



MEETING #2 AGENDA

The objectives of this meeting are to:

- Improve participant understanding of the model and sensitivity in changes to allocators and weightings
- Improved understanding of the daisy-chain issue
- To advance recommendations and refinements to the existing allocation framework/policy that may further inform the Board's consultant in preparing its report

Review of Cost Allocation Policy for Unmetered Loads (EB-2012-0383)

Date: Friday, January 18, 2013

Location: Ontario Energy Board

Time: 9:30a.m. – 3.00p.m.

2300 Yonge Street, Toronto

25th Floor, ADR Room

Please note: This consultation is narrowly focused on unmetered loads. It is not intended to be a broad discussion of cost allocation to other classes, rate design, or other miscellaneous regulatory matters. The working group session will not be transcribed, though Board staff will maintain high-level minutes of the meeting to track and aid progress. There will be no 'dial-in' available (i.e. no teleconferencing).

DRAFT Agenda

9:30 – 9.50	Preliminary Matters Opening Remarks Progress/work plan update Canvassing of group for any material to present Additional working group participants	Board Staff
9:50 – 10.00	Introductions	ALL
10:00 – 10.20	Board staff Experience/observations in recent COS applications More on the KWH 'daisy chain' case	Board Staff
10:20 – 10.35	COFFEE BREAK	
10:35 – 11:35	The Cost Allocation Model (Elenchus) Sensitivity analysis to various elements of the CA model	Elenchus
11:35 – 12:30	Load Profiles (Hydro One) Providing responses to a number of questions which were raised in the first meeting	Hydro One
12:30 – 1:10	LUNCH	
1:10 – 1:40	Further working group presentations, if applicable	various
1:40 – 2:50	Open Discussion & Working Group Recommendations	ALL
2:50 – 3:00	Closing Remarks and Administrative Matters	Board Staff

Ground Rules for the Meeting

1. Put your cell phones on vibrate.
2. Be prepared to participate.
3. Be prepared to listen to others' views.

Preparation

Please come prepared to discuss the following:

- The OEB's Cost Allocation Model
- Opinions as to why the existing CA model requires no changes (if applicable), or alternatively
- Your specific proposals/refinements (where applicable):
 - a) to the Cost Allocation methodology;
 - b) to terminology or various definitions;
 - c) to instructions provided with the model; and/or
 - d) any material that should accompany the model and assist understanding,