## Performance Outcomes

### Performance Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Trend</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Residential/Small Business Services Connected on Time</td>
<td>96.80%</td>
<td>91.40%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>97.78%</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>90.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Appointments Met On Time</td>
<td>98.10%</td>
<td>98.80%</td>
<td>98.30%</td>
<td>94.50%</td>
<td>95.77%</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>90.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Calls Answered On Time</td>
<td>98.30%</td>
<td>98.50%</td>
<td>92.00%</td>
<td>74.20%</td>
<td>72.87%</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>65.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Contact Resolution</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
<td>98.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billing Accuracy</td>
<td>99.98%</td>
<td>99.55%</td>
<td>99.70%</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.69%</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>98.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Satisfaction Survey Results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Public Awareness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Number of Hours that Power to a Customer is Interrupted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency Assessment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>flat</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost per Customer</td>
<td>$489</td>
<td>$508</td>
<td>$548</td>
<td>$543</td>
<td>$570</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>5.02 GWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost per Km of Line</td>
<td>$27,552</td>
<td>$28,348</td>
<td>$30,559</td>
<td>$29,810</td>
<td>$31,369</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>5.02 GWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency Assessment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>flat</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost per Customer</td>
<td>$489</td>
<td>$508</td>
<td>$548</td>
<td>$543</td>
<td>$570</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>5.02 GWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost per Km of Line</td>
<td>$27,552</td>
<td>$28,348</td>
<td>$30,559</td>
<td>$29,810</td>
<td>$31,369</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>5.02 GWh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Financial Ratios

| Liquidity: Current Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities) | 1.20 | 1.09 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 0.82 | flat | 90.00% |
| Leverage: Total Debt (includes short-term and long-term debt) to Equity Ratio | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.46 | flat | |
| Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) | 9.12% | 9.12% | 9.12% | 9.12% | 9.12% | flat | |
| Return on Equity | 12.10% | 6.76% | 4.01% | 1.03% | 1.18% | flat | |
In 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution (RSL) met or exceeded all industry-set performance targets. Aging distribution infrastructure continues to be the primary challenge facing utilities today. Like most utilities in Ontario, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution must replace aging infrastructure at an accelerated pace in order to meet this challenge. Distribution system maintenance, including tree trimming activities, is critical to reduce the vulnerability of the distribution system to external uncontrollable events, such as weather. As expected, our system reliability results show an improvement over 2016.

Further to the above, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution continues to focus on you, the customer. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution makes every effort to engage our customers on a regular basis to ensure we are aware of your needs and that you are receiving value for your money. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution remains committed to providing its customers with safe, reliable service at a reasonable cost.

In 2018, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution will continue its efforts to improve its overall scorecard performance results as compared to prior years. This performance improvement is expected as a result of continued investment in both our infrastructure and in our response to your needs.

### Service Quality

- **New Residential/Small Business Services Connected on Time**

  The Ontario Energy Board’s (“OEB”) Distribution System Code (“DSC”) requires electricity distributors to complete a connection for new service under 750 volts within five days after all applicable service conditions are satisfied. This service quality standard must be met at least 90% of the time on an annual basis. RSL considers “New Services Connected on Time” as an important form of customer engagement as it is the utility's first opportunity to meet and/or exceed its customer’s expectations, which in turn affects the level of customer satisfaction within a utility’s territory.

  In 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution connected 97.78% of 88 eligible low-voltage residential and small business customers to its system within the five-day timeline. This is considered to be a normal result for RSL. RSL expects to report results that are consistent with previous years in the future.

- **Scheduled Appointments Met On Time**

  The OEB’s DSC requires that electricity distributors offer to schedule an appointment within a window of time that is no greater than four hours. The electricity distributor must arrive for the appointment within the scheduled timeframe 90% of the time. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution considers “Scheduled Appointments Met” as an important form of customer engagement as customer presence is required for all types of appointments. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution met 95.77% (68 of 71) scheduled appointments requiring the presence of a customer / customer representative in 2017. We expect to report similar results in 2018.

- **Telephone Calls Answered On Time**
The OEB’s DSC requires that electricity distributors answer calls within 30 seconds, 65% of the time. The performance of this measurement is influenced by the volume of customer calls and is driven by factors such as billing inquiries, customer moves, news about the electricity market in the media, conservation and demand management programs and power outages.

Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution considers “Telephone Calls Answered On Time” to be an important communication tool for identifying and responding to its customers’ needs and preferences. In 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution received over 8,700 calls from its customers (over 35 calls per day). Our customer service representatives answered 72.87% of these calls in 30 seconds or less, which exceeds the Ontario Energy Board mandated target of 65% for this measure. RSL installed a new phone system to provide enhanced service to our customers and provide better functionality for our staff. We expect to report a similar or better result for 2018.

**Customer Satisfaction**

- **First Contact Resolution**

The OEB does not provide a specific metric for First Contact Resolution (“FCR”), which is a customer query resolved in a single contact, thereby eliminating the need for the customer to follow up with a second contact. The OEB instructed all electricity distributors to review and develop a number of customer satisfaction measurements for reporting starting in 2015. The OEB plans to review information provided by electricity distributors over the next few years and implement a commonly defined measure for this item in the future. As a result, each electricity distributor may have different measurements of performance until such time as the OEB provides specific direction regarding a commonly defined measure.

Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution defines “First Contact Resolution” as the number of customer enquiries that are resolved by the first contact at the utility. This includes all customer enquiries that are made to a customer service representative whether by telephone, letter, e-mail, or in person. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution considers the ability to address customer enquiries quickly and accurately to be an essential component of customer satisfaction. In 2017 Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution received over 2,600 enquiries from its customers, of which 99.7% were successfully resolved during first contact, which is consistent with our 2016 result. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution expects this trend to continue for 2018.

- **Billing Accuracy**

Billing Accuracy is defined as the number of accurate bills issued expressed as a percentage of total bills issued. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution considers timely and accurate billing to be an essential component of customer satisfaction. For the period of 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution issued 72,296 customer bills and achieved billing accuracy of 99.69%, which is above the Ontario Energy Board mandated target of 98%. The percentage is consistent with the 2016 result. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution expects this trend to continue for 2018.

- **Customer Satisfaction Survey Results**

Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution engaged a third-party organization to conduct a customer satisfaction survey in 2017 to receive customer feedback on our performance. The statistical survey canvassed a number of key areas including power quality and reliability, price, billing and payments, communications, and the overall customer service experience. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution considers this customer satisfaction survey to be a useful tool for engaging the customer to get a better understanding of their wants and needs with respect to the provision of electricity services, and for identifying
areas that may require improvement. For 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution received a rating of “B” on its customer satisfaction survey. Customers expressed concerns about provincial energy policies.

### Safety

- **Public Safety**

  The Public Safety measure is generated by the Electrical Safety Authority and is comprised of three components: Public Awareness of Electrical Safety, Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04, and the Serious Electrical Incident Index. A breakdown of the three components is as follows:

  **Component A – Public Awareness of Electrical Safety:**
  Component A consists of a statistical survey that gauges the public’s awareness of key electrical safety concepts related to electrical distribution equipment found in a utility’s territory. The survey also provides a benchmark of the levels of awareness including identifying gaps where additional education and awareness efforts may be required. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution conducted a new survey in 2018, which showed 83% for the level of public awareness. As Rideau St Lawrence distribution is required to report on this measure on a biennial basis (every second year), this result will be used for 2018 reporting as well.

  **Component B – Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04:**
  Component B consists of a utility’s compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04 - Electrical Distribution Safety. Ontario Regulation 22/04 establishes the safety requirements for the design, construction, and maintenance of electrical distribution systems, particularly in relation to the approvals and inspections required prior to putting electrical equipment into service. Consistent with historical years, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution was found to be compliant with Ontario Regulation 22/04 (Electrical Distribution Safety) in 2017. This was achieved by our strong commitment to safety, and the adherence to company procedures & policies.

  **Component C - Serious Electrical Incident Index:**
  Component C consists of the number of serious electrical incidents, including fatalities, which occur within a utility’s territory per 1,000 kms of line. Section 12 of Ontario Regulation 22/04 defines a “serious electrical incident” as:

  (a) any electrical contact that caused death or critical injury to a person;
  (b) any inadvertent contact with any part of a distribution system operating at 750 volts or above that caused or had the potential to cause death or critical injury to a person; or
  (c) any fire or explosion in any part of a distribution system operating at 750 volts or above that caused or had the potential to cause death or critical injury to a person, except a fire or explosion caused by lightning strike.

  In 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution had zero fatalities and zero serious incidents within its territory.

### System Reliability
• **Average Number of Hours that Power to a Customer is Interrupted**

The average number of hours that power to a customer is interrupted is a measure of system reliability or the ability of a system to perform its required function. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution views reliability of electrical service as a high priority for its customers and monitors its system for signs of reliability degradation. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution also regularly maintains its distribution system to ensure its level of reliability. The OEB requires a utility to keep its hours of interruption within the range of its historical performance; however, outside factors such as severe weather, defective equipment, or even regularly scheduled maintenance can greatly impact this measure.

In 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution experienced 0.45 average hours of interrupted power per customer, improved from 2016 by 0.56 hours (33.6 minutes). The improvement was due to a reduction in planned outages. This result is considered to be normal for the utility and it is in its historical range. RSL expects to report a similar result for 2018.

• **Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted**

The average number of times that power to a customer is interrupted is another measure of system reliability and is also a high priority for Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution views reliability of electrical service as a high priority for its customers and monitors its system for signs of reliability degradation. RSL also regularly maintains its distribution system to ensure its level of reliability.

The OEB requires a utility to keep this measure within the range of its historical performance. However outside factors can greatly impact this measure. The measure for 2017 is 0.29 times per customer, improved from 2016 by 0.09. The improvement was due to a reduction in planned outages. RSL expects this trend will continue in 2018.

### Asset Management

#### Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress

The Distribution System Plan (“DSP”) Implementation Progress measure was initiated by the OEB in 2013. The Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress measure is intended to assess a utility’s effectiveness at planning and implementing its capital expenditures. The OEB does not require all distributors to use the same approach to measure DSP Implementation progress. Until the OEB establishes a definition for this measure, utilities may define the measure in the manner that best fits their situation. However, the OEB requires that a distributor report on this metric to indicate whether its work continues to be “on track” relative to its DSP.

In 2016, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution filed a Distribution System Plan as part of its 2016 Cost of Service rate application. The DSP outlines the utility’s forecasted capital expenditures over the next five years, which are required to maintain and expand the utility’s electricity system to serve its current and future customers. The DSP details Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution’s prioritization process, tools and methods which ultimately direct the utility’s capital expenditure planning process.

Starting in 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution tracked actual cumulative capital expenditures against the total five year expenditures in the Distribution System Plan, expressed as a percentage. RSL has accomplished 56% of the 5-year plan by the end of 2017, the second year of the plan. A comparison
of actual and planned expenditures on an individual project basis was used for 2016 when we did not have an approved DSP. RSL expects to complete capital projects planned for 2018, increasing the percentage accomplished of the total 5-year plan.

**Cost Control**

- **Efficiency Assessment**

  On an annual basis, each utility in Ontario is assigned an efficiency ranking based on its performance. The model used to rank cost efficiency performance is based on econometrics. Distributor cost is estimated as a function of business conditions faced by distributor. These business conditions include the number of customers served and the price of inputs such as labour and capital. The parameters of this model establish the relationship between each business condition and distributor cost.

  The model can make a prediction of each distributor’s cost given its business conditions. The distributor’s actual cost is compared to that predicted by the model. The percentage difference between actual and predicted cost is the measure of cost performance.

  To determine a ranking, electricity distributors are divided into five groups based on the magnitude of the difference between their actual costs and predicted costs. In 2017, our actual costs were 4.1% below the predicted costs and RSL was placed in Group 3 in terms of efficiency. Group 3 is considered average and is defined as having actual costs within +/- 10% of predicted costs. RSL has maintained its placement in Group 3 since 2013. Although Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution’s forward looking goal is to advance to a “more efficient” group, management’s expectation is that its efficiency performance will not decline in the foreseeable future.

- **Total Cost per Customer**

  Total cost per customer is calculated as the sum of Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution’s capital and operating costs and dividing this cost figure by the total number of customers that Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution serves.

  The total cost result for 2017 is $570 per customer. RSL experienced a low level of customer growth in its service territory. As a result, cost per customer has typically increased year-over-year with the increase in capital and operating costs. Our total cost increase in 2017 is in the range of predicted cost increase as calculated in the efficiency assessment model. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution will continue to seek out productivity and efficiency improvements.

- **Total Cost per Km of Line**

  This measure uses the same total cost that is used in the Cost per Customer calculation above. Based on this, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution’s rate is $31,369 per km of line. As mentioned in Total Cost per Customer, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution’s growth rate for its territory is considered to be relatively low. The cost per km of line is expected to increase as capital replacement and operating costs also increase. As we progress into the future, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution will continue to seek out innovative solutions to help ensure cost/km of line remains within acceptable limits to our
Conservation & Demand Management

- **Net Cumulative Energy Savings (Percent of target achieved)**

  A new target of energy consumption reductions and a CDM framework for the period 2015 – 2020 was approved for Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution by the IESO. As of the end of 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution achieved 58.68% of the new target of 5.02 GWh. This was achieved by leveraging the suite of approved Conservation and Demand Management Programs primarily designed for the residential and commercial classes of customers. Going forward, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution expects to achieve the six-year target.

Connection of Renewable Generation

- **Renewable Generation Connection Impact Assessments Completed on Time**

  Electricity distributors are required to conduct Connection Impact Assessments (CIA’s) on all renewable generation connections within 60 days of receiving authorization from the Electrical Safety Authority.

  In 2017, no CIA’s were required. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution was unable to connect additional renewable generation projects, due to restraints imposed by Hydro One.

- **New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time**

  Micro-embedded generation facilities consist of solar, wind, or other clean energy projects of less than 10 kW that are typically installed by homeowners, farms or small businesses. In 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution connected no new micro-embedded generation facilities within its territory. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution’s process for Micro-embedded Generation facilities is well documented and Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution will continue to works closely with its customers and their contractors to ensure the customer’s needs are met and/or exceeded.

Financial Ratios

- **Liquidity: Current Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities)**

  As an indicator of financial health, a current ratio indicates a company’s ability to pay its short term debts and financial obligations. Typically, a current ratio between 1 and 1.5 is considered good. If the current ratio is below 1, then a company may have problems meeting its current financial obligations. If
the current ratio is too high (higher than 1.5) then the company may be inefficient at using its current assets or its short-term financing facilities.

Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution’s current ratio is 0.82 for 2017. Lower accounts receivable drove the current ratio down. The debt incurred for the purchase of a new digger truck also contributed to the change. RSL expects an improved current ratio for 2018.

- **Leverage: Total Debt (includes short-term and long-term debt) to Equity Ratio**

  The debt to equity ratio is a financial ratio indicating the relative proportion of shareholders’ equity and debt used to finance a company's assets. The Ontario Energy Board uses a capital structure of 60% debt and 40% equity (a debt to equity ratio of 60/40 or 1.5) when setting rates for an electricity utility. A high debt to equity ratio may indicate that an electricity distributor may have difficulty generating sufficient cash flows to make its debt payments, while a low debt-to-equity ratio may indicate that an electricity distributor is not taking advantage of the increased profits that may be had through increased financial debt.

  In 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution’s debt to equity ratio was 0.46, increased slightly due to the financing of a new digger truck purchase. Historically RSL’s Total Debt to Equity Ratio has been below the ratio expected by the Ontario Energy Board. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution believes that a low risk/low debt approach is appropriate for a utility of our size. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution expects its debt to equity ratio remains consistent in the foreseeable future.

- **Profitability: Regulatory Return on Equity – Deemed (included in rates)**

  Return on equity (ROE) measures the rate of return on shareholder equity. ROE demonstrates an organization’s profitability or how well a company uses its investments to generate earnings growth. Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution’s current distribution rates were approved by the OEB in 2012 and include an expected (deemed) regulatory return on equity of 9.12%. The OEB allows a distributor to earn within +/- 3% of the expected return on equity. If a distributor performs outside of this range, it may trigger a regulatory review of the distributor’s financial structure by the OEB.

- **Profitability: Regulatory Return on Equity – Achieved**

  Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution achieved a ROE of 1.18% in 2017, which is a slight improvement over 2016, but below the prescribed range (see above paragraph). With the new distribution rates from the 2016 Cost of Service rate application, effective July 1, 2017, Rideau St. Lawrence Distribution expects an improved return on equity in 2018.

---

**Note to Readers of 2017 Scorecard MD&A**

The information provided by distributors on their future performance (or what can be construed as forward-looking information) may be subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual events, conditions or results to differ materially from historical results or those contemplated by the distributor regarding their future performance. Some of the factors that could cause such differences include legislative or regulatory developments, financial market conditions, general economic conditions and the
weather. For these reasons, the information on future performance is intended to be management's best judgment on the reporting date of the performance scorecard, and could be markedly different in the future.