# Proposal for Ontario Energy Board Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements (RRR)

**Process Subgroup Topic 1** 

Presentation by Larry Herod (Stem & Enel X) & Andrew Houston (Alectra)

## Overview of RRR Proposal

Context	Recommendation	Anticipated Benefit
Non-NM DER Information Collected in Aggregate Only	Revise RRR for Non-NM DER to include <b>breakout by DER type</b>	Better visibility on type of DER installed in territory
Rate Class of NM Customers Not Reported in RRR	No action – other means seen as better-suited to obtaining information	N/A
Percent of Customers on Restricted Feeders Not Reported	Consider issue in context of RRR and non-RRR proposals	Additional time to develop optimal proposal
Question on Whether RRR Includes Total MW of DER	No action – existing RRR allows calculation	N/A

## RRR Proposal (1 of 2)

The Subgroup proposes that RRR section 2.1.14 should be revised to require the following:

A distributor shall provide, annually by April 30, the following net metering and embedded generation information for the preceding calendar year (material changes in red):

- a) For net metered generators:
  - i. Number of generators by renewable energy source;
  - ii. Total installed capacity (kW) by renewable energy source; and
  - Total installed capacity (kW) of storage devices used by net metered generators by renewable energy source;
- b) For embedded generation facilities excluding net metered generators:
  - Number of generators by facility type (solar, wind, water, biomass, fossil fuel, exporting storage, non-exporting storage, other);
  - ii. Total installed capacity (kW) by facility type (solar, wind, water, biomass, fossil fuel, exporting storage, non-exporting storage, other);

### RRR Proposal (2 of 2)

#### The Subgroup proposes:

• That, in future meetings, it be permitted to review potential reporting requirements related to "percent of customers on restricted feeders". Discussions to-date found challenges in collecting this information, and loss of context in reporting a single figure; however, there was a desire to revisit the issue more broadly and determine whether a recommendation would be warranted, for example related to RRR, a referral to filing requirements staff, or some other proposal.

#### The Subgroup further notes:

- That it does not recommend a RRR requirement related to reporting of the type of net metered customers, since this can be obtained through other avenues and may not be needed on a recurring basis.
- That it does not see a need to recommend an additional RRR requirement on "installed capacity of DER" since this is captured in RRR section 2.1.14.

# Backup RRR Proposal

### A. Type of DER

### **Summary of Discussions:**

- Subgroup saw value in having regular reporting of type of DERs connected within each service area.
- Subgroup sought to mirror existing reporting for net metered embedded generation.
- Subgroup aligned proposal with existing record keeping requirements.

### **Proposal:**

Additional reporting to provide breakout of DER by type.

### B. Type of Net Metered Customers

#### **Summary of Discussions:**

- The Subgroup reviewed whether additional RRR would be beneficial related to the rate class of customers participating in the Net Metering Program.
- Distributors indicated that there were challenges in collecting this information.
- Members discussed that this information may not be needed on a recurring basis.
- Members discussed that there may be other forums to request this information.

#### Proposal:

None.

### C. Percent of Customers on Constrained Feeders

#### **Summary of Discussions:**

- Member suggested this requirement to:
  - Inform policy-making and planning on measures to mitigate feeder restrictions
  - Determine percent of customers who cannot implement increasingly mainstream equipment (e.g. Ford F150 lightning bi-directional charger)
  - Inform estimates of provincial and regional DER potential (e.g. IESO assessments of DER to meet supply needs)
  - Provide point-in-time (e.g. every 2 or 3 years) province-wide data
- There was discussion that reporting this information may not provide sufficient context to explain the meaning or nuances of the reported information.
- Members discussed whether Chapter 5 Filing Requirements might be a more appropriate place to make a recommendation related to whether DER enabling infrastructure has or should be explicitly considered.
- Members discussed there may be other forums where this information can be sought.

#### Proposal:

The Subgroup recommends that it be permitted to review this requirement in future meetings. Discussions to-date
found challenges in collecting this information, and loss of context in reporting a single figure; however, there was a
desire to revisit the issue more broadly and determine whether a recommendation would be warranted, for example
related to RRR, a referral to filing requirements staff, or some other proposal.

### D. Installed DER Capacity

### **Summary of Discussions:**

- Member suggested Subgroup assess whether additional RRR is needed to report installed DER capacity.
- Subgroup observed that information is available through RRR s.
   2.1.14, and would continue to be available once Subgroup proposal is implemented.

### **Proposal:**

None.