

April 4, 2008

Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
27th Floor
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Attention: Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: 2007 Annual Report, CDM Third Tranche Funding – NOW Inc.

Northern Ontario Wires Inc. respectfully submits the attached Annual Report of Conservation and Demand Management Initiatives for 2007. NOW Inc. received CDM funding under the Third Tranche of MARR.

I trust the attached meets your needs at this time. Should you have any questions or require more information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours truly,

Monika Malherbe, CA
Chief Financial Officer
(705) 272-2918
monikam@puc.net

Northern Ontario Wires Inc.

2007 Annual Report, CDM Third Tranche Funding

Conservation & Demand Management Report

Introduction

2007 CDM Expenditures by Northern Ontario Wires Inc. (NOW Inc.) amounted to \$91,013. NOW Inc. focused primarily on the Utility Asset Conservation Program within our CDM Plan. Essentially this consisted of an evaluation of our distribution system to identify system losses and areas of improvement to optimize performance of the distribution system. We also completed some of the recommendations from the 2006 preliminary assessment of our system. This initiative represents the majority of costs and resources extended to CDM in 2007. Furthermore, NOW Inc. participated in a few customer conservation program activities such as the OPA Every Kilowatt Counts spring and fall coupon campaigns and Project Porchlight. Education and Information Program activities included a bill insert titled “Attack of the Phantom Load” and the provision of copies to local schools of the power point presentation on safety and conservation that was presented to these schools in 2006. Teachers presented this to their students again in 2007 for their grade five and six curriculum.

Evaluation of the CDM Plan

Appendix A – Evaluation of the CDM Plan

Appendix C – Program and Portfolio Totals

For 2007 we have quantifiable results for the Project Porchlight activity. We have not received any results from the OPA Every Kilowatt Counts campaigns and the results of the two education and information program activities are not quantifiable. However customer feedback for these activities was very positive.

The report resulting from the review of our distribution system titled “System Analysis for Loss Optimization” does provide a Total Resource Cost analysis that would be achieved if the problem areas were addressed. A preliminary assessment was received in 2006 and in 2007 we completed some of the recommendations to address system losses. NOW Inc. is currently reviewing the results of the final report and determining future steps it will take to reduce any system losses. Since the TRC analysis is based on a “what if” scenario of addressing more of the recommendations we have not included these TRC results in the relevant Appendix A, B and C sections. This information is available to the OEB if required.

We did not include the costs for programs for which we do not have quantifiable results in the TRC sections of the Appendices. Accordingly Appendix A only includes results for the 2007 Project Porchlight activity.

Discussion of the Programs

Appendix B – Discussion of the Programs

Lessons Learned

The study of our distribution system to identify system losses proved very educational. Not only did the report itself highlight areas for improvement, our personnel who worked with the consultants gained valuable knowledge about system losses in general and we are in a better position to perform our own ongoing evaluations. It seems with many of the CDM initiatives we not only benefit from the results but from the process.

Many of the CDM initiatives do not provide quantifiable benefits and therefore it is difficult to assess their successes from a cost/benefit perspective. A goal of obtaining such quantifiable results may be achieved through better planning and implementation of feedback mechanisms as part of the activity.

What has become more evident as we continue to promote and participate in CDM activities is the receptiveness of the customers, from all service classes, to the conservation of energy. This receptiveness does not appear to be solely as a result of financial savings but also environmental prudence.

Conclusion

CDM Expenditure as it relates to funding under the third tranche of MARR is summarized as follows:

CDM Expenditure to date as at Dec 31/06	\$ 34,826
2007 CDM Expenditure (up to approved funding – see note 1)	<u>91,012</u>
CDM Expenditure to date as at Dec 31/07	\$125,838
CDM Funding third tranche of MARR	<u>\$125,828</u>
Balance of CDM Funds	\$ 0

Note 1 – 2007 Actual CDM Expenditure was \$91,350 however we have only reported up to the funding that was provided in the third tranche of MARR.

Northern Ontario Wires Inc's Conservation and Demand Management Plan as submitted to the OEB in 2005 with comparison to actuals as at December 31, 2007 is summarized as follows:

CDM Program	Total Expenditure as per CDM Plan	Total Expenditure as at Dec 31/07	Actuals Over (Under) CDM Plan
Utility Asset Conservation	\$45,000	\$94,265	\$49,265
Customer Conservation	24,000	16,616	(7,384)
Education & Information	28,338	10,878	(17,460)
Partnership Programs	13,500	2,141	(11,359)
Planning & Coordinating	15,000	1,938	(13,062)
TOTAL	\$125,838	\$125,838	Nil

With the establishment of the OPA, NOW Inc. has had to incur less costs related to Customer Conservation, Education and Information and Planning & Coordinating . More focus has been directed to our Utility Asset Conservation Program.

Overall NOW Inc. has met many of its objectives with regards to conservation and demand management and is encouraged by the results of its activities.

Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

Highlighted boxes are to be completed manually, white boxes are linked to Appendix C and will be brought forward automatically.

	⁵ Cumulative Totals Life-to-date	Total for 2007	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	⁴ Smart Meters	Other #1	Other #2
<i>Net TRC value (\$):</i>			\$ 38,831	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
<i>Benefit to cost ratio:</i>			10.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
<i>Number of participants or units delivered:</i>											
<i>Lifecycle (kWh) Savings:</i>			485,550	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
<i>Report Year Total kWh saved (kWh):</i>			194,220	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
<i>Total peak demand saved (kW):</i>			0.041	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
<i>Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):</i>											
<i>Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):</i>											
¹ Report Year Gross C&DM expenditures (\$):		\$ 91,013	\$ 7,602	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 83,411	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
² Expenditures per kWh saved (\$/kWh):			\$ 0.0157	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
³ Expenditures per kW saved (\$/kW):			\$ 185,404.15	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
<i>Utility discount rate (%):</i>											

¹ Expenditures are reported on accrual basis.

² Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.

³ Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

⁴ Please report spending related to 3rd tranche of MARR funding only. TRC calculations are not required for Smart Meters. Only actual expenditures for the year need to be reported.

⁵ Includes total for the reporting year, plus prior year, if any (for example, 2007 CDM Annual report for third tranche will include 2006, 2005 and 2004 numbers, if any).

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Utility Asset Conservation Program A

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

The intent of the program is to study and minimize losses of the distribution system. We engaged the services of EnerSpectrum Group to complete system modeling, assessments and Total Resource Cost analysis. This would include performing system analyses to assess overall system losses, opportunities for mitigation investments, and impacts of those investments on reducing losses. It also included aligning highest value loss mitigation opportunities with Total Resource Cost criteria, and performing TRC analyses. A preliminary report was received in 2006 and some of its recommendations were completed in 2007. The final report was received in September 2007 and is currently being reviewed. The report does include TRC analyses which is based on the savings that would be achieved if NOW Inc. made all the mitigation investments identified. NOW Inc. is satisfied with the results of this report and the mitigation opportunities it has identified and considers this program a success.

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:			
Efficient technology:			
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:			
Measure life (years):			
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date			

B. **TRC Results:**

	Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		
² TRC Costs (\$):		
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):		
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply) **Cumulative Results:**

Conservation Programs:

	Summer		Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
	Demand savings (kW):	Winter		
	lifecycle	in year		
Energy saved (kWh):				
Other resources saved :				
Natural Gas (m3):				
Other (specify):				

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)		
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):		
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):		
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):		

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):		
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):		

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):		
Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):		
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):		

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

	lifecycle	in year
Peak load savings (kW):		
Energy savings (kWh):		

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):		
Energy generated (kWh):		
Peak energy generated (kWh):		
Fuel type:		

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):		
-------------------	--	--

D. **Actual Program Costs:**

		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	\$ 32,806.22	\$ 32,806.22
	Incremental O&M:	\$ 50,604.65	\$ 61,458.49
	Incentive:		
	Total:	\$ 83,410.87	\$ 94,264.71
Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
	Incremental O&M:		
	Total:		

E. **Assumptions & Comments:**

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program: Customer Conservation Program B

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

NOW Inc participated in two activities that fall under this program in our CDM Plan. We participated in the OPA "Every Kilowatt Counts" Spring and Fall Coupon campaigns but have not received any results from the OPA. Total costs to NOW Inc for the OPA "Every Kilowatt Counts" campaigns was \$795. We also participated in the OPA sponsored Project Porchlight Fall 2007 - 2 of our 3 Towns were selected by Project Porchlight for this project. Northern Ontario Wires requested that they attend the third Town as well. The costs billed to NOW Inc by the program for this third town is the TRC results reported below are for this third Town. Both activities were very well received by the public. The two towns serviced by our utility which were sponsored by the OPA for Project Porchlight received another 2635 bulbs. Therefore the total bulbs distributed under Project Porchlight amounted to 3,935.

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:	60W Incandescent		
Efficient technology:	13W CFL fixture w/EM ballast		
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:	1300		
Measure life (years):	2.5		
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date	1300		

B. TRC Results:	Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):	\$ 42,731.00	\$ 42,731.00
² TRC Costs (\$):		
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):	\$ 3,900.00	\$ 3,900.00
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		
Total TRC costs:	\$ 3,900.00	\$ 3,900.00
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):	\$ 10.96	\$ 10.96

C. Results: (one or more category may apply) Cumulative Results:

Conservation Programs:

	Summer	Winter	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
Demand savings (kW):	0.039	0.041		
Energy saved (kWh):	lifecycle 2.5	in year 194,220.00		485,550.00
Other resources saved:				
Natural Gas (m3):				
Other (specify):				

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)			
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):			
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):			
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):			

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):			
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):			

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			
Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):			
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):			

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):			
Energy savings (kWh):	lifecycle	in year	

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):			
Energy generated (kWh):			
Peak energy generated (kWh):			
Fuel type:			

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):			
-------------------	--	--	--

D. Actual Program Costs:	Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
Utility direct costs (\$):		
Incremental capital:		
Incremental O&M:	\$ 4,694.82	\$ 15,019.06
Incentive:		
Total:	\$ 4,694.82	\$ 15,019.06
Utility indirect costs (\$):		
Incremental capital:		
Incremental O&M:		
Total:		

E. Assumptions & Comments:

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefits specified in the TRC Guide.
² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Education and Information C

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

NOW Inc. had two activities in 2007 that fall under the Education and Information program. We provided copies to the local schools of the powerpoint presentation that we presented at the schools in 2006. The presentation addressed energy conservation and safety. Teachers had the opportunity to re-present this presentation to their students in 2007. Secondly, we prepared a bill insert in October 2007 titled "Attack of the Phantom Load". It was a bill insert with a Halloween theme that addressed areas and items in a residential home that would use power even if appearing to be turned off and not consuming power. Customer feedback was again positive. Both of these activities do not provide quantifiable results.

Measure(s):

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:			
Efficient technology:			
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:			
Measure life (years):			
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date			

B. TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):			
² TRC Costs (\$):			
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):			
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)			
Total TRC costs:			
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):			
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):			

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply) **Cumulative Results:**

Conservation Programs:

		Summer		Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
		lifecycle	in year		
Demand savings (kW):	Summer				
	Winter				
Energy saved (kWh):					
Other resources saved:					
Natural Gas (m3):					
Other (specify):					

Demand Management Programs:

Controlled load (kW)		
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):		
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):		
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):		

Demand Response Programs:

Dispatchable load (kW):		
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):		

Power Factor Correction Programs:

Amount of KVar installed (KVar):		
Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):		
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):		

Line Loss Reduction Programs:

Peak load savings (kW):		
Energy savings (kWh):		

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:

Amount of DG installed (kW):		
Energy generated (kWh):		
Peak energy generated (kWh):		
Fuel type:		

Other Programs (specify):

Metric (specify):		
-------------------	--	--

D. Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
	Incremental O&M:	\$ 2,906.75	\$ 10,877.79
	Incentive:		
	Total:	\$ 2,906.75	\$ 10,877.79
Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
	Incremental O&M:		
	Total:		

E. Assumptions & Comments:

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.
² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. **Name of the Program:** Partnership Programs D

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

No activity in 2007

Measure(s):	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:			
Efficient technology:			
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:			
Measure life (years):			
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date			

B. TRC Results:	Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		
² TRC Costs (\$):		
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):		
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. **Results:** (one or more category may apply) **Cumulative Results:**

Conservation Programs:			Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
Demand savings (kW):	Summer			
	Winter			
	lifecycle	in year		
Energy saved (kWh):				
Other resources saved :				
Natural Gas (m3):				
Other (specify):				

Demand Management Programs:			
Controlled load (kW)			
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):			
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):			
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):			

Demand Response Programs:			
Dispatchable load (kW):			
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):			

Power Factor Correction Programs:			
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):			
Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):			
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):			

Line Loss Reduction Programs:			
Peak load savings (kW):			
	lifecycle	in year	
Energy savings (kWh):			

Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:			
Amount of DG installed (kW):			
Energy generated (kWh):			
Peak energy generated (kWh):			
Fuel type:			

Other Programs (specify):			
Metric (specify):			

D. Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
	Incremental O&M:	\$ -	\$ 2,742.18
	Incentive:		
	Total:	\$ -	\$ 2,742.18
Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:		
	Incremental O&M:		
	Total:		

E. **Assumptions & Comments:**
No activity on this program in 2007

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.

² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program: Planning and Coordination Program E

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

No activity in 2007

	Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3 (if applicable)
Base case technology:			
Efficient technology:			
Number of participants or units delivered for reporting year:			
Measure life (years):			
Number of Participants or units delivered life to date			

B. TRC Results:	Reporting Year	Life-to-date TRC Results:
¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		
² TRC Costs (\$):		
Utility program cost (excluding incentives):		
Incremental Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)		
Total TRC costs:		
Net TRC (in year CDN \$):		
Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits/TRC Costs):		

C. Results: (one or more category may apply)	Cumulative Results:			
Conservation Programs:				
Demand savings (kW):	Summer			
	Winter			
	lifecycle	in year	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings
Energy saved (kWh):				
Other resources saved:				
Natural Gas (m3):				
Other (specify):				
Demand Management Programs:				
Controlled load (kW)				
Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak (kWh):				
Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak (kWh):				
Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak (kWh):				
Demand Response Programs:				
Dispatchable load (kW):				
Peak hours dispatched in year (hours):				
Power Factor Correction Programs:				
Amount of KVar installed (KVar):				
Distribution system power factor at beginning of year (%):				
Distribution system power factor at end of year (%):				
Line Loss Reduction Programs:				
Peak load savings (kW):				
	lifecycle	in year		
Energy savings (kWh):				
Distributed Generation and Load Displacement Programs:				
Amount of DG installed (kW):				
Energy generated (kWh):				
Peak energy generated (kWh):				
Fuel type:				
Other Programs (specify):				
Metric (specify):				

D. Actual Program Costs:	Reporting Year	Cumulative Life to Date
Utility direct costs (\$):		
Incremental capital:		
Incremental O&M:		\$ 2,934.26
Incentive:		
Total:		\$ 2,934.26
Utility indirect costs (\$):		
Incremental capital:		
Incremental O&M:		
Total:		

E. Assumptions & Comments:
No activity in 2007

¹ Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.
² For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Appendix C - Program and Portfolio Totals

Report Year: NOW INC - 2007

1. Residential Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
			\$ -	0.00				
			\$ -	0.00				
B-BCustomer Conservation Program	\$ 42,731	\$ 3,900	\$ 38,831	10.96	194,220	485,550	0.041	\$ 4,695
B-C Education and Information Program			\$ -	0.00				\$ 2,907
B-D Partnership Programs			\$ -	0.00				\$ -
B-E Planning and Coordination			\$ -	0.00				\$ -
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$ -	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Residential	\$ 42,731	\$ 3,900	\$ 38,831	10.96	194,220	485,550	0	\$ 7,602
Residential Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	→							
Total Residential TRC Costs		\$ 3,900						
**Totals TRC - Residential	\$ 42,731	\$ 3,900	\$ 38,831	10.96				

2. Commercial Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program B			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program F			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$ -	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	0	\$ -
Commercial Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	→							
Total TRC Costs		\$ -						
**Totals TRC - Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00				

3. Institutional Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program B			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$ -	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Institutional	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	0	\$ -
<i>Institutional Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program</i>	→							
Total TRC Costs		\$ -						
**Totals TRC - Institutional	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00				

4. Industrial Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program F			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$ -	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Industrial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00	0	0	0	\$ -
<i>Industrial Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program</i>	→							
Total TRC Costs		\$ -						
**Totals TRC - Industrial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00				

5. Agricultural Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00				

Name of Program F			\$	-	0.00							
Name of Program G			\$	-	0.00							
Name of Program H			\$	-	0.00							
Name of Program I			\$	-	0.00							
Name of Program J			\$	-	0.00							
*Totals App. B - Agricultural	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	0	0	0	\$	-
Agricultural Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	→											
Total TRC Costs			\$	-								
**Totals TRC - Agricultural	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0.00					

6. LDC System Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
B-A Utility Asset Conservation Program			\$	-	0.00			\$ 83,411
Name of Program B			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program C			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program D			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program E			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program F			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program G			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program H			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program I			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program C			\$	-	0.00			
*Totals App. B - LDC System	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	0	0	\$ 83,411
LDC System Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	→							
Total TRC Costs			\$	-				
**Totals TRC - LDC System	\$	-	\$	-	0.00			

7. Smart Meters Program

Only spending information that was authorized under the 3rd tranche of MARR is required to be reported for Smart Meters.

Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$) →

8. Other #1 Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program B			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program C			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program D			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program E			\$	-	0.00			

Name of Program F			\$	-	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$	-	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$	-	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$	-	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$	-	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Other #1	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	0	0	0	\$ -
Other #1 Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	→								
Total TRC Costs		\$	-						
**Totals TRC - Other #1	\$	-	\$	-	0.00				

9. Other #2 Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program B			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program C			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program D			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program E			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program C			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program G			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program H			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program I			\$	-	0.00			
Name of Program J			\$	-	0.00			
*Totals App. B - Other #2	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	0	0	0 \$ -
Other #2 Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	→							
Total TRC Costs		\$	-					
**Totals TRC - Other #2	\$	-	\$	-	0.00			

LDC's CDM PORTFOLIO TOTALS

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
*TOTALS FOR ALL APPENDIX B	\$ 42,731	\$ 3,900	\$ 38,831	10.96	\$ 194,220	\$ 485,550	\$ 0	\$ 91,013
Any other Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	→							
TOTAL ALL LDC COSTS		\$ 3,900						
**LDC' PORTFOLIO TRC	\$ 42,731	\$ 3,900	\$ 38,831	10.96				

* The savings and spending information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A.

** The TRC information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A.