Observation #1 (Either the Accrual or billed approach is not consistently used for both the recording of Account 1588 RSVA power and carrying charges) The following example is based on a fictitious electricity distributor and does not consider carrying charges

Take Away:	If a consistent method (either billed or accrual method) is not used on an
	ongoing basis, then this inconsistency may adversely impact an amount
	that may be disposed.

## Fiscal Year 2008

Q3 Q1 Q2 Q4 Cash basis \_\_\_\_\_ 

Assumptions: 1. the carrying charges and the global adjustment are not considered

:	2. Assume that	at the ener	gy sa	ales and o	cost of p	ower numbers	in the entries

represent the accumulated year to date numbers as at end of the quarter 3. monthly reversal entries are not considered

	December 2008 Entries
Q3 (Billed method)	1 To record billing based on billing register for the period Nov 15 - Dec 15
September 2008 Entries	Dr. AR 1100 100,000 Cr. Energy sales 4006 - 4055 100,000
LINES	OI. Lifely sales 4000 - 4055 100,000
1 To record billing based on billing register for the period Aug 15 - Sept 15	2 To record November IESO invoice when received
Dr. AR 1100 100,000	Dr. Cost of Power 4705 75,000
Cr. Energy sales 4006 - 4055 100,000	Cr. AP - IESO 2205 75,000
2 To record August IESO invoice when received	3 To accrue Dec unbilled revenue for the period Dec 16 - Dec 31
Dr. Cost of Power 4705 75,000	Dr. AR 1100 50,000
Cr. AP - IESO 2205 75,000	Cr. Energy Sales 4006 - 4055 50,000
3 To record 1588 RSVA Power by reducing the higher of billed and charged amount	4 To accrue IESO December invoice
Dr. Energy sales 4006 - 4055 25,000	Dr. Cost of Power 4705 70,000
Cr. 1588 RSVA Power 25,000	Cr. AP - IESO 2205 70,000
RSVA Balance - cash basis -25,000	5 To record Dec RSVA Power by reducing "the higher of "
	Dr. Energy sales 4006 - 4055 5,000
	Cr. 1588 RSVA Power 5,000 Note 1
	RSVA balance - accrual basis -5,000
	Note 1: 5,000 = Total energy sales (100,000+50,000) - Total cost of power (75,000+70,000)

Q4 (Accrual Method)

Note: Vise versa, if the Utility uses the accrual method during the year and the billed method at year end, the quarterly RSVA balances will not be comparable as well,

Observation #4 (RPP portion of global adjustment is included in Power purchased 4705, but not included in Account 1588 Power at period-end)

The following example is based on a fictitious electricity distributor using the billed method.

Take Away: If the utility does not include the RPP portion of GA into the net comparison when recording the RSVA power account, Net Income may be misstated. The RSVA account may be misstated, which could result in an over-refund or under-collection to/from the customers.

Assumption: Fiscal year 2008 permanent entries; Carrying charges are not considered in this example

## **Incorrect entries**

15	88 RSVA Power		=								
			Ene		4006 -4055		Cost	of Power 47			
	OB	100,000			OB	-			OB -		
					Monthly		Monthly Power				
	1)	500,000	1)	500,000	billings	5,000,000	Charges	4,500,000			
						1 500 000	RPP portion of GA	120,000			
	EB:	600,000			EB:	4,500,000	EB	4,620,000			
		Α									
15/	88 RSVA, sub-acc	t GA	Energy s	ales 4006	-4055, sub a	acct - GA	Cost of Pow	er 4705, sub	acct - GA		
OB	5,000				OB	-			OB -		
					GA Billings						
					(provincial		Monthly GA Charges - Non RPP				
2)	10,000				benefit)	130,000	- NULL KPP	140,000	2) 10,000		
EB:	15,000				EB:	130,000	EB	130,000			
LD.					20.	100,000		100,000			
Total D	B SVA including GA	595 000	Tota	al energy	calac	4,630,000	Total cost of power	4 750 000		NI Impact -	120.000
TOTAL	SVA including GA	363,000	1012	arenergy	Sales	4,030,000	Total Cost of power	4,750,000		Ni impact -	120,000
Correc	ct entries										
	88 RSVA Power		Eno		4006 - 4055		Cost	of Power 47	705		
130	OB	100,000			OB	<u> </u>			OB -	•	
	0D	100,000			Monthly		Monthly Power				
	1)	380,000			billings	5,000,000	Charges	4,500,000			
		<u> </u>	1)	380,000	Ť		RPP portion of GA	120,000			
	EB:	480,000			EB:	4,620,000	EB	4,620,000			
		С									
45			-		4055		0	4705			
158 OB	88 RSVA, sub-acc 5,000	Ct GA	Energy s		- 4055, sub OB	acct - GA	Cost of Pow	,	OB -	•	
UВ	5,000				ОВ	-			ЈБ -		
					GA Billings						
					(provincial		Monthly GA Charges				
,	10,000				benefit)	130,000	- Non RPP	140,000	2) 10,000		
EB:	15,000				EB:	130,000	EB	130,000			
	D										
Total RS	SVA including GA	.: - 465,000	Tota	al energy	sales	4,750,000	Total cost of power	4,750,000		NI Impact	0

Net difference due to the misstatement - 120,000 Observation #6 (Recording of both RPP & Non-RPP portion of IESO charge type 146 in Account 1588 sub-account Global Adjustment) The following example is based on a fictitious electricity distributor.

Take Away: If the Utility records both the RPP and non-RPP portions of IESO charge type 146 (Global Adjustments) in 1588 sub-account Global Adjustment, an incorrect balance may be charged or refunded to customers. The Utility should record only the Non-RPP portion of Global adjustment in this sub-account.

## Assumptions and Facts:

1. IESO GA charges February 2008

## Independent Electricity System Operator PHYSICAL INVOICE

0146 Global Adjustment Settlemen	t Amount	\$	460,000	
2. GA charged to the Utility can be broken GA related to RPP class of customers	\$ 280,000			
GA related to Non-RPP class of customers	<u>\$ 180,000</u> <b>\$ 460,000</b>			
3. GA billed to Non-RPP customers (also c		\$	220,000	
Incorrect entries				
1) To record global adjustments charged to th	ie Utility	400.000		
Dr. Cost of Power 4705, sub-account GA Cr. AP - IESO 2205		460,000	460,000	
01.74 1200 2200			400,000	
2) To record global adjustments billed to Non-	-RPP customers			
Dr. AR 1100		220,000		
Cr. Energy Sales 4006 - 4055, sub-accoun	t GA		220,000	
3) To record the variance in account 1588, Su	ub-account Global Adiustment	t		
Dr. 1588, sub-account GA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	240,000 Note 1		
Cr. Cost of Power 4705, sub-account GA			240,000	
Note 1: 240,000 = Total GA (460,000) - GA billed	to Non-RPP customers (220,000)			
Correct entries				
1) To record global adjustment charged to the	Utility related to RPP class o	of customers		
Dr. Cost of Power 4705		280,000		
Cr. AP - IESO 2205			280,000	
2) To record global adjustments charged to th	o Litility related to Non PPP o	alace of customore		460,000
Dr. Cost of Power 4705, sub-account GA		180,000		400,000
Cr. AP - IESO 2205		,	180,000	
3) To record global adjustments billed to Non-	-RPP customers			
Dr. AR 1100	CA	220,000	220.000	
Cr. Energy Sales 4006 -4055, sub-account	GA		220,000	
4) To record variance in account 1588, sub-a	ccount Global Adjustment			
Dr. Energy sales 4006 - 4055, sub-account G		40,000		
Cr. 1588, sub-account GA			40,000	
Note 2: 40,000 = GA billed to Non-RPP customer	s (220,000) - GA charged by IESO re	elated to Non-RPP cu	stomers (180	,000)
	Net difference in 1588, sub	o-acct		
	due to the misstatement		280,000	

Observation #9 (Carrying Charges not calculated on monthly opening balance (Accumulated) in Account 1588)

The following example is based on a fictitious electricity distributor.

Take Away:There is a difference between the carrying charge amounts calculated at net monthly variance<br/>and the opening monthly principle balance (accumulated) of RSVA account. The<br/>misstatement of the carrying charges may cause the undercollect/overcollect from the<br/>customers.

Year: 2008 Retail Settlement Variances - Commodity

Assume interest rate of 5.14%

Month	4705 <u>Charges/Expenses</u> <u>M/End Balance</u> C	4006-4055 <u>Billed/Revenues</u> <u>M/End Balance</u> F	Current month <u>Variance</u> H = C - F	1588 RSVA <u>Variance (YTD)</u> J	INCORRECT Carrying Charge based on H	CORRECT Carrying Charge Based on J
Opening Bala	ance			330,000	Note 1	Note 2
Jan	540,000	440,000	100,000	430,000	428	1,414
Feb	580,000	495,000	85,000	515,000	364	1,842
March	500,000	515,000	-15,000	500,000	-64	2,206
April	485,000	525,000	-40,000	460,000	-171	2,142
Total	2,105,000	1,975,000	130,000	Total	557	7,603

Note 1: the calculation of carrying charges represents the current month's variance X 5.14% /12 Note 2: the calculation of carrying charges represents the opening balance of RSVA X 5.14%/12

Net difference due to the misstatement -7,046