

APPENDIX A

**RP-2004-0117/0018, RP-2004-0100, RP-2004-0069, RP-2004-0064
Regulatory Assets Review - Phase 2**

PHASE 2 PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Appendix A

Phase 2 Procedural Matters

On May 5, 2004, Procedural Order No. 1 was issued and the five distributors were instructed to file their Phase 2 evidence by May 21, 2004. The evidence was to be based on the Regulatory Asset filing guidelines released by the Board on September 15, 2003 and the specific Transition Cost filing guidelines which were released on January 15, 2003. The Phase 2 Applicants were also required to update their Regulatory Asset filings with their audited 2003 year-end balances.

At the request of the Applicants, the Board extended the application deadline to May 31, 2004 through Procedural Order No. 2.

An Issues Conference was held on June 10, 2004, and Procedural Order No. 3 was issued on June 11, 2004 with the Issues List.

By confidential letter dated June 29, 2004, EnWin requested that the Board adjourn its application, outlining concerns about the accuracy of its filings and its intentions to review its evidence. By Procedural Order No. 4, dated on June 30, 2004, the Board adjourned EnWin's application and determined that EnWin's letter would remain confidential.

Procedural Order No. 4 also set a July 19, 2004 deadline for intervenor evidence. Procedural Order No. 5 subsequently extended the deadline for intervenor evidence and established the deadline for Applicant reply evidence.

The oral hearing began on September 13, 2004 with witness panels appearing for each of the Applicants. This was followed by evidence on benchmarking from Tom Adams of Energy Probe and two expert witnesses who appeared in reply. Hydro One, Toronto Hydro and Enersource sponsored the evidence of Mark Lowry, and London Hydro sponsored the evidence of Seabron Adamson, who appeared with a panel of London Hydro witnesses. The oral hearing concluded on September 22, 2004. The argument phase of the proceeding was completed on November 12, 2004.

Participants and Their Representatives

Below is a list of parties and their representatives that participated actively through leading evidence or cross-examining at the oral hearing or by filing argument:

Hydro One

Mary Ann Aldred

Toronto Hydro

Mark Rodger

Enersource	James Sidlofsky
London Hydro	Paul Vogel
Board Staff	Mike Lyle Harold Thiessen Martin Davies Ted Antonopoulos Lee Harmer
Consumers Council of Canada (CCC)	Robert Warren
ECMI Coalition (ECMI)	Roger White
Schools Energy Coalition (SEC)	Jay Shepherd
Vulnerable Energy Consumers' Coalition (VECC)	Sue Lott
Canadian Manufacturers and Exporters (CME)	Carol Street
Association of Major Power Consumers (AMPCO)	Tom Brett
London Property Management Association (LPMA)	Randy Aiken
Energy Probe	Brian Dingwall
Great Lakes Power Limited (GLPL)	Andrew Taylor
Electricity Distributors Association (EDA)	C.C. Macaluso
Hamilton Hydro Inc.	James Sidlofsky
Bluewater Power Distribution Corporation	Alex Palimaka

Applicant Witnesses

The following witnesses appeared at the oral hearing:

Hydro One	Mike Della Rossa Susan Frank Danny Relich Michael Roger Verne Weber
Toronto Hydro	Jean-Sebastien Couillard Roman Dementavicius Anthony Lam Edward Morvay Richard Zebrowski
Enersource	Ralph Amar Chris Buckler Sonja Potocnik Johanna Raycraft George Rosati Norman Wolfe
London Hydro	Mark Rosehart Vinay Sharma John Stephenson Dave Williamson

Other Witnesses

For Energy Probe	Tom M. Adams Executive Director, Energy Probe
For Hydro One, Toronto Hydro & Enersource	Mark N. Lowry Partner Pacific Economics Group
For London Hydro	Seabron C. Adamson Tabors, Caramanis & Associates