

**Date:** September 30, 2015

**To:** Thunder Bay Hydro Electricity Distribution Inc.

**From:** Independent Electricity System Operator (the “**IESO**”)

**Re:** Request for adjustments to Thunder Bay Hydro Electricity Distribution Inc.’s 2011-2014 Final Results Report pursuant to Section 8.2 of the Master CDM Program Agreement (“**Master Agreement**”) dated as of January 1, 2011 between the IESO and the LDC, as amended.

We have received your 2011-2014 Final Results Report adjustments request dated September 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2015 to adjust Thunder Bay Hydro Electricity Distribution Inc. 2011-2014 Final Results Report pursuant to Section 8.2 of the Master Agreement.

The IESO and Thunder Bay Hydro Electricity Distribution Inc. agree that the following projects qualify for additional energy savings and peak demand savings arising from registered initiatives delivered by Thunder Bay Hydro Electricity Distribution Inc.:

Initiative	Unit	Year	Incremental Activity	Net Incremental Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Net Incremental Energy Savings (kWh)
Home Assistance Program	Homes	2013	289	8.54	111,081.19
Home Assistance Program	Homes	2014	15	4.48	26,237.53

The IESO estimates a 0.22% increase in peak demand and 0.35% increase in net energy savings to Thunder Bay Hydro Electricity Distribution Inc. achievement against target as a result of the abovementioned projects. This is further detailed in Appendix A, as updates to Table 4: Net Peak Demand Savings at the End User Level (MW) (Scenario 1) and Table 5: Net Energy Savings at the End User Level (GWh) of your 2011-2014 Final Results Report.

While the IESO will not reissue a 2011-2014 Final Results Report, Thunder Bay Hydro Electricity Distribution may include these additional energy savings and peak demand savings at your discretion in your Annual Report to the Ontario Energy Board.

Regards,



Nik Schruder  
Manager, Program Delivery & Partner Services

Cc: Josh Wasylyk, Ontario Energy Board

## Appendix A

Table 4: Net Peak Demand Savings at the End User Level (MW) (Scenario 1)

Implementation Period	Annual			
	2011	2012	2013	2014
<b>2011 - Verified</b>	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
<b>2012 - Verified†</b>	0.0	2.3	0.6	0.6
<b>2013 - Verified†</b>	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.0
<b>2014 - Verified†</b>	0.0	0.1	0.3	3.8
<b>Verified Net Annual Peak Demand Savings Persisting in 2014:</b>				<b>5.9</b>
<b>Brantford Power Inc. 2014 Annual CDM Capacity Target:</b>				<b>8.5</b>
<b>Verified Portion of Peak Demand Savings Target Achieved in 2014 (%):</b>				<b>70.1%</b>

Table 5: Net Energy Savings at the End User Level (GWh)

Implementation Period	Annual				Cumulative
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2011-2014
<b>2011 - Verified</b>	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.0	8.5
<b>2012 - Verified†</b>	0.0	2.8	2.8	2.8	8.4
<b>2013 - Verified†</b>	0.0	0.0	7.5	7.4	15.0
<b>2014 - Verified†</b>	0.0	0.1	1.71	13.4	15.2
<b>Verified Net Cumulative Energy Savings 2011-2014:</b>					<b>47.2</b>
<b>Brantford Power Inc. 2011-2014 Annual CDM Energy Target:</b>					<b>47.4</b>
<b>Verified Portion of Cumulative Energy Target Achieved in 2014 (%):</b>					<b>99.5%</b>

*†Includes adjustments to previous years' verified results*

*Results presented using scenario 1 which assumes that demand response resources have a persistence of 1 year*