

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act,* 1998, S.O. 1998, c.15 (Schedule B);

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by **Oakville Hydro Distribution Inc.** for an order or orders approving or fixing just and reasonable rates.

BEFORE: Gordon Kaiser

Vice Chair and Presiding Member

Paul Vlahos Member

Pamela Nowina

Member

DECISION AND ORDER

Background and Application

In November 2003 the Ontario government announced that it would permit local distribution companies to apply to the Board for the next installment of their allowable return on equity beginning March 1, 2005. The Government also indicated that the Board's approval would be conditional on a financial commitment to reinvest in conservation and demand management initiatives, an amount equal to one year's incremental returns.

Also in November 2003, the Government announced, in conjunction with the introduction of Bill 4, the *Ontario Energy Board Amendment Act, (Electricity Pricing), 2003*, that electricity distributors could start recovering Regulatory Assets in their rates, beginning March 1, 2004, over a four year period.

In February and March, 2004, the Board approved the applications of distributors to recover 25% of their December 31, 2002 Regulatory Asset balances (or additional amounts for rate stability) in their distribution rates on an interim basis effective March 1, 2004 and implemented on April 1, 2004.

On December 20, 2004 the Board issued filing guidelines to all electricity distribution utilities for the April 1, 2005 distribution rate adjustments. The guidelines allowed the applicants to recover three types of costs. These costs concern (i) the rate recovery of the third tranche of the allowable return on equity (Market Adjusted Revenue Requirement or "MARR"), (ii) the 2005 proxy allowance for payments in lieu of taxes ("PILs") and (iii) a second installment of the recovery of Regulatory Assets.

A generic Notice of the proceeding was published on January 25, 2005 in major newspapers in the province, which provided a 14 day period for submissions from interested parties. On February 4, 2005, the Board issued Procedural Order No. 1, providing for an extension for submissions until February 16, 2005 and also providing for reply submissions from applicants and other parties.

The application for rate adjustments reflected Oakville Hydro's application request in a parallel proceeding (EB-2004-0527), in which Oakville Hydro sought relief in the amount of \$1,261,493 for the loss of load associated with the reclassification of a large customer. In its March 24, 2005 oral Decision, the Board approved Oakville's request in the EB-2004-0527 proceeding. Subsequent to the Board's March 24 Decision in EB-2004-0527, the Applicant

adjusted its application on March 30, 2005 to correct for a PILS error it identified in the calculation of the revenue loss associated with the large customer. The Applicant reduced the revenue loss from \$1,261,493 to \$977,455.

The Applicant requested the following final amounts:

MARR: \$ 2,898,000

2005 PILs Proxy: \$4,252,920

Regulatory Assets Second Tranche: \$1,491,690

The Applicant also applied for recovery of amounts and/or items outside of the guidelines. Specifically, the Applicant requested adjustments to keep the Monthly Service Charge in each class constant.

Submissions

The Board received one submission which addressed the 2005 rate setting process in general. This submission was made by School Energy Coalition (SEC). SEC objected to the guideline which caused the recovery of the 2005 PILs proxy to be reflected only on the variable charge. SEC was also concerned that monthly service charges and overall distribution charges varied significantly between utilities across the province. SEC also raised concerns regarding the consistency of, and access to, information on the applications as filed by the utilities.

Reply submissions to SEC's general submissions were received from the Coalition of Large Distributors, the Electricity Distributors Association, Hydro One Networks, and the LDC Coalition (a group of 7 distributors). These parties generally argued against the recommendations put forward by SEC, by and large indicating that the Board's existing processes for 2006 and 2007 have been

planned to address these issues going forward and that these issues should not be added to the 2005 rates adjustment process.

SEC made specific submissions for this application regarding the Applicant's adjustment of the lost revenue as a result of the November 30, 2004 application. SEC requested that the Applicant be required to file its detailed cost allocation with respect to this adjustment.

In its response to SEC's submission, the Applicant provided its allocation methodology for its proposed 2005 rate adjustment, including a breakdown of the revenue adjustment associated with the November 30, 2004 application and a confirmation that the bill impacts on SEC's class (GS >50 kW) range from 0.2% to 1.0%.

The Applicant requested that SEC not be granted any cost awards with respect to its intervention on the grounds that SEC had no basis to intervene given the reasonable bill impacts and the fact that SEC did not take issue with any part the rate application.

The full record of the proceeding is available for review at the Board's offices.

Board Findings

The Board first addresses the general submission of SEC. While SEC raises important issues regarding electricity distribution rates, the Board has put in place a process which will address most of the issues raised by SEC on a comprehensive basis with coordinated cost of service, cost allocation and cost of capital studies for all distributors in 2006, 2007 and 2008. The Board does agree that unless there are compelling reasons to diverge from the Board's original

filing guidelines for the 2005 distribution rate adjustment process, distributors should follow the guidelines in their applications.

The Board notes that the information requested by SEC was provided by the Applicant in its reply to SEC's submission, including the allocation details of the revenue adjustment.

At this time, the Board will approve only the portion of the application that conforms to the guidelines as the generic notice published informed customers and the public of only the changes contemplated in the guidelines. The Applicant may wish to apply for other specific changes to rates in a separate application. Therefore, the Board denies the request to keep the Monthly Service Charge in each class constant.

The Board accepts the adjustment to the revenue loss associated with the reclassification of a large customer, as submitted by the Applicant in its March 30, 2005 filing.

Subject to these adjustments, the Board finds that the application conforms with earlier decisions of the Board (including approval for the Applicant's Conservation and Demand Management plan), directives and guidelines.

The Board will issue a separate decision on cost awards.

THE BOARD ORDERS THAT:

1) The rate schedule attached as Appendix "A" is approved effective March 1, 2005, to be implemented on April 1, 2005. All other rates currently in effect that are not shown on the attached schedule remain in force. If the Applicant's billing system is not capable of prorating to accommodate the

6

April 1, 2005 implementation date, the new rates shall be implemented with the first billing cycle for electricity consumed or estimated to have been consumed after April 1, 2005.

2) The Applicant shall notify its customers of the rate changes, no later than with the first bill reflecting the new rates and include the brochure provided by the Board.

DATED at Toronto, March 31, 2005 ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Peter H. O'Dell Assistant Board Secretary Appendix "A"

RP-2005-0013 EB-2005-0059

March 31, 2005

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD