project was put on hold with the

pilot project was put on hold with the announcement of the Ontario

The funds spent in 2005 were for a

Government's Bill 21, Section 53.8.

Recently the OEB has issued further guidelines on Smart Meters in their Generic 2006 Electricity Distribution Rate Issues, which have clarified a portion of the situation. Further definition of the roles and responsibilities of the Smart Meter Entity is still needed for WNH to commence the smart meter pilot

program in 2006.

# 5. Geothermal Energy Program

About 5% of Waterloo North Hydro customers are rural customers without access to natural gas for their primary heating source. Approximately 20% of our customers use electricity for the primary fuel source for home heating and hot water heating, and a larger percentage use electricity for home air conditioning.

An alternative source is available in geothermal energy systems for heating and cooling of homes, as well as hot water heating. The technology has been used in commercial buildings and custom homes for many years, and the technology has developed to a point where it is quite feasible to heat and cool all sizes of homes. The hurdle for some homebuilders is that the initial investment of installing a geothermal system costs more than the installation of a traditional furnace. The savings in energy costs however will more than pay for the extra initial costs of the geothermal system.

Waterloo North Hydro believes that finding alternative energy sources is very important for our rural customers and customers on electric heating. The Draft Report on implementing Smart Meters suggests that these customers may see rising heating costs under the proposed RPP under Smart Meters in the future. We also see fuel switching to geothermal systems as a long term and more dependable form of conservation, than programs where we need to rely on customer awareness and self-discipline to conserve energy. Waterloo North Hydro proposes to invest seed money into a local initiative that will promote the installation of geothermal systems for residential customers by funding the initial capital costs and then obtain repayment for the capital costs from the energy savings that the customer will be expected to see on their electricity bill.

Waterloo North Hydro will match the funds put into this initiative by a local geothermal energy company to allow the joint venture initiative to obtain support and funding from the financial institutions. The seed money will be required over a two to three year period to allow the joint venture to become self-sustaining.

This initiative will encourage fuel switching from electricity as a heating and cooling source. Waterloo North Hydro sees this as a more permanent and sustainable reduction in demand on the electricity energy supply as well as being a form of distributed energy sources.

# 5. Geothermal Energy Program - continued

CDM Funds Committed: \$250,000

CDM Funds Spent to December 31, 2005: NIL

Initiative Status at December 31, 2005: Joint Venture Company established in December, 2005

Measurable Results Available: No

Comments: A portion of the Start Up Funds will

be issued in early 2006. The Joint Venture Project has resulted in Lifetime Energy Inc. formally launching their marketing and product offering in early 2006. Geothermal Installation Services are actively offered to customers at this time with installations to begin in the

2006 spring construction season.

### 6. Energy Audits for Industrial, Commercial and Institutional Customers

Waterloo North Hydro recognizes that we need to work with our customers to keep them successful in business if we are to retain them as viable successful customers. Energy Audits for Industrial, Commercial and Institutional customers will help these customers to shift load from peak times and to find ways to conserve energy. We propose to partner with a local Energy Audit Services provider to deliver audits to our customers. Waterloo North Hydro will investigate methods to promote to our customers the use of Energy Audits and the implementation of the recommendations from Energy Audits.

This program is aimed at consumer awareness and education at the business and institutional level. It should encourage behavioural and operational changes that will reduce demand and conserve energy. This program will leverage the expertise of a local, established services provider.

CDM Funds Committed: \$50,000

CDM Funds Spent to December 31, 2005: NIL

Initiative Status at December 31, 2005: Not Started

Measurable Results Available: No

Comments: Waterloo North Hydro is working

with Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro and Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro to present the Cool Shops Program to customers in the Region of Waterloo. This program will be launched in

2006.

Waterloo North Hydro Inc. RP-2004-0203\EB#2005-0207 Conservation and Demand Annual Report

## 7. Low Income Consumer Retrofit Program

Waterloo North Hydro has been a supporter of the Heat Bank in the Region of Waterloo. The Heat Bank is a service in its third year of operation, funded by the local hydro companies and administered through the Regional Social Services. The Heat Bank serves as a last source of support to purchase heat and energy for low-income households.

In the 2005 season, Waterloo North Hydro will work with the Regional Social Services to identify and implement energy efficiency programs for Heat Bank recipients. In 2006 WNH will again work with Regional Social Services on new programs for low income consumers. These programs may include home energy assessments and will look at retrofit programs for such items as occupancy sensor thermostats, lower wattage stove elements, weatherstripping, compact fluorescent light bulbs and efficient showerheads.

This project is aimed at raising awareness of the benefits of energy efficiency and energy-cost savings for low-income consumers. The project will leverage the expertise of an existing program in the area for home audits as well as the knowledge of the Regional Social Services network.

CDM Funds Committed: \$30,000

CDM Funds Spent to December 31, 2005: \$ 3,000

Initiative Status at December 31, 2005: Started

Measurable Results Available: No

Comments: In 2006 WNH will again work with

Regional Social Services on new programs for low income consumers.

1 8

# 8. Energy Conservation Information for Consumers

Waterloo North Hydro currently has a website that has some information on energy conservation for homeowners. Most of the information is simply retained from our expertise prior to utility restructuring. We are working with a website developer, updating our website to provide easier access to more information on energy efficiency and links to other websites.

Waterloo North Hydro will also invest in providing brochures educating and promoting energy efficiency.

CDM Funds Committed: \$30,000

CDM Funds Spent to December 31, 2005: \$17,065

Initiative Status at December 31, 2005: Not Started

Measurable Results Available: No

Comments: Brochures were purchased in 2005,

however, the brochures were distributed in 2006, and thus, no measurable savings could be achieved.

### 2. EVALUATION OF THE CDM PLAN

Evaluation of the applicable plans is attached in Appendix A, which provides an overview of the effectiveness of WNH's CDM plan. As noted above, only two initiatives have been completed in 2005, with only the planning work started on others in 2005.

### 3. DISCUSSION OF THE PROGRAMS

Appendix B has been attached for each of the programs, in addition to the details and status of each CDM program.

### 4. LESSONS LEARNED

Waterloo North Hydro used 2005 as a planning and assessment year for the CDM program and has gained valuable knowledge in the administering of future programs. The Smart Metering Pilot Project was delayed and continues to be delayed awaiting decisions from the Ministry of Energy. Waterloo North Hydro also learned that programs involving third parties such as municipalities and social services require more time for planning, approvals and implementation.

Waterloo North Hydro considered 2005 a planning year and had two programs with estimated measurable results, one of these programs is not complete, and thus, a full assessment of its effectiveness is not yet able to be done (Low Income Customer Retrofit Program).

Waterloo North Hydro assesses its contribution towards the REEP program as a success, not only in terms of its Net TRC calculation (as demonstrated by the positive TRC calculation), but also in terms of the social good. As mentioned above, REEP stated, "By supporting REEP, WNH is demonstrating a clear commitment to energy conservation and promoting a healthy environment in Waterloo Region." Waterloo North Hydro considers this to be a worthwhile project that could be funded in the future.

# 5. CONCLUSION

As discussed above, Waterloo North Hydro gained valuable experience and knowledge in 2005 to apply in its future administering of its CDM programs and should have more energy savings in 2006 to measure and report.

Waterloo North Hydro has a Net TRC value of \$18,349 with limited execution of the CDM programs in 2005.

Waterloo North Hydro's continued participation in the Smart Metering UtilAssist OUSM Group will enable us to gain knowledge in implementing an effective smart meter program when the details and specifications are released by the Ministry of Energy.

# Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

				\$	WATERLOO NORTH HYDRO INC.	NORTH HY	DRO INC.				
	Total	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	Agricultural LDC System Programs Not Started	Other 2	Other 3	Other 4
Net TRC value (\$):		\$18,439									
Benefit to cost ratio:		\$2									
Number of participants or units delivered:		183									
Total KWh to be saved over the lifecycle of the plan (kWh):		1,251,891									
Total in year kWh saved (kWh):		70,620									
Total peak demand saved (kW):		10									
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):		0.09%									
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):		0.004%									
Gross in year C&DM expenditures (\$):		\$33,000						\$22,193			
Expenditures per KWh saved (\$'kWh)*:		\$0.03									
Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW)**:		\$3,300									
Utility discount rate (%):	7.25%										

<sup>\*</sup>Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings. \*\*Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

WATERLOO NORTH HYDRO INC.					
Name of the Program:	Name of the Program:  1. Residential Energy Efficiency Project (REEP)				
Description of the program	(including intent, design, delivery, pa	ortnerships and evaluation):			
Environmental Excellence, co	of the Faculty of Environmental Studies a inducts a comprehensive assessment of is aimed at raising consumer awareness	a home's energy efficiency and pr	ovides recommendations for		
Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)		
Base case technology: Efficient technology:	Bldg Envelope	Efficiencies			
Number of participants or unit Measure life (years):	ts deliv∈ 158 18				
TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):	Participant cost:				
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs:	\$ 30,000.00 \$ 18,780.13			
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Be	enefits/TRC Costs):	\$ 1.63			
Results: (one or more catego	ory may apply)				
Conservation Programs:					
Demand savings (kW):	Summer Winter	<ul><li>(2)</li></ul>			
Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved :	lifecycle 1193400	in year 14.000			
Natural Ga	s (m3): pecify):	TEORETHE HOLES TO SEE THE SEE			
Demand Management Prog	rams:				
Controlled load (kW)	id and the state of				
Energy shifted On-peak to Mi Energy shifted On-peak to Ol		- PARTIC PER LA TREMENTA DE LA TREMENTA DEL TREMENTA DEL TREMENTA DE LA TREMENTA DEL TREMENTA DE LA TREMENTA DEL TREMENTA DE LA TREMENTA DEL TREMENTA DE LA TREMENTA DEL TREMENTA DE LA TR			
Energy shifted Mid-peak to O	, , ,		•		
Demand Response Program	<u>ns:</u>				
Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in yea	ar (hours):				
Power Factor Correction Pr					
Amount of KVar installed (KV	•				
Distribution system power fac Distribution system power fac					
Line Loss Reduction Progra	ams:				
Peak load savings (kW):	lifaquala	Review Review Control (1997)			
Energy savngs (kWh):	lifecycle	in year			
	Load Displacement Programs:				
Amount of DG installed (kW):					
Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh	٦)،	rando (fragos establistico). Por distribución de la composition de			
Fuel type:	<b>7</b> '				
Other Programs (specify):					

WATERLOO NORTH HYDRO INC.  A. Name of the Program:  1. Residential Energy Efficiency Project (REEP)					
Name of the Program:	<ol> <li>Residential Energy Eff</li> </ol>	iciency Project (REEP)			
Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 30,000.00			
Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:				
Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:				
Comments: Please be advised that these actual figures will be provided		more detailed figures were not available in time for this filing. The			
actual figures will be provided	s in future filings.				

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

### WATERLOO NORTH HYDRO INC.

A.	Name	of the	Program
----	------	--------	---------

2. Energy Efficient Traffic Lights and Street Lighting

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Waterloo North Hydro, along with Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro and Kitchener-Wilmot Hydro, have proposed to partially fund the replacement of incandescent bulbs with LED bulbs in the traffic lights thoughout the Region of Waterloo. This program will result in significant energy savings as LED lights use 80 to 90% less electricity. It will also reduce maintenance costs as the LED bulbs last longer and are more visible. Waterloo North Hydro has proposed to work with the municipalities in our service area (principally in the rural areas and some villages of the township) to fund the replacement of old street light fixtures with energy efficient high-pressure sodium (HPS) streetlights.

	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology:			Medical of the application
	Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered:	1984 : 15 - 15 14 5 14 15 16 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	e militario del Bratania (1960), esta la properta del Propio de Maria de Propio Parla del Propio Parla del Pro De resta de la propio de trata de maria del Propio Parla del Propio Parla del Propio Parla del Propio Parla de	in de la deservaçõe de la propiaçõe de la prop
	Measure life (years):			
В.	TDC December		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ъ.	TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$):			
	TRC Costs (\$):		intelligence (in a program of the extra distinct of the extra contract of the extra cont	
	* *	gram cost (less incentives):		
		Participant cost:	\$ 111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	
		Total TRC costs:		
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$ Not to be the property starting of ⊋the	
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC C	osts):	#DIV/0!	
C.	Results: (one or more category may apply)			
	Conservation Programs:			
	Demand savings (kW): Summ	er		
	Winter		PLANCED SERVICE SERVICES	
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved :			
	Natural Gas (m3):			
	Other (specify):			
	Demand Management Programs:			
	Controlled load (kW)		An alasta sa nataka Wanasa antania	
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh)			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):			
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh)	•	PARTER SERVICES	
	Demand Response Programs:			
	Dispatchable load (kW):		Secretario de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della comp	
	Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):			
				•
	Power Factor Correction Programs:			
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			
	Distribution system power factor at begining		- National Committee (1984) and the first term of the committee of the com	
	Distribution system power factor at end of y	ear (%):		
	Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
	Peak load savings (kW):			
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savngs (kWh):			
	Distributed Generation and Load Displace	ement Programs:		
	Amount of DG installed (kW):	<del></del>	PERMERSERANCEMENTERS	
	Energy generated (kWh):			
	Peak energy generated (kWh):			
	Fuel type:			
	Other Programs (specify):			

Metric (specify):

A.	Name of the Program:	2. Energy Efficient Traffic	Lights and Street Lighting
D.	Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	######################################
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:	
E.	commencement of the traffic lig		t program and is currently preparing tender documents and anticipates NH also has had an ongoing dialogue with the Townships of Wellesley le street light project.

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

### WATERLOO NORTH HYDRO INC.

A.	Name of the Program:  3.5 Loss Reduction on the Distribution System				
	Description of the program (includin	g intent, design, delivery, p	artnerships and evaluation):		
	Waterloo North Hydro will investigate a include the deployment of capacitor bar transformers. All of these programs will benefit all customers and will effect	nks, voltage conversion progra I help reduce distribution syst	ams and upgrading of old transform em losses and will reduce the syst	ners to new low loss em demand. These reductions	
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)	
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units delivered Measure life (years):	entri di Pranciali, de la distribi di Prancia. Para di Garanti a Varanti Prancia di Prancia.			
В.	TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):		programme programme		
		y program cost (less incentives): Participant cost: Total TRC costs:			
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs.			
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TR	C Costs):	#DIV/0!		
C.	Results: (one or more category may ap	pply)			
	• • •	mmer nter			
	Energy saved (kWh):	lifecycle	in year		
	Other resources saved :  Natural Gas (m3):  Other (specify):				
	Demand Management Programs:				
	Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kV Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kV Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kV	Vh):			
	Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):				
	Power Factor Correction Programs: Amount of KVar installed (KVar): Distribution system power factor at beging Distribution system power factor at end				
	Line Loss Reduction Programs: Peak load savings (kW):	life avala			
	Energy savngs (kWh):	lifecycle	in year		
	Distributed Generation and Load Dis Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:	placement Programs:			
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):				

		WATERLOO N	ORTH HYDRO INC.
A.	Name of the Program:	3. Loss Reduction on the	Distribution System
D.	Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital; Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:	
E.	Comments: This program was not started commenced in 2006 installing		were allocated in 2005 to the Smart Metering Initiative. Work

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)
WATERLOO NORTH HYDRO INC.

Name of the Program: 4.5 Smart Metering Pilot Project						
Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):						
for single-phase customers less	osed a pilot project to install approxin than 50 kW demand (mostly resider of Energy commitment to deploy Sm mand management.	itial) in conjunction with the Ministry	s Smart Meter Initiative. This			
Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)			
Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units of Measure life (years):						
TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$):						
TRC Costs (\$):	Utility program cost (less incentives): Participant cost:	The second secon				
	Total TRC costs:					
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):  Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):  #DIV/01						
						Results: (one or more category may apply)
Conservation Programs:						
Demand savings (kW):	Summer					
	Winter					
Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved :	lifecycle  School of the state	in year Lite (1998) (1998) Elik (1996) (1997)				
Natural Gas ( Other (spe	in the first of the second					
Demand Management Program	ms:					
Controlled load (kW)		Contribution Provided Advantage				
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-	peak (kWh):					
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-p	* *					
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-	peak (kWh):					
Demand Response Programs	<u>.</u>					
Dispatchable load (kW):						
Peak hours dispatched in year (	hours):					
Power Factor Correction Prog	grams:					
Amount of KVar installed (KVar)						
Distribution system power factor Distribution system power factor	• • • • •					
Line Loss Reduction Program	<u>is:</u>					
Peak load savings (kW):	lifoqyala	Transity was before this topy				
Energy savngs (kWh):	lifecycle	in year provident in the broken to the con-				
Distributed Generation and Lo	oad Displacement Programs:					
Amount of DG installed (kW):						
Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh):						
Fuel type:						
•						
Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):						

B.

C.

		WATERLOON	ORTH HYDRO INC.
Α.	Name of the Program:	4. Smart Metering Pilot Pro	oject et et en
D.	Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital; Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$1666666666666666666666666666666666666
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:	Experimental de la completa de la c Esta de la completa del la completa del la completa del la completa de la completa del
Ξ.	had been put on hold in 2005	with the announcement of Governminition of the roles and responsibilit	he funds spent were for a Consultant's Report, however, the project ment's Bill 21. Recent announcements have clarified a portion of the lies of the Smart Meter Entity are needed for WNH to commence the

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

### WATERLOO NORTH HYDRO INC.

A.	Mana	of the	Draggan
Μ.	mairie	or the	Program:

5. Geothermal Energy Program

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Waterloo North Hydro has proposed to invest seed money into a local initiative that will promote the installation of geothermal systems for residential customers by funding the initial capital costs and then obtain repayment for the capital costs from the energy savings that the customer will be expected to see on their electricity bill. This initiative will encourage fuel switching from electricity as a heating and cooling source. Waterloo North Hydro see this as a more permanent and sustainable reduction in demand on the electricity energy supply as well as being a form of distributed energy sources.

that of Agy with districts	ana Palituri da kali 6 ing kambunga kalitika	are du tanti Pili ten di tati Pili terana pin batan di terana di Pilitan. Per	<ul> <li>The state of the s</li></ul>	eerii areari and ealene di laardea ee laardea ee
Measure(s	):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case	technology:	Weasure 1	Weasure 2 (ii applicable)	measure o (ii applicable)
Efficient ted	chnology:			
	participants or units o	lelivered:		
Measure life	e (years):			
TRC Resul	ts:			
TRC Benefi	• •			
TRC Costs	<b>(\$)</b> :		Attractive and for the expension of the section of the section of	
		Utility program cost (less incentives): Participant cost:	<ul> <li>Electrical contents of the conten</li></ul>	
		Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (ir	year CDN \$):	Total TNO Books.	1 <b>\$</b> 1500 (400 (400 (400 (400 (400 (400 (400 (	
***************************************	Cost Ratio (TRC Bene	rfits/TRC Costs):	#DIV/0I	
Results: (c	ne or more category	may apply)		
Conservati	ion Programs:			
Demand sa		Summer		
		Winter		
		lifecycle	in year	
Energy sav Other resor	ed (kWh): urces saved :			
<b>4</b>	Natural Gas (i	<b>m3):</b>		
	Other (spec	The state of the s		
Demand M	anagement Prograr	ns:		
Controlled I				
	ted On-peak to Mid-p			
	ted On-peak to Off-p			
Energy snif	ted Mid-peak to Off-p	реак (кwn):		
Demand R	esponse Programs:			,
	le load (kW):			
Peak hours	dispatched in year (i	hours):		
Power Fac	tor Correction Prog	rams:		
	KVar installed (KVar).			
Distribution	system power factor	at begining of year (%):	Company and the second second	
Distribution	system power factor	at end of year (%):		
Line Loss	Reduction Program	s:		
	savings (kW):	_		
		lifecycle	in year	
Energy sav	ngs (kWh):			
Distributed	d Generation and Lo	oad Displacement Programs:		
	DG installed (kW):			
	nerated (kWh):			
_	y generated (kWh):			
Fuel type:				
	grams (specify):			
Metric (spe	cify):			

۹.	Name of the Program:		ORTH HYDRO INC.
D.	Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	
	Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:	
Ē.		othermal Installation Services are a	This has resulted in the formal launch of the company Lifetime actively offerred to customers at this time with installations to begin in

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

# WATERLOO NORTH HYDRO INC.

Name of the Program:	6. Energy Audits for Industrial,	Commercial and Institutional Cus	tomers
Description of the program (in	ncluding intent, design, delivery, p	artnerships and evaluation):	
as viable successful customers. load from peak times and to find deliver audits to our customers, and the implementation of the re	es that we need to work with our custon Energy Audits for Industrial, Comm I ways to conserve energy. We prop Waterloo North Hydro will investigate ecommendations from Energy Audits wel and should encourage behavioura	ercial and Institutional customers vose to partner with a local Energy te methods to promote to our custor. This program is almed at consur	will help these customers to shift Audit Services provider to omers the use of Energy Audits mer awareness and education at
Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units of Measure life (years):			
TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):			
	Utility program cost (less incentives):	TO SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE	
	Participant cost:	The first of the f	
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs:		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Bene	efits/TRC Costs):	#DIV/0!	
Results: (one or more category	may apply)		
Conservation Programs:			
Demand savings (kW):	Summer		
	Winter		
Energy saved (kWh):	lifecycle	in year Archanda dalah dalah dalah dalah	
Other resources saved :			
Natural Gas ( Other (spec	The second section is a second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the second section of the second section sec		
Demand Management Program	ms:		
Controlled load (kW)		you except his example to be because or	
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-p	` '		
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-p Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-p	•	n de la filia de la cida de la ci La cida de la cida de l	
Energy shinted wild-peak to On-p	Jean (NVVII).		•
Demand Response Programs:			
Dispatchable load (kW): Peak hours dispatched in year (	hours):		
	•		
Power Factor Correction Prog		Necessary and Secure and Assessment	
Amount of KVar installed (KVar)  Distribution system power factor		n of Alexandria (1924-1924) (1934) (1934) (1934) (1934) (1934) (1934) Indian Alexandria (1934-1934) (1934)	
Distribution system power factor	· · · ·		
,	, , ,		
Line Loss Reduction Program Peak load savings (kW):	<u>s:</u>		
. Carrious savings (1199).	lifecycle	in year	
Energy savngs (kWh):			
Distributed Generation and Lo	oad Displacement Programs:		
Amount of DG installed (kW):			
Energy generated (kWh):			
Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:			

В.

C.

Program Costs*:  Utility direct costs (\$):  Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:  Utility indirect costs (\$):  Incremental capital: Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	
Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:  Utility indirect costs (\$):  Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	
Utility indirect costs (\$):  Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	
Utility indirect costs (\$): Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	
Utility indirect costs (\$): Incremental capital: Incremental O&M:	
Incremental O&M:	
Total:	A RECORD CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRAC
Participant costs (\$): Incremental equipment:	
Incremental O&M	
Total:	ng sike uni kenjik sengapau
	working with Cambridge and North Dumfries Hydro and Kitcheners in the Region of Waterloo. This Program will be launched in 2006.

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

(complete this section for each program)

		WATERLOO NOR	TH HYDRO INC.	
A.	Name of the Program:	7. Low Income Customer Ret	trofit Program	
	Description of the program (i	including intent, design, delivery, p	artnerships and evaluation):	
	Bank (low income program) an at retrofit prgrams for such item flourescent light bulbs and effic	Waterloo's Regional Social Services of other Low income Customers. Them as as occupancy sensor thermosts, locient showerheads. The retrofit items nergy efficiency and engergy-cost savi	se programs may include home e wer wattage stove elements, wea will be installed for the customer.	nergy assessments and will look therstripping, compact
	Measure(s):			
		Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology: Efficient technology:	Replace 60W incadescent bulb 15 W compact fluorescent bulb	9.4 litre/minute low flow showerhead	Install weatherstripping Weatherstripping
	Number of participants or units Measure life (years):	deliv(	5	
B.	TRC Results:			
	TRC Benefits (\$):		\$ 2,658.83	
	TRC Costs (\$):	Labella and a second of the se	in and a sign to expense of the entered and a sign and a	
		Utility program cost (less incentives):	\$ 3,000.00	
		Participant cost:	\$ 2,000,000	
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):	Total TRC costs:	\$ 3,000.00 -\$ 341.17	
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Ber	nefits/TRC Costs):	\$ 0.89	•
C.	Results: (one or more category			
	Conservation Programs:			
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		
	- ······ ······ • • • • • • • • • •	Winter	1	
		lifecycle	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved :	\$58491	(2014) 4320 	
	Natural Gas	(m3): 2001/2004/2004/2004/2004/2004/2004/2004/		
	Other (spe	The state of the s		
	Demand Management Progra	ams:		
	Controlled load (kW)			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-	•		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off-	•		
	Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-	-peak (kWh):		
	Demand Response Programs	<u>s:</u>		
	Dispatchable load (kW):			
	Peak hours dispatched in year	(hours):		
	Power Factor Correction Pro	grams:		
	Amount of KVar installed (KVar			
	Distribution system power facto			

Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):

	WATERLOO N	ORTH HYDRO INC.	
Name of the Program: 7. Low Income Customer Retrofit Program			
<u>Line Loss Reduction Program</u> Peak load savings (kW):	is: lifecycle	eses ya dan dan dalam kwaling. in year	
Energy savngs (kWh):			
Distributed Generation and Lo	oad Displacement Programs:		
Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type:			
Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			
Program Costs*:			
Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 3,000.00	
Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:		
Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:		
Comments:	,		
Please be advised that these are		more detailed figures were not available in time for this filing. The that only 10% of the committed funds were spent in 2005.	

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.

WATERI	OO N	ORTH	HYDRO	INC.

A.	Name of the Program:	8. Energy Conservation Inf	ormation for Consumers	
	Description of the program	(including intent, design, delivery,	partnerships and evaluation):	
	with a website developer to up	y has a website that has some inforr date our website to provide easier ac tro will also invest in providing broch	ccess to more information on energy	efficiency and link to other
	Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
	Base case technology: Efficient technology: Number of participants or units Measure life (years):	s delivered:	ide de la principa de la	
B.	TRC Results: TRC Benefits (\$): TRC Costs (\$):		and the state of t	
		Utility program cost (less incentives Participant cos		
		Total TRC cos	A The all filling the a fine of the all entires that a position in fine the	
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		\$Harak kerakerakakarak	
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Be	nefits/TRC Costs):	#DIV/01	
C.	Results: (one or more categor	ry may apply)		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
	Conservation Programs:			
	Demand savings (kW):	Summer		
		Winter lifecycle	in year	
	Energy saved (kWh):			
	Other resources saved :	A STATE OF THE STA	e da duche está a Nacional especifica a Nacional de Con-	
	Natural Gas Other (sp	s (m3): pecify):		
	Demand Management Progr	ame:		
	Controlled load (kW)	<del>dirior</del>		
	Energy shifted On-peak to Mic			
	Energy shifted On-peak to Off Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off			
	Demand Response Program			
	Dispatchable load (kW):	<u>5.</u>		•
	Peak hours dispatched in year	(hours):		
	Power Factor Correction Pro	ograms:		
	Amount of KVar installed (KVa	•		
	Distribution system power fact Distribution system power fact			
	Line Loss Reduction Progra			
	Peak load savings (kW):			
	Control of the Market	lifecycle	in year	
	Energy savngs (kWh):			
	<u>Distributed Generation and</u> Amount of DG installed (kW):	Load Displacement Programs:		
	Energy generated (kWh):			
	Peak energy generated (kWh) Fuel type:	: -		
	• •			
	Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):			

	WATERLOO N	ORTH HYDRO INC.
Name of the Program:	8. Energy Conservation I	nformation for Consumers
Program Costs*: Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Incentive: Total:	\$ 17,065.00
Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital: Incremental O&M: Total:	
Participant costs (\$):	Incremental equipment: Incremental O&M: Total:	
Comments:		
	ang na tanggan tang ang at liyang <b>was</b> pikat untang ng Kalitagan, na palibir kilan.	
measurable savings could		2005, however they were not distributed until 2006, thus no

<sup>\*</sup>Please refer to the TRC Guide for the treatment of equipment cost in the TRC Test.