Attachment "A"
Distributor Specific Load Displacement Generation Information – Distributors that do have a Standby Rate Class for Load Displacement Generation

Distributor	Approved Rates	Rate Class(es) for Customers with LDG	(a) Billing Determinant And (b) Threshold for Inclusion in Standby Rate Class[1]	Standby Rate	Rate Rider(s) Applied to Customers with LDG? (Yes or No)	Monthly Service Charge	Distribution Revenue (associated with LDG)	Distribution Revenue (associated with LDG) as a percentage of Total Annual Dx Revenue (%)	(a) # of LDG Cust. who meet threshold for inclusion in Standby Rate Class And (b) # of LDG Cust. who do not meet threshold for inclusion in Standby Rate Class[2]	Annual Billed kW (associated with LDG)	Annual Billed kW (associated with LDG) as a percentage of Total Annual Billed kW (%)	R-C Ratio	EB# of Cost Allocation Methodology Approval	Cost Allocation Methodology and Basis For Cost Allocation / Rate Design[3]
Brantford Power	2012	Standby Power	(a) Contracted Amount (Nameplate rating of generation facility) (b) No Firm Threshold	$/kW 1.6729 (2012)	Yes	No	$59,203 (2012)	0.4% (2012)	(a) 1 (2012) (b) 0	38,712 (2012)	2.68% (2012)	115.73% (2008)	EB-2007-0698	Costs were allocated as per the methodology in the Board's 2007 CA Informational Filing
Canadian Niagara Power – Port Colborne	2012	Standby Power	(a) Contracted Amount (Nameplate rating of generation facility) (b) No Firm Threshold	$/kW 1.1676 (2012)	Yes	No	$97,862 (2012)	0.2% (2012)	(a) 2 (b) 0	84,000 (2012)	0.2% (2012)	No Costs Allocated (Not included in cost allocation study)	EB-2002-0107	2001 RUD Model
Chatham Kent Hydro (Now known as Entegrus Powerlines)	2012	Standby Power + Intermediate with Self-Generation	(a) Contracted Amount (Nameplate rating of generation facility) (b) 500 kW	$/kW 1.6906 (2012)	Yes	No Standby Service Related Monthly Charge But Yes Monthly Charge in ISG class	$46,573 (2012)	0.39% (2012)	(a) 1 (b) 0	29,034 (2012)	2.24% (2012)	90.2% (2012)	EB-2009-0261	See Response for full description.
EnWin Utilities Additional Info included in No Standby Rates Applied Table	2012	Standby Power	(a) Contracted Amount (Nameplate rating of generation facility) (b) N/A – does not charge standby rates	$/kW 0.5589 (2012)	No	No	$0 (2012)	0% (2012)	May have some LDG customers - but as EnWin does not charge standby rates they do not know how many or their volumes.	0 (2012)	0% (2012)	No Costs Allocated (Not included in cost allocation study)	Does not charge standby rates	Does not charge standby rates
Horizon Utilities	2012	Standby Power	(a) Contracted Reserved load transfer capacity Or Monthly peak load displaced by the generating facility (b)	$/kW 2.4952 (2012)	Yes	No	$493,704 (2011)	0.51% (2011)	(a) 4 (b) Not available	199,012 (2011)	2.63% (2011)	80% (2011)	No Reply	No Reply
Hydro One Brampton Additional Info included in No Standby Rates Applied Table	2012	Standby Power	(a) Monthly Peak Load Displaced by generating facility. Brampton has not applied standby charges since 2010. (b) No firm threshold	$/kW 1.5164	No	No	$0 (2012) Currently Under Review	0% (2012) Currently Under Review	(a) 1 (b) 0	0 (2012) Currently Under Review	0% (2012) Currently Under Review	No Costs Allocated (Not included in cost allocation study)	Approved in EB-2005-0377	Costs were not allocated to rate class. There are no historical billing quantities.

[1] Some distributors have a threshold that they use to determine which customers are included in the Standby Power rate class. If applicable, please provide the threshold that is used.
[2] If available, please provide the number of customers with LDG that are not in the Standby Power rate class.
[3] If costs were allocated to the rate class, please explain how and on what basis the costs were allocated. If costs were not allocated to the rate class, please explain why not and explain how the standby rate was designed / developed.

Attachment "A"
Distributor Specific Load Displacement Generation Information – Distributors that <u>do</u> have a Standby Rate Class for Load Displacement Generation

Distributor	Approved Rates	Rate Class(es) for Customers with LDG	(a) Billing Determinant And (b) Threshold for Inclusion in Standby Rate Class[1]	Standby Rate	Rate Rider(s) Applied to Customers with LDG? (Yes or No)	Monthly Service Charge	Distribution Revenue (associated with LDG)	Distribution Revenue (associated with LDG) as a percentage of Total Annual Dx Revenue (%)	(a) # of LDG Cust. who meet threshold for inclusion in Standby Rate Class And (b) # of LDG Cust. who do not meet threshold for inclusion in Standby Rate Class[2]	Annual Billed kW (associated with LDG)	Annual Billed kW (associated with LDG) as a percentage of Total Annual Billed kW (%)	R-C Ratio	EB# of Cost Allocation Methodology Approval	Cost Allocation Methodology and Basis For Cost Allocation / Rate Design[3]
Hydro Ottawa	2012	Standby Power GS 50 to 1,499 kW Standby Power GS 1,500 to 4,999 kW Standby Power Large Use	(a) Specific Methodology (see filing) (b) LDG > 500kW	$/kW 1.5734 $/kW 1.4433 $/kW 1.6016	Yes	$117.90	$13,954 (2012)	0.01% (2012)	(a) 2 (Both GS 1,500 to 4,999) (2012) (b) Not available	86,400 (2012)	0.82% (2012)	147%	EB-2011-0054	Exhibit G1-1-1 EB-2011-0054
London Hydro	2012	Standby Power	(a) Contracted Amount (Nameplate rating of generation facility) (b) LDG > 1000 kW	$/kW 2.3942	Yes	No	$274,507 (2010)	0.44% (2010)	(a) 3 (b) 2	154,800 (2010)	3.26% (2010)	80% (2010)	EB-2007-0002 and filed with rate application EB-2005-0389	London applies a forecasted contracted amount of kW for allocation using OEB CA Model Sheet I6.1 Revenue Worksheet. The kWs represent the reserve amount of kWs three customers have contracted with London Hydro. Also populated on same Worksheet is the weather normalized kWhs. Sheet I8 is populated with forecasted demand data. No other factors such as meter, meter reads, billing/ collecting, services are applied.
Orillia Power	2012	Standby Power	(a) Contracted Amount (Nameplate rating of generation facility) (b) No	$/kW 1.0217	No	No	$12,918 (2012)	0.2% (2012)	(a) 1 (b) 0	27,288 (2012)	7% (2012)	No Costs Allocated (Not included in cost allocation study)	N/A	Orillia's standby rate was developed pre-market opening in conjunction with former Ontario Hydro.
PowerStream Additional Info included in No Standby Rates Applied Table	2012	Standby Power	(a) Contracted Amount (Nameplate rating of generation facility) (b) Only applied to full displacement customers.	$/kW 2.6854	No	No	$0 (2010-2013)	0% (2010-2013)	(a) No customers being charged standby rates as no LDG customers are fully displacing their load. (b) 12 LDG customers (4 Residential and 8 GS>50 kW) 7 Net Metering Customers (5 Residential and 2 GS>50 kW)	$0 (2010-2013)	0% (2010-2013)	No Costs Allocated (Not included in cost allocation study)	Barrie Hydro: EB-2007-0746 Powerstream: EB-2012-0161	The Standby Power Service Class was not included in Cost Allocation. Information on Rate design for the Standby Power Service Class is not available.

[1] Some distributors have a threshold that they use to determine which customers are included in the Standby Power rate class. If applicable, please provide the threshold that is used.
[2] If available, please provide the number of customers with LDG that are not in the Standby Power rate class.
[3] If costs were allocated to the rate class, please explain how and on what basis the costs were allocated. If costs were not allocated to the rate class, please explain why not and explain how the standby rate was designed / developed.

Attachment "A"
Distributor Specific Load Displacement Generation Information – Distributors that do have a Standby Rate Class for Load Displacement Generation

Distributor	Approved Rates	Rate Class(es) for Customers with LDG	(a) Billing Determinant And (b) Threshold for Inclusion in Standby Rate Class[1]	Standby Rate	Rate Rider(s) Applied to Customers with LDG? (Yes or No)	Monthly Service Charge	Distribution Revenue (associated with LDG)	Distribution Revenue (associated with LDG) as a percentage of Total Annual Dx Revenue (%)	(a) # of LDG Cust. who meet threshold for inclusion in Standby Rate Class And (b) # of LDG Cust. who do not meet threshold for inclusion in Standby Rate Class[2]	Annual Billed kW (associated with LDG)	Annual Billed kW (associated with LDG) as a percentage of Total Annual Billed kW (%)	R-C Ratio	EB# of Cost Allocation Methodology Approval	Cost Allocation Methodology and Basis For Cost Allocation / Rate Design[3]
Toronto Hydro	2011	Standby Power GS 50 to 999 kW Standby Power GS 1,000 to 4,999 kW Standby Power Large Use	(a) Contracted Amount (Nameplate rating of generation facility) Toronto does not actually apply standby charges to the contracted amount related to the LDG facility. Therefore, LDG customers are effectively billed on a net demand basis + the monthly standby charge (b) 500 kVa	$/kVA 5.5956 $/kVA 4.4497 $/kVA 4.7406	No	$197.91 (per 30 days)	$9,733 (2012)	0.33% (2012)	(a) 4 (b) 5	0 kVA (2012)	0% (2012)	No Costs Allocated (Not included in cost allocation study)		The Standby Rates in each rate class are the same values as the rate class variable distribution rate.