Minutes for January 22, 2007 - Draft 2

ATTENDEES: January 15, 2007
9:00am - 3:30pm

Noreen Morgan  AE-Sharp
Karen Cooke  Direct Energy
Darcy Hewgill  Direct Energy
ECNG  Lauren Rasmussen
ECNG  Ashraf Morsy
Srikant Radhakrishnan  Enbridge ( Sapient )
Leslie Bilcox  Enbridge
Latif Nurani  Energy Savings  phone
Jessica Whiting  Energy Savings

Cristin Nicholls  Energy Source
Loraine Baillargeon  Kitchener Utilities
Kathleen Spidle  Kitchener Utilities
Mark Davis  Superior Energy  phone
John Belgue  RiteRate  phone
Wanda Rich  Union Gas
Mark Van Praet  Union Gas
Mandy Mailloux  Union Gas
Barb Robertson  OEB

NEW BUSINESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Action Items & Prime

- All parties to advise if this can be accommodated in version 5
### OEB GDAR EBT Standards Working Group

Information contained in working group notes represent the views of the individuals participating in the working group only, and in no way reflect official Ontario Energy Board position or opinion.

#### 2. Transport Protocol Document
- Revise 4.6.4.1.1 to delete contradictory wording that Market Participant ID will be the first 10 characters of the document filename. Requirement is that document filename will be the PIPE Document Reference Number. It was further agreed that although the filename should be in this format, there is no requirement to validate the filename.

- Barb to revise and issue version 0.8

#### 3. Market Test Plan
- Revise as discussed [MarketTest_TestCaseListing_20061215.xls](#) Test Scenarios Worksheet and reflect in [MarketTest_Schedule_Feb12-May7-AllScenarios-Draft_20061215.xls](#) Scenarios Worksheet as appropriate (note: revised files named “20071022”). The following changes were made:
  - Scenario 19 added minimum lead time = 3 days
  - Scenario 22 clarified does not need to coincide with anniversary date in case of Kitchener or Union
  - Scenario 24 clarified only 1 account required to pass scenario (i.e., not all 5 accounts provided to vendors for purposes of test)
  - Scenario 25 clarified only 1 account required to pass scenario (i.e., not all 5 accounts provided to vendors for purposes of test)
  - Scenario 32 deleted (note: not discussed at meeting – ref email 26jan07 – included here for completeness only)
  - Scenario 39 added “Enbridge specific”
  - Scenario 42 prerequisites changed from 24/47 to 24/53
  - Scenario 43 deleted
  - Scenario 71 added prerequisite 67
  - Scenario 76 added prerequisite 67
  - Scenario 90 added “Enbridge specific”

In addition, the Prerequisite Scenario ID and Name from the Test Scenarios Worksheet (in [MarketTest_TestCaseListing_20070122.xls](#)) were added to Scenarios Worksheet (in [MarketTest_Schedule_Feb12-May7-AllScenarios-Draft_20061215.xls](#)) and Scenario 50, steps 2 and 3 were reversed.

It was noted that Scenarios 24, 44, and 50 all have start dates of Feb 12 – I did not revise the worksheet to change these dates. These tests are all flexible.

- Barb to revise
**4. Server Date for Testing**

- Reference July 4/5 Meeting Notes
  There is no requirement to backdate one's web server. It is important, however, that it looks like all transactions are backdated. Any problems created if the web server date is not backdated must be addressed by the Market Participant that creates the problem.

---

### NEXT MEETING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td>Date/Time: TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minute Taker</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agenda Items</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>