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INTRODUCTION & EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

This 2018-2021 Business Plan reflects the strategic direction established in the OEB’s recent Strategic Blueprint: Keeping Pace with an Evolving Energy Sector – 2017-2022. That new Strategic Blueprint will be used to guide the OEB’s priorities and business planning over the next five years. The Strategic Blueprint grew out of the OEB’s commitment in the 2017-2020 Business Plan to develop a “roadmap” for any regulatory reforms needed to address the implications of technological innovation in the Ontario energy sector.

The programs and activities in the Business Plan are also responsive to the Directive issued by the Minister of Energy to the OEB on October 26, 2017 in conjunction with the new Long Term Energy Plan, Delivering Fairness and Choice (LTEP), and reflect the OEB’s implementation plan submitted to the Minister in January 2018.

The Business Plan has been prepared in accordance with the Agencies & Appointments Directive (AAD) and the Guide to Developing Business Plans for Provincial Agencies. It follows the format adopted for the 2017-2020 Business Plan as approved by the Minister of Energy in March 2017.

Executive Summary

The OEB’s 2018-2021 Business Plan sets out programs and activities designed to promote the OEB’s mandate and Vision as set out in the Strategic Blueprint. That Vision is to support and guide the continuing evolution of the Ontario energy sector by promoting outcomes and innovation that deliver value for all Ontario energy consumers.

In developing the current Business Plan, the OEB considered key trends and issues in the broader operating environment. Those trends and issues are also reflected in the Strategic Blueprint and include:

- Developments in legislation and public policy that affect the OEB’s mandate and work, including developments in the areas of climate change, energy system planning and energy pricing;

- The new Long Term Energy Plan, Delivering Fairness and Choice, that sets out a new 20 year roadmap for the Ontario energy sector;
• The continuing concern about electricity prices and the adoption of measures to address those concerns for residential and other qualifying customers;

• Technological innovation that presents new choices for consumers and challenges traditional business and regulatory models, particularly in the electricity sector; and

• Broader trends in the energy sector and energy regulation, both across North America and further afield.

The programs and activities proposed by the OEB for the three-year planning period are organized around the Strategic Goals and Objectives that are designed to address the four interconnected Strategic Challenges set out in the OEB’s Strategic Blueprint:

**Transformation & Consumer Value** – **Utilities are delivering value to consumers in a changing environment.**

**Innovation & Consumer Choice** – **Utilities and other market participants are embracing innovation in their operations and the products they offer consumers.**

**Consumer Confidence** – **Consumers have confidence in the oversight of the sector and in their ability to make choices about products and services.**

**Regulation “Fit for Purpose”** – **The OEB has the resources and processes appropriate for the changing environment.**

This approach to the organization of the OEB’s proposed programs and activities accommodates both existing initiatives that are carried forward from the 2017-2020 Business Plan and new initiatives arising from the Strategic Blueprint and the recent LTEP Implementation Directive.

The OEB is committed to developing a more quantitative and outcomes-based approach to the assessment of OEB’s performance over the longer term. Accordingly, the current Business Plan contemplates that, over the current planning period, the OEB will define and develop metrics that enable the OEB to measure progress towards the outcome or outcomes associated with each of the programs and activities identified in the plan. These quantitative and outcomes-based metrics will supplement the activity-based milestones and measures already embedded in the OEB’s business planning process.
The Business Plan provides for the resources needed to undertake the programs and activities over the three year planning period. Those resources include a team of expert staff and Board Members, and the financial resources included in the 2018-2021 Budget.

The work of the OEB is also supported by the significant contribution made by stakeholders and intervenors through the OEB’s ongoing adjudicative and policy consultation processes and through the formal advisory panels and committees the OEB has established.

The OEB has adopted a range of measures to address and mitigate the risks the OEB may encounter in carrying out the programs and activities identified in this Business Plan. Over the past year, there has been no increase in residual risk identified for any of the risk categories considered by the OEB and its professional advisors. Indeed, measures and controls adopted over the past year have resulted in a decrease in the residual risk associated with four key risk categories, namely Cybersecurity, Business Continuity, Technology (Capacity), and External Communications.

The OEB has also developed a comprehensive plan to communicate more effectively with key audiences, particularly energy consumers. That plan will be implemented during 2018. A key objective of that plan is to enhance public awareness of the OEB and what it does.
MANDETE, VISION, MISSION & VALUES

The OEB’s Vision, Mission and Values are refreshed in the OEB’s Strategic Blueprint. The new Vision, Mission and Values complement the OEB’s mandate and support a strategic direction that is centered on the OEB’s role in supporting and guiding the continuing evolution of the Ontario energy sector in a way that delivers value for all Ontario energy consumers.

The OEB’s Mandate

The OEB has regulated the natural gas sector since 1960 and the electricity sector since 1999. Its objectives, responsibilities and powers are set out in legislation, regulations and directives.

For industry, the OEB:

- Sets the rates and prices that electricity and natural gas utilities can charge;
- Sets the payments to be received by Ontario Power Generation in respect of its regulated nuclear and hydroelectric generation facilities;
- Approves the revenue requirement and fees of the Independent Electricity System Operator;
- Monitors the financial and operational performance of utilities;
- Approves major new electricity transmission lines and natural gas pipelines that serve the public interest;
- Approves prescribed categories of mergers, acquisitions and dispositions by electricity and natural gas transmitters and distributors; and
- Licenses entities, and establishes and enforces codes and rules to govern the conduct of utilities and other industry participants.

For consumers, the OEB:

- Protects their interests with respect to the rates and performance of their utilities;
- Provides them with the information they need to better understand their rights and responsibilities;
- Protects their interests in retail electricity and natural gas markets; and
- Addresses the particular needs of low-income consumers in the context of utility customer service rules and assistance programs.

In addition, the OEB plays an important role as an independent and expert advisor to government regarding energy policy matters.
These broad responsibilities and powers underlie the OEB’s Vision, Mission and Values.

The Vision sets out where the OEB wants our organization and the sector we regulate to be in five years.

The Mission sets out how the OEB aims to achieve that Vision.

The Values express the OEB’s commitment about how those who work at the OEB will conduct themselves – both within their own organization and in their engagement with others.

**The OEB’s Vision**

*The OEB supports and guides the continuing evolution of the Ontario energy sector by promoting outcomes and innovation that deliver value for all Ontario energy consumers.*

**The OEB’s Mission**

*The OEB will pursue this Vision by:*

- Strengthening the focus on demonstrable consumer value during a period of sector evolution.
- Incenting and enabling innovation in a way that enhances consumer choice, control and value.
- Strengthening and sustaining the confidence of consumers during a period of accelerating change.
- Equipping the OEB’s own organization to meet the challenges presented by sector evolution.

**The OEB’s Values**

*Effective* – *We hold ourselves to a high standard regarding the quality and efficiency of our work.*

*Independent* – *We are objective and bring an open mind to all we do.*
Engaged – We learn from our dialogue with consumers, utilities and other industry participants.

Expert – We are experts in our field and share our knowledge with others.

Forward-looking – We support innovative solutions both within our own organization and by those we regulate.

Respectful – We serve the public interest and treat everyone with respect.
ENVIRONMENTAL SCAN

The 2018-2021 Business Plan reflects the OEB’s consideration of key developments and trends in the broader operating environment. Those developments and trends include:

- Developments in legislation and public policy that affect the OEB’s mandate and work, including the Fair Hydro Plan, the Climate Change Action Plan, and the Long Term Energy Plan;

- Recent developments within the electricity and natural gas sectors, including the recent changes in ownership and consolidation of distributors and transmitters;

- Changes in consumer expectations regarding the OEB and the entities it regulates, including the consumer focus on service quality, prices and costs within the sector;

- Technological innovation that presents new choices for consumers and challenges traditional business and regulatory models; and

- Broader trends in energy regulation, both across North America and further afield.

These developments and trends are summarized below and are also reflected in the OEB’s Strategic Blueprint.

Recent Legislation, Directives & Requests for Advice

The OEB and the entities it regulates operate within a legal framework established by legislation, as amended from time to time. Relevant amendments to legislation and other pertinent instruments are listed below.

Legislation

Recent legislation affecting the OEB and the entities it regulates include the following:

*Burden Reduction Act, 2017 (Bill 27):*

- Provides the OEB with greater flexibility regarding the review of deferral and variance accounts established by natural gas and electricity distributors.
• Empowers the OEB to establish criteria for exempting one or more classes of transactions or construction activities from the prohibitions regarding the acquisition by electricity distributors and transmitters of an interest in an electricity generator (and vice versa).

Protecting Vulnerable Energy Consumers Act, 2017 (Bill 95):

• Confirms that the OEB may make rules and licence conditions respecting the periods during which gas or electricity service may not be disconnected for low-volume consumers.

Fair Hydro Act, 2017 (Bill 132):

• Sets out a framework under which the costs and benefits relating to certain energy investments are to be allocated among present and future electricity consumers.

• Requires the OEB, in accordance with that framework, to determine reduced electricity prices and to determine other adjustments to be made for certain classes of consumers in respect of specified periods of time.

• Provides for the future administration of the Ontario Electricity Support Program by a Minister of the Crown or other entity.

Directives

During 2017, the Minister of Energy issued two Directives to the OEB. Those Directives are as follows:

Directive issued to the OEB on October 26, 2017 (Implementation Directive) requiring the OEB to submit an implementation plan in respect of the government’s 2017 Long Term Energy Plan (issued under section 25.30 of the Electricity Act, 1998). The programs and activities set out in this Business Plan are responsive to the Implementation Directive and reflect the OEB’s implementation plan as submitted to the Minister.

Directive issued to the OEB on October 26, 2017 requiring the OEB to review and publish annually the verified results of measures to maximize the efficiency of distribution infrastructure (issued under sections 27.1 and 27.2 of the Ontario Energy Board Act,
1998). The OEB will adapt its work regarding CDM performance review and reporting in a manner that reflects changes called for in this directive.

Requests for Advice

On November 9, 2017, the OEB issued the final report of its consultant, The Kent Group, in fulfillment of the request by the Minister of Energy dated November 9, 2016 that the OEB examine and report on Ontario’s retail transportation fuels market.

*Developments in the Sector*

**Technology and Innovation**

The electricity sector is experiencing rapid innovation – both in information technologies that offer enhanced capabilities for managing networks and in distributed energy and storage resources that create new opportunities for on-site generation and micro grids. Ontario already has considerable experience with advanced metering technologies and the systems to integrate intermittent resources. New and expanded uses for electricity, including in the transportation sector, will place fresh demands on the power system.

Innovation in the electricity sector is paralleled by continued developments in the natural gas sector, such as hydraulic fracking which has opened up new supply basins, the use of natural gas as a transportation fuel and the potential use of renewable natural gas as a source of supply.

While some of these new technologies support “traditional” utility functions and customer relationships, others may impact the utilization of existing infrastructure and challenge or “disrupt” existing business models. The pace and pattern of deployment of these technologies will be strongly influenced by the supply outlook, the cost of competing technologies, the way in which network services are priced, the way in which network operators are remunerated, and the dynamics of public policy.

**Potential Impact of Climate Change Policies**

Much of the technological innovation in the electricity and natural gas sectors has been driven by the growing focus on reducing carbon emissions. Ontario is now committed to significant GHG emission reductions over the next 30 years under the new Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP) and its centerpiece, the new cap-and-trade system. Obligations under that system will fall most heavily on fossil fuel distributors, including the natural gas distributors whose rates are regulated by the OEB.
During 2016 and 2017, the OEB developed and implemented the regulatory framework to govern the recovery of the costs incurred by natural gas distributors in meeting requirements under the cap-and-trade program. Going forward, the OEB will monitor the implementation of this new regulatory framework and continue to review the compliance plans submitted by the natural gas distributors.

Over the longer term, the impact of the CCAP and the shift away from fossil fuels will be felt throughout the broader energy sector, including the electricity distributors and transmitters regulated by the OEB. For instance, the accelerated deployment of distributed energy technologies, electric vehicles and electric-powered mass transit, together with increased investments in energy efficiency, will impact aspects of utility operations and planning and will create new risks to be assessed and managed. In addition, the advent of extreme weather events associated with climate change has increased focus on the resiliency of energy systems.

The pace of these developments may be uncertain, but the potential significance and complexity of their impact is clear. Both the OEB and the entities it regulates must be equipped to anticipate and address these trends.

Ontario Planning Outlook and the Long Term Energy Plan

The Independent Electricity System Operator’s (IESO) 2016 Ontario Planning Outlook, prepared to support the new Long Term Energy Plan, outlined a range of “low”, “flat” and “high” provincial electricity demand outlooks for the next 20 years, largely reflecting different assumptions regarding the shift from fossil fuels and the level of electrification arising from the province’s climate change policies. The Ontario Planning Outlook, together with the accompanying Technical Fuels Report, highlighted the strong interrelationship between the electricity and natural gas sectors. The Ontario Planning Outlook concluded by emphasizing the need to remain flexible, to understand the drivers of change and risk in the sector, and to address regulatory frameworks that may not meet the needs of an evolving sector.

The Minister of Energy published the 2017 Long Term Energy Plan, Delivering Fairness and Choice, on October 26, 2017. The Minister, through the Implementation Directive, has required the OEB to submit an implementation plan in respect of the LTEP. Execution of the work contemplated in the OEB’s LTEP implementation plan will be a key focus of the OEB over the three-year planning period.
Changing Structure of the Sector

Both the Distribution Sector Review Panel (2012) and the Premier’s Advisory Panel on Government Assets (2015) concluded that consolidation could facilitate efficiencies and cost savings within the electricity distribution sector and better equip distributors to address the changes underway in the energy sector.

The past several years have seen the Hydro One initial public offering, the creation of a new mega-utility in the Greater Toronto-Hamilton Area, and other mergers and acquisitions in the electricity distribution sector that have extended the footprint of some distributors beyond the territory of their municipal owners. However, other electricity distributors and their municipal shareholders have made a formal decision not to sell or merge. In addition, as an alternative to consolidation, some distributors have formed alliances or associations to share services and resources. The Ontario electricity distribution sector will likely continue to be characterized by considerable diversity among distributors as to size, density, geographic footprint, and investment requirements.

Ontario is also seeing merger and amalgamation activity in the natural gas distribution sector. In September 2017, EPCOR Utilities Inc., a utility owned by the City of Edmonton, completed its acquisition, via an indirect wholly-owned limited partnership structure, of Natural Resource Gas Limited, a gas distributor providing service to about 8,000 customers in a number of towns southeast of London, Ontario. In November 2017, Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. and Union Gas Limited, the two largest natural gas distributors in Ontario, filed an application for approval by the OEB of their proposed merger. This proposed merger follows the 2016 merger of Enbridge Inc. and Spectra Energy Corp.

In addition, the OEB’s November 2016 generic decision regarding the expansion of natural gas distribution facilities in Ontario has sparked competition among distributors regarding service to new communities and territories. That generic decision affirmed the principle that the expansion of the natural gas system should not be subsidized by existing customers and that new customers should bear the costs of such expansion through rate structures, including stand-alone rates and surcharges, that reflect those costs.
Energy Prices

The price of energy services remains a key consideration for Ontario energy consumers, policy makers and the OEB.

The government enacted the *Fair Hydro Act, 2017* in mid-2017. The OEB has set the prices under the Regulated Price Plan effective July 1, 2017 in accordance with the framework established in that Act.

Although the government’s Fair Hydro Plan is reducing the pressure on electricity bills for residential, small business and other qualifying customers for the next four years, the concern about electricity prices is expected to continue over the longer term. Accordingly, energy prices will remain a key consideration for the OEB over the three-year planning period.

Consumer research undertaken on behalf of the OEB during 2017 highlights the low level of public awareness about the role of the OEB in setting the rates and prices charged by electricity and natural gas utilities and of the ways the OEB protects consumers. This research also identified the key factors that influence the awareness, trust and confidence among consumers regarding the work of the OEB. It will inform the OEB’s communications plan over the three-year planning period.

Broader Trends in Energy Regulation

Other energy regulators, both in North America and further afield, are addressing the issue of how to use their regulatory powers to address sector evolution. Some regulators are adopting a proactive approach and seeking to lead utilities to a particular model sanctioned by the regulator, while others are adopting a “wait and see” approach and reacting to the challenges of sector evolution as they arise.

Although no regulatory model has yet emerged as the preferred “industry standard”, other regulators are grappling with many of the same challenges facing the OEB during a period of sector evolution. Those challenges include the setting of utility remuneration to encourage efficiency and innovation, the design of rates to provide appropriate guidance to consumers regarding their own consumption and investment decisions, the mitigation of regulatory barriers to innovation and new business models, and the protection of consumers during sector transformation.

The ways in which other utility regulators are addressing these issues reflect the particular institutional arrangements, market structure and broader policy framework
prevailing in their jurisdictions. Although the work of other regulators is instructive, the OEB’s own approach must be grounded in an appreciation of the circumstances in Ontario and of its own mandate.
STRATEGIC CHALLENGES, GOALS & OBJECTIVES

The OEB’s work over the next three years will focus on the Strategic Goals and Objectives set out in the OEB’s Strategic Blueprint. Those Strategic Goals and Objectives address the four Strategic Challenges:

- **Transformation & Consumer Value** – How can the OEB help ensure that the evolution of the sector brings a stronger focus on demonstrable value for consumers?

- **Innovation & Consumer Choice** – How can the OEB incent and enable innovation that enhances consumer choice and control?

- **Consumer Confidence** – How can the OEB strengthen and sustain the confidence of consumers during a period of accelerating change?

- **Regulation “Fit for Purpose”** – How should the OEB equip itself to meet the challenges of sector transformation?

For each of these Strategic Challenges, the OEB has identified an overarching Strategic Goal and a corresponding set of Strategic Objectives. The Strategic Goals represent the specific outcomes we aim to achieve with respect to each of the Strategic Challenges. The Strategic Objectives describe the particular areas on which we will focus in order to attain each of the Strategic Goals.
The Strategic Challenges, Strategic Goals and Strategic Objectives are summarized in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Challenges</th>
<th>Transformation &amp; Consumer Value</th>
<th>Innovation &amp; Consumer Choice</th>
<th>Consumer Confidence</th>
<th>Regulation “Fit for Purpose”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic Goals</strong></td>
<td>Utilities are delivering value to consumers in a changing environment</td>
<td>Utilities and other market participants are embracing innovation in their operations and the products they offer consumers</td>
<td>Consumers have confidence in the oversight of the sector and in their ability to make choices about products and services</td>
<td>The OEB has the resources and processes appropriate for the changing environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic Objectives</strong></td>
<td>The regulatory framework incents utilities to focus on long-term value for money and least-cost solutions Regional and utility system planning reflect the continuing evolution of the sector Utility infrastructure is optimized during the shift to a low carbon economy</td>
<td>The regulatory framework incents and enables utilities to adopt innovative solutions The design of network rates and commodity prices support the efficient use of infrastructure and enable greater customer choice and control Our codes and rules reflect the needs of an evolving sector</td>
<td>Consumers understand their rights and choices Consumers are treated fairly by utilities and other service providers Consumer perspectives are welcomed, respected and addressed in all regulatory processes</td>
<td>We have the expertise needed to address sector evolution Our own organization and processes remain flexible and are adapted to changing needs Our work is supported by effective engagement and collaboration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Strategic Goals and Objectives are consistent with and accommodate the requirements of the Implementation Directive. They also reflect and build on the key achievements of the OEB over the past year, including:

- Support for the implementation of the Fair Hydro Plan, including through the establishment of updated commodity prices for eligible customers, the determination and implementation of a cap on base distribution charges for customers of eight designated distributors, and the implementation of changes to the OESP to support increased eligibility, including all social assistance recipients.

- Pursuit of continued innovation – both in our processes and in the sector – through, for example, the piloting of the OEB’s new proportionate review model, and the approval of five Regulated Price Plan pilots.
• Continued support for the broader Climate Change Action Plan initiatives through the approval of the cost consequences of the gas utilities’ first cap and trade compliance plans and the development of carbon pricing tools which will support the OEB’s future assessments.

• Enhanced communications between the OEB and customers through 16 community meetings, continued improvements to our website, the launch and promotion of our first Consumer Charter, and our first foray into community engagement through booths at the Canadian National Exhibition the Royal Winter Fair and seniors’ organizations. Between September and November we achieved 17,000 meaningful interactions with consumers.

• Enhanced communications between the OEB and stakeholders through the continuation of our Chair’s Advisory Roundtable and Industry Affairs and Regulatory Affairs Standing Committees.

• Recognized the need to adapt to an evolving sector, not only through a broad initiative such as the development of the Strategic Blueprint, but also through targeted focus on emerging topics, such as the development of the Ontario Cyber Security Framework to enhance assurance that electric utilities are meeting their security, reliability and privacy obligations.

• Assistance in addressing customers’ information needs, such as through the completion of a report, Understanding Retail Transportation Fuel Pricing in Ontario, that explains the market dynamics which determine how gasoline and diesel prices are set in Ontario.

• Continued to deliver on our core regulatory functions by issuing more than 200 decisions since the start of the 2017-18 fiscal year on rates, facilities, licensing and other areas of the OEB’s regulation of the energy sector.
**PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES – OVERVIEW & IMPLEMENTATION PLAN**

**Introduction**

Set out below is a summary of the key programs and activities the OEB will undertake in the planning period. The initiatives are summarized by Strategic Goal, each of which is discussed in a separate section. A discussion of each goal, which appears at the beginning of each section, identifies major trends and drivers for the sector.

Programs and activities identified under each strategic goal include both initiatives that are new and others that are “rolled forward” from the 2017-2020 Business Plan. They also include initiatives responsive to the Implementation Directive as set out in the OEB’s implementation plan, approved by the Minister of Energy in a letter dated February 15, 2018.

**Development of a New Framework for Measuring the Effectiveness of Programs & Activities**

The OEB is committed to monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of its key programs and activities. That commitment is long standing. It is reflected in a number of initiatives, including:

- The development of the OEB’s *Evaluation Framework for Board Policy* in 2011,
- The application of approaches contained in the *Framework* to evaluate programs such as the Low Income Energy Assistance Program,
- The completion of the 2012 *Renewed Regulatory Framework for Electricity* which emphasized the importance of monitoring and measuring performance, and
- The introduction of a mandatory and annual “scorecard” measuring the performance of electricity distributors.

The OEB recognizes that robust performance measures and metrics are a key component of the OEB’s accountability to Government, to regulated entities and stakeholders, and to consumers. As the Minister noted in his March 2017 letter approving the 2017-2020 Business Plan, including “more detailed actions, milestones
and measureable outcomes…would provide greater clarity with regard to planned OEB programs and activities”. The OEB agrees with this observation.

The recent completion of the Strategic Blueprint and the approval of the OEB’s LTEP Implementation Plan provide a good starting point for further work to enhance the OEB’s approach to the evaluation of its programs and activities. Accordingly, the current Business Plan contemplates that, over the current planning period, the OEB will define and develop metrics that enable the OEB to measure progress towards the outcome or outcomes associated with each of the programs and activities summarized below. These quantitative and outcomes-based metrics will supplement the activity-based milestones and measures already embedded in the OEB’s business planning process.

This approach fits well with the portfolio of programs and activities planned over the next year. Many of those programs and activities involve the development of new regulatory policies or initiatives to address changes underway in the sector. Many will not be fully implemented until the latter part of the current three year period. These circumstances will give the OEB the opportunity to develop the new quantitative and outcomes-based metrics and measures alongside the design of the new programs or activities. In particular, they will allow the OEB to align the new metrics with the data collection, reporting and monitoring measures incorporated in the design of the new programs and activities.

This work will equip the OEB with a comprehensive evaluation framework consisting of both activity-based milestones and outcome-based metrics. That framework will enable the OEB and others to assess the progress of the OEB, both during the current planning period and over the longer term, towards the Strategic Goals identified in the Strategic Blueprint.
Summary of Programs and Activities

A. Strategic Goal - Utilities are delivering value to consumers in a changing environment:

The regulatory framework incents utilities to focus on long-term value for money and least-cost solutions

Regional and utility system planning reflect the continuing evolution of the sector

Utility infrastructure is optimized during the shift to a low carbon economy

A focus on consumer value lies at the very center of the OEB’s approach to utility regulation. Given the broad changes underway in the electricity and natural gas sectors, the OEB has both the need and opportunity to sharpen that focus – particularly with respect to utility investments associated with sector transformation.

“Smart grid” and distributed technologies, together with conservation initiatives, present utilities with opportunities to serve customers in ways that may be less expensive and more flexible than traditional infrastructure investment. Moreover, the anticipated trend towards a low carbon economy may affect the way in which both natural gas and electricity networks are used. That trend, together with measures to increase the resilience of energy infrastructure, may call for new investment or the redeployment of existing infrastructure.

The OEB’s regulatory framework should encourage both electricity and natural gas utilities to undertake such investments and expenditures in a coordinated and cost-effective manner.

Key Programs & Activities

Gas Supply Planning: To ensure that consumers are getting value for money, the OEB will develop a Framework for the Assessment of Distributor Gas Supply Plans that will increase transparency, accountability and measurement of utility gas supply planning, including consideration of public policy objectives such as the inclusion of renewable natural gas as a component of gas supply.

FY 2018/19 – Complete a framework setting out the OEB’s expectations and approach to the assessment of gas supply planning, including a consideration of
public policy objectives such as the inclusion of renewable natural gas as a component of gas supply.

FY 2019/20 – Implement the framework through a review of the gas supply plans of natural gas distributors.

FY 2020/21 – Monitor the implementation by natural gas distributors of their gas supply plans in accordance with the framework.

Implementation Tools – stakeholder consultation, guidance to gas utilities, reporting and monitoring activities

Focus for future outcome evaluation - The gas supply plans of natural gas distributors are delivering value to consumers through a transparent process, as measured against the principles and criteria set out in the Framework, once approved.

Electricity Distributor Benchmarking: To ensure that consumers are getting value for money, the OEB will expand its use of benchmarking to include a detailed evaluation of costs at the program (or activity) level. Enhancing the monitoring of distributor performance is expected to drive greater cost discipline among distributors, incent greater efficiency and ultimately reduce costs for consumers.

FY 2018/19 – Develop the framework for a benchmarking model for program or activity cost measures for electricity distributors.

FY 2019/20 – Implement program level benchmarking in the assessment of electricity distributor performance to ensure value for consumers is being delivered. Include program level benchmarking in rate setting processes and identify appropriate public reporting on new benchmarks. Consider whether legislative reforms could provide additional opportunities to leverage enhanced benchmarking.

FY 2020/21 – Monitor utility performance and report as appropriate to support rate setting and OEB’s assessment of electricity distributors’ performance in order to achieve the OEB’s expectation for increasing performance and continuous improvement by the electricity distributors that are rate regulated.

Implementation Tools – stakeholder consultation, licensee reporting and monitoring, research, guidance to licensees
Focus for future outcome evaluation: By benchmarking and through sharing of best practices, sector efficiency is increasing in the benchmarked activities.

Natural Gas Demand Side Management: The OEB will continue its work on the Gas Demand Side Management Framework Evaluation, the 2019 Natural Gas Achievable Potential Study, and the Evaluation, Measurement & Verification (EM&V) of DSM Programs.

A. Gas Demand Side Management Framework Evaluation: Complete the evaluation of the performance of the current framework for DSM in the natural gas sector.

FY 2018/19 – Complete the review and evaluation of the current framework for DSM.

FY 2019/20 – Implement any modifications to the DSM framework as appropriate.

FY 2020/21 – Continue implementation of any modifications to the DSM framework.

Implementation Tools – stakeholder consultations, research, guidance to gas distributors, consumer communications, rate orders

B. 2019 Natural Gas Achievable Potential Study: Complete a study to estimate the achievable potential for natural gas efficiency in Ontario for 2019-2038.

FY 2018/19 – Begin the study and coordinate with the IESO as appropriate.

FY 2019/20 – Complete the study by June 1, 2019 in order to inform natural gas efficiency planning and programs.

FY 2020/21 – Employ the study to support review of utility plans as appropriate.

Implementation Tools – stakeholder consultations, research, consumer communications


FY 2018/19 – Complete EM&V of 2016 annual DSM results and initiate EM&V of 2017 annual DSM results.

FY 2019/20 – Complete EM&V of 2017 and 2018 annual DSM results.
FY 2020/21 – Complete EM&V of 2019 annual DSM results.

Implementation Tools – stakeholder consultations, reporting, research, analysis

Focus for future outcome evaluation (for each of A, B and C above) - Gas consumption is reduced in line with the expectations established in the approved DSM plans.
B. Strategic Goal - Utilities and other market participants are embracing innovation in their operations and the products they offer consumers:

The regulatory framework incents and enables utilities to adopt innovative solutions

The design of network rates and commodity prices support the efficient use of infrastructure and enable greater customer choice and control

The OEB’s codes and rules reflect the needs of an evolving sector

The way the OEB remunerates utilities can influence the degree of innovation achieved by utilities in their operations and services arrangements with consumers. As noted in the IESO’s Ontario Planning Outlook, the adoption of innovative solutions can also be affected by the rules and requirements that govern utilities and other market participants.

The OEB has already taken a number steps to accommodate and support innovative solutions. However, the broad changes underway in the sector suggest that a more comprehensive review is warranted.

From a consumer perspective, the structure of network rates and commodity prices influence decisions about consumption and investment. Indeed, the design of such rates and prices is a key factor influencing the deployment of distributed resources and the way in which networks are used. With respect to commodity prices, the OEB’s RPP Roadmap has already set out the OEB’s phased approach to renewing the Regulated Price Plan so that it can better respond to policy objectives, improve system efficiency and give consumers greater control.

Key Programs & Activities

Way Forward For Regulation: To support the evolution of the sector, move to a regulatory framework that remunerates utilities in ways that strengthen their focus on long-term value and least-cost solutions, supports regional planning and cost-sharing arrangements among utilities, and requires utilities to reflect the impact of sector evolution in their system planning and operations.

FY 2018/19 – Identify opportunities for and obtain advice on regulatory reform.

FY 2020/21 – Establish and implement framework.

Implementation Tools – research, analysis, stakeholder consultations, guidance to utilities

Focus for future outcome evaluation - LDCs are evaluating, selecting and implementing least-cost solutions, including “non-wires” alternatives, where appropriate.

“Smarter” Electricity Delivery Rates: To encourage the efficient use of electricity infrastructure and enable greater customer choice and control, develop and implement rate design options for non-residential electricity distribution rates and related charges. This work is a continuation of work carried out in prior periods.

FY 2018/19 – Finalize a new rate design policy for non-residential electricity rates which takes account of the expansion of both net metering and distributed energy resources.

FY 2019/20 – Establish the plan for implementing the new rate design for non-residential electricity rates.

FY 2020/21 – Continue the implementation of the new rate design for non-residential electricity rates.

Implementation Tools – research, data analytics, stakeholder consultations, targeted commercial and industrial segment focus groups, code amendments, rate orders, guidance to licensees, consumer communications

Focus for future outcome evaluation – The design of distribution rates for non-residential classes promotes efficient use of electricity infrastructure and greater customer choice and control.
“Smarter” Electricity Prices: To provide appropriate price signals to low-volume and other Class B electricity consumers in accordance with the Regulated Price Plan Roadmap, develop a new methodology for the RPP and carry out related work. This work is a continuation of work carried out in prior periods.

FY 2018/19 – Continue the implementation of pilot projects regarding the RPP. Engagement of, and data collection from small and medium-sized electricity customers. Study commodity pricing alternatives, including consideration of the recovery of global adjustment from Class B consumers.

FY 2019/20 – Analyze the results of the RPP pilots following their conclusion. Develop pricing options.

FY 2020/21 – Identify the preferred pricing options and associated tools; support development of any framework for implementation.

Implementation Tools – pilot programs, data collection and analysis, consumer engagement, stakeholder consultation, consumer surveys and communications

Focus for future outcome evaluation - Consumers have access to and are taking advantage of revamped or expanded pricing plans, as appropriate.

Net Metering: Facilitate the implementation of the amendments to regulations related to net metering, in support of public policy initiatives to give consumers and businesses more opportunities to generate and store energy.

FY 2018/19 – Modify the OEB’s codes and other regulatory requirements to ensure the effective implementation of an expanded net metering program.

FY 2019/20 – Monitor information on program progress to identify whether further policy adjustments are required to enhance implementation.

FY 2020/21 – Continue to monitor information on program progress to identify whether further policy adjustments are required to enhance implementation.

Implementation Tools – stakeholder consultations, Consumer Panel, code amendments, guidance to licensees, consumer communications
**Focus for future outcome evaluation** - Customers and utilities understand roles and responsibilities related to net metering program options and associated consumer protection matters.

**Enabling Distributed Energy Resources:** To support the evolution of the sector, identify and develop regulatory reforms that would facilitate investment in distributed energy resources (DERs) that can benefit consumers by appropriately allocating the costs and benefits of DER investments and ensuring that diffuse benefits and multiple value streams can be appropriately recognized.

FY 2018/19 – Identify options for and obtain advice on regulatory reform.

FY 2019/20 – Evaluate and consult on options ensuring a comprehensive approach.

FY 2020/21 – Determine preferred approach, establish and implement regulatory framework.

**Implementation Tools** – research, analysis, consumer engagement, stakeholder consultations, guidance to utilities

**Focus for future outcome evaluation** - Distributors and other parties are moving forward with DERs where they are cost effective.
C. Strategic Goal - Consumers have confidence in the oversight of the sector and in their ability to make choices about products and services:

Consumers understand their rights and choices

Consumers are treated fairly by utilities and other service providers

Consumer perspectives are welcomed, respected and addressed in all regulatory processes

The benefits of innovation and sector transformation are realized by all types of consumers

Over the past five years, the OEB has used a number of approaches designed to give consumers a better understanding of the work of the OEB and, in turn, to provide the OEB with deeper insight into the perspectives of energy consumers in the context of both adjudicative proceedings and policy development initiatives. The OEB intends to redouble those efforts during a period of change and uncertainty in Ontario’s energy sector.

Consumers will need confidence that their utilities, service providers and the broader sector are evolving in a way that respects and reflects their interests, particularly regarding price and service. For this reason, the participation of consumers in adjudicative proceedings, particularly those regarding utility rates, has become increasingly important.

Consumers will need to understand the new products and services that may become available and be assured that the providers of such products or services will treat them fairly. Moreover, during the process of market evolution, utilities and other market participants should be encouraged – and expected – to look for innovative ways of serving diverse consumer groups, including low-income consumers and remote indigenous communities.

Key Programs & Activities

Consumer Outreach: To increase consumers’ confidence in the oversight of the sector and in their ability to make choices about products and services, enhance the way in which the OEB engages with and informs consumers about the energy sector, the rights
and choices consumers enjoy, and the work of the OEB. This work is a continuation of work carried out in prior periods.

**FY 2018/19** – Continue and expand the OEB’s program of public outreach throughout the Province, and implement a range of communications programs that inform consumers about issues of importance to them.

**FY 2019/20** – Continue the initiatives outlined above, and monitor and assess their effectiveness.

**FY 2020/21** – Continue the initiatives outlined above, and monitor and assess their effectiveness.

Implementation Tools – community meetings, literacy events, consumer research, expansion of consumer panel program, online engagement tools, social media, videos, earned media

*Focus for future outcome evaluation* - Consumers have easy access to information about energy products and services, a greater understanding of their rights and choices, and of the ways in which the OEB protects their interests.

**Consumer Representation in Decision Making:** In support of efforts to increase consumer confidence in the sector, including through greater solicitation of consumer perspectives, enhance opportunities for consumers to access and participate in the OEB’s adjudicative proceedings and policy development processes. This work is a continuation of work carried out in prior periods.

**FY 2018/19** – Continue and expand the program of community meetings and the initiative to give consumers in affected communities enhanced access to OEB hearings.

**FY 2019/20** – Assess the effectiveness of the community meetings program and initiate any changes identified to enhance the program. Expand the initiative to give affected communities enhanced access to OEB hearings.

**FY 2020/21** – Assess the effectiveness of enhanced access by affected communities to OEB hearings, initiate any changes identified to enhance the program, and initiate any further identified enhancements to the adjudicative
process that allow greater access and participation in OEB proceedings by affected communities.

*Implementation Tools* – community and video hearings, community meetings and telemeetings, consumer presentations, letters of comment, monitoring a proceeding, social media, website, OEB contact person, adjudicative proceedings

*Focus for future outcome evaluation* - Consumers' participation in the OEB’s decision-making process is increasing.

Review of Customer Service Rules and Charges: The OEB will continue to review the customer service rules for natural gas and electricity distributors and unit sub-meter providers. This work will support the interest in ensuring that consumers are treated fairly by utilities, that our codes and rules appropriately reflect the reasonable expectations of energy consumers regarding matters such as disconnections, billing errors, and collection and reconnection fees, and to assess the effectiveness of the underlying OEB policies.

**FY 2018/19** – Continue evaluation (Phase One) of rules and charges regarding disconnection and related activities and modify such rules and charges as appropriate. Begin evaluation (Phase Two) of rules and charges related to management of customer accounts, billing errors and reporting requirements.

**FY 2019/20** – Conclude Phase Two evaluation and modify such rules and charges as appropriate. Examine whether legislative reforms could further enhance the OEB’s capacity to protect the interests of natural gas consumers.

**FY 2020/21** – Monitor the implementation of any new customer service rules and charges by electricity and natural gas distributors and unit sub-meter providers.

*Implementation Tools* – consumer surveys, reporting by licensees and other regulated entities, consumer research, Consumer Panel, research regarding industry best practices, stakeholder consultation, code and rule amendments and rate orders

*Focus for future outcome evaluation:* Level of service by utilities to electricity and natural gas customers is meeting customer needs and expectations having regard to the utilities’ operational needs
Low-Income Energy Assistance Program (LEAP): To review the current design and delivery of the LEAP emergency financial assistance program for the electricity and natural gas sectors to establish how well it is meeting the needs of low income energy consumers facing disconnection. This activity is also in keeping with the OEB’s intent to ensure the effectiveness of policies can be assessed at appropriate intervals.

FY 2018/19 – Evaluate the existing program design and delivery.

FY 2019/20 – Develop modifications to program design and delivery as appropriate.

FY 2020/21 – Monitor program delivery.

Implementation Tools – consumer research, liaison with LEAP intake agencies, Financial Assistance Working Group, reports from licensees and other regulated entities, Consumer Panel, stakeholder consultation, code and rule amendments – where necessary, consumer communications

Focus for future outcome evaluation - LEAP better meets the needs of eligible customers through improvements, as appropriate, to its design and delivery, that fit well within the context of related low income support programs and customer service policies.

Strengthening Utility Accountability to Customers: To ensure that utilities continue to deliver value to consumers in a changing environment and support consumer confidence in the oversight of the sector, identify and implement regulatory reforms to enhance reporting and utility accountability to customers with respect to provision of service, including reliability.

FY 2018/19 – Complete foundational work including, work to enhance reporting on reliability and a review of potential approaches for enhancing utility accountability.

FY 2019/20 – Identify, evaluate, and consult on options for regulatory reforms.

FY 2020/21 – Determine preferred approach and implement regulatory reforms.

Implementation Tools – research, analysis, stakeholder consultations, guidance to utilities
Focus for future outcome evaluation: Improvement in sector performance in priority areas, as appropriate, as evidenced in reported utility results.

Strengthening Protection for Customers of Unit Sub-Meter Providers: To ensure that all electricity customers benefit from a comprehensive consumer protection regime, develop a framework for setting USMP charges and monitoring USMP performance.

FY 2018/19 – Identify and implement a framework for setting just and reasonable USMP charges.

FY 2019/20 – Identify and implement a framework for monitoring USMP performance.

FY 2020/21 – Monitor USMP performance under the new framework.

Implementation Tools – research, analysis, consumer engagement, stakeholder consultations, new requirements and guidance for USMPs

Focus for future outcome evaluation - Customers receive comparable levels of service regardless of electricity service provider.
D. Strategic Goal - The OEB has the resources and processes appropriate for the changing environment:

The OEB has the expertise needed to address sector evolution

The OEB’s organization and processes remain flexible and are adapted to changing needs

The OEB’s work is supported by effective engagement and collaboration

The success of the OEB and the effective implementation of the programs and activities in this Business Plan will depend very much on the commitment and engagement of those who work at the OEB. OEB staff and Board Members are known for their expertise, professionalism and commitment to public service. These qualities will be important as the OEB adapts its organization and processes to the needs of an evolving sector.

Given the uncertainties that lie ahead, maintaining flexibility in both the OEB’s organization and processes will be critical. Engagement and collaboration will also be important. As the OEB seeks to understand and address the changes underway in the sector, it will need to listen to consumers and stakeholders and to work closely with the government and other agencies.

Key Programs & Activities

Adjudicative Process Improvements: To ensure our own organization and processes remain flexible and are adapted to changing needs, the OEB will continue to develop and implement measures to better ensure that the OEB’s review of electricity distribution cost of service applications is proportionate and greater strengthens the alignment with the OEB’s performance-based approach to regulation.

FY 2018/19 – Continue to design, pilot and resource to enable implementation. Consider opportunities for enhancements through legislative changes.

FY 2019/20 – Finalize implementation and full rollout.

FY 2020/21 – Continuation of implementation as well as monitoring.

Implementation Tools – stakeholder consultation, amendments to Rules of Practice and Procedure, guidance to licensees and other regulated entities
Focus for future outcome evaluation – An enhanced regulatory review process drives efficiencies by allowing the performance of utilities to inform the course of regulatory review that applies to their applications

Enhancing OEB Performance: To ensure the OEB has the expertise, resources and processes appropriate for the changing environment, the OEB will identify the attributes of high performing tribunals and establish a framework through which continuous improvement can be incented, measured and achieved.

FY 2018/19 – Establish an ongoing, formalized process that sets out the attributes of high performing tribunals, and accompanying metrics and targets that link OEB activities to these characteristics, the OEB’s core mandate and its responsibilities.

FY 2019/20 – Assess the performance of the OEB with respect to these attributes and new metrics; identify areas for improvement.

FY 2020/21 – Monitor and refine approach to metrics as appropriate.

Implementation Tools – research, internal and external surveys and consultations

Focus for future outcome evaluation - The OEB’s performance is demonstrating continuous improvement and meeting the standards of regulatory excellence
RESOURCES NEEDED TO MEET GOALS & OBJECTIVES

The OEB has provided for the resources needed to undertake the programs and activities described above. The budgeted headcount over the planning period is 192 staff, as outlined further in the discussion of the 2018-2021 Budget. This headcount is needed in order to achieve the Strategic Goals set out in the OEB’s Strategic Blueprint and carry out the work proposed in the LTEP Implementation Plan.

In particular, the budgeted headcount also reflects the scope of the OEB’s work in a number of key areas, including consumer focus and engagement programs, expanded stakeholder engagement activities, enhanced benchmarking and adjudicative processes, and the increasing scope of the advisory and program initiatives undertaken by the OEB at the request of Government. It also reflects the need to manage anticipated changes in the OEB workforce. Each of these items is discussed further below.

Public Affairs

In 2015, the OEB established a new Public Affairs function with the mandate to enhance the quality, quantity and accessibility of resources available to energy consumers. The goal of this new function is to improve consumer confidence in the OEB and the broader energy sector. In order to achieve this goal, the OEB is expanding the Consumer Panel to act as a key source for consumer perspectives on issues facing the OEB, implementing an online Policy Registry through which consumers are able to “have a say” on policies under development by the OEB, and holding more community meetings in the localities affected by rate proceedings. Having established the new Public Affairs function, the OEB’s assessment is that additional resources are required to deliver and expand these staff-intensive engagement initiatives.

Stakeholder Engagement

The successful completion of the programs and activities outlined in this Business Plan is also supported by the active involvement of stakeholders. Those stakeholders include industry representatives, consumer groups, environmental groups, and other interested parties. The OEB has built and implemented an effective set of stakeholder and consultation processes, including the recently announced Advisory Committee on Innovation, as well as the Chair’s Advisory Roundtable, the Regulatory Affairs Standing Committee, the Industry Affairs Standing Committee, and the Ontario Pipeline Coordinating Committee. Additional staff resources are required in order to manage
these important stakeholder processes and ensure that they meet the expectations of the OEB and participants.

**Benchmarking and Adjudication**

During the current planning period, the OEB will continue to pursue the robust review of utility performance. The OEB will do so through the use of stronger and more detailed benchmarking and through adjudicative processes that are more closely aligned with the OEB’s “performance-based” approach to regulation. In order to carry out this work, the OEB needs to strengthen its internal capabilities with respect to benchmarking, reporting, and performance measurement and evaluation. Additional expertise in the key areas of engineering, quantitative analysis and related disciplines will support the adoption of more efficient and effective regulatory review processes.

**Advice to Government**

Over the last several years, the Government has increasingly called upon the OEB to provide advice on a broad range of issues in the energy sector, including an assessment of the Energy East pipeline from an Ontario perspective, advice on electricity rates for on reserve First Nations customers, an examination of retail transportation fuels pricing, and the design of an affordability program for low-income electricity consumers. Following the OEB’s advice regarding an affordability program, the Government asked the OEB to set up and deliver the Ontario Electricity Support Program. More recently, the Government directed the OEB to address the matters that have now been set out in the LTEP Implementation Plan. The OEB’s work to address these important initiatives has required the OEB to reallocate resources from other and ongoing programs and activities relating to its core regulatory functions and mandate.

**Reinstatement of Chief Operating Officer position**

The OEB has reinstated the Chief Operating Officer role contemplated by a provision of the Ontario Energy Board Act. The position had been eliminated in 2015 and the duties performed by that position had been assigned to the General Counsel and the Vice President People, Culture and Business Solutions. Changes to the legislation had been requested to enable that arrangement to continue. These changes were not made and a Treasury Board mandate review undertaken in 2017 recommended appointing a Chief Operating Officer. The position has now been filled, with attendant implications for headcount.
Changing OEB Workforce

The OEB needs to adjust for the effects of an aging workforce and plan for the expected retirement of experienced senior staff. We are anticipating the departures of 3 managers and 6 senior staff over the next 5 years. Accordingly, the OEB needs a temporary increase in headcount in order to manage succession effectively. These additional resources will bring expertise that they have developed over a number of years working in a regulatory environment to ensure achievement of our Strategic Goals.

The organization chart for the OEB is attached as Appendix A.
The OEB has made a comprehensive assessment of corporate risk and continues to identify and implement appropriate controls to minimize risk. The OEB’s formal risk assessment process has been developed with the assistance of MNP, a leading management consulting firm. It involves the following steps:

1. Confirming existing and identifying new or emerging risks having regard to key business objectives (as set out in the Programs and Activities above);
2. Assessing those risks and their implications;
3. Determining the appropriate approach to risk response and mitigation; and
4. Implementing appropriate risk mitigation measures and controls and monitoring both their effectiveness and the residual risk to the organization.

The risk categories, controls and mitigation measures, and residual risk are set out in the OEB Enterprise Risk Register attached as Appendix B. That Enterprise Risk Register has been reviewed by both the OEB Management Committee and the OEB Executive Team.

Over the last year, there has been no increase in residual risk identified for any of the risk categories in the OEB Enterprise Risk Register. Indeed, measures and controls adopted over the past year have resulted in a decrease in the residual risk associated with four risk categories. Details of the changes in respect of these four risk categories are set out below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyber-security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inherent Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly sophisticated cybersecurity attack could lead to disclosure or loss of sensitive or confidential data, or shut down of critical OEB Information Management Systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolution of Risk and Controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Rating</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Business Continuity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inherent Risk</th>
<th>Natural disaster or unexpected event causes an interruption to OEB operations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evolution of Risk and Controls</td>
<td>Insurance Review identified adequate business interruption coverage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Rating</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Technology (Capacity)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inherent Risk</th>
<th>Infrastructure may not be sufficient to adapt to changes in technology requirements or be able to accommodate implementation of new projects and programs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Rating</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### External Communications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inherent Risk</th>
<th>The quality or sufficiency of information communicated to consumers and market participants could result in consumers not being adequately or appropriately informed, impacting their ability to effectively understand energy matters.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Rating</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INITIATIVES INVOLVING THIRD PARTIES

The OEB has engaged third parties to assist with the delivery of the Ontario Energy Support Program (OESP). Further details about the OESP and its delivery are set out below.

Background

The OESP was developed and implemented by the OEB to provide rate assistance to low income electricity customers. In order to deliver the OESP the OEB determined that a central service provider would be needed to manage the intake applications for assistance. Such applications involve sensitive information, such as household income and numbers.

ICF Canada

The OEB has contracted ICF Canada to carry out the delivery of the OESP. ICF provides the central IT system. That system enables consumers to apply for OESP through a web portal, connects with electricity distributors and the Ministry of Finance for application processing, and allows community agencies to file applications on behalf of OESP consumers. It also provides additional services such as record management services and consumer information services.

ICF also provides a call centre for consumers and agencies to be able to obtain information and assistance regarding OESP applications.

The contract for these services is for 5 years on a fixed price basis, with certain per application fees once planned numbers are exceeded.

ICF was selected through a competitive procurement process. ICF’s contract with the OEB contains a range of service level agreements (SLAs) that set the standard for services. ICF reports monthly regarding performance in respect of the SLAs. If services do not meet the standards in the SLAs, payments to ICF may be reduced accordingly.

The OEB reviews and monitors ICF’s performance on an ongoing basis. All payments to ICF are subject to review and approval by project management and a member of the OEB Executive Team.

ICF is required to store all data and make that data available for audit as required.
Community Agencies

The OEB has also entered into agreements with 120 community agencies to assist with the delivery of the OESP. The involvement of such community agencies helps ensure that the OESP reaches the broadest population of low income households.

The community agencies are compensated based on the number and type of OESP applications they process within a month. All amounts paid to agencies are reconciled against the application data that ICF has received from the agencies. The total amounts are then reviewed by the OEB project team and approved by a member of the Executive Team before payments are authorized. Audit trails for all payments to agencies are maintained in the ICF database in accordance with the standards stipulated in the ICF contract.
The OEB is committed to the principles of continuous improvement in its approach to regulation. The OEB has established a set of metrics that govern its engagement with consumers and regulated entities. As in years past, the OEB will track its performance against these metrics in the upcoming year. In addition, the OEB will develop a suite of metrics to measure its own performance as a regulator, as well as to assess the effectiveness of its programs and policies. These measures will be included in future business plans.

The OEB’s current metrics are summarized in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OEB ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE</th>
<th>METRIC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer calls</td>
<td>Answer incoming calls within 20 seconds</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer correspondence</td>
<td>Respond to general correspondence within 10 business days</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer voicemail messages</td>
<td>Respond to voicemail received by 12:30pm within the same day</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer voicemail messages</td>
<td>Respond to voicemail received after 12:30 pm by the next business day before 12:30 pm</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer satisfaction</td>
<td>Overall satisfaction survey score</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer complaint letters</td>
<td>Mail complaint follow-up letters to consumer within two business days</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer complaint letters</td>
<td>Mail a follow-up letter to consumers after receiving a response from the licensee within two business days</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer enquiry letters</td>
<td>Mail enquiry response letters within two days</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry Relations enquiries</td>
<td>Respond to Industry Relations enquiries within 10 days</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory applications</td>
<td>Issue final decisions in accordance with metrics established by Management Committee (see subsequent table).</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The metric regarding the timelines to final decisions in respect of regulatory applications employs the following performance standards for processing applications.

**Total period elapsed to OEB decision (calendar days) by application type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPLICATION TYPE</th>
<th>WRITTEN PROCESS</th>
<th>ORAL PROCESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal franchise or certificate</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave to construct or gas storage designation</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well drilling</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence (one-step notice)</td>
<td>90*</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A review of a s.80 or 81 notice of proposal under s.82 (generation, transmission, distribution ownership prohibition)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 86 (change of ownership or control of systems)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution rates</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution rates (streamlined)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly rate adjustment filings - gas</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General application</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*60 days for feed-in-tariff applications

**2018-2021 BUDGET**

**2018-2021 Budget Summary**

The Budget for the 2018-2021 planning period is materially aligned with the financial framework set out in the 2017-2020 Budget as approved. The 2018-2021 Budget includes a slight increase in FY 2018-2019 of 0.4%, and an increase in FY 2019-2020 of 2.4% when compared to the 2017-2020 Budget. The increases are largely as a result of additional headcount.

The headcount budget over the planning period increases to 192 from 178 FTEs (staff members), which is outlined above in the discussion of the Resources Needed to Meet Goals & Objectives.

The 2018-2021 Budget (sections 26 and 12.1 only) increases annually throughout the planning period to account for factors such as increases in employee compensation and rent.

The 2018-2021 Budget includes capital expenditures of approximately $2.9 million on leasehold improvements (LHI), furniture and audio visual equipment (AV) and associated operating expenses of $0.3M, related to the renovation of the OEB office space. The capital expenditures and related expenses for the renovation project are funded through the operating reserve. The required renovations include the followings:

- **Redesign of the OEB’s space**, including hearing and public meeting rooms, to provide better access for both consumers and stakeholders to the OEB facilities, to accommodate the OEB’s expanded Public Affairs function, and to improve divisional and operating synergies by locating divisions and departments together. The space redesign budget over the next three years is $1.9 million of capital expenditures (LHI $1.7M, AV $0.15M, and furniture $0.05M), and associated operating expenses of $0.2M with the majority of work planned during FY 2019-2020 ($1.8M of total cost).

- **Sustain the OEB’s existing premises** by replacing aging infrastructure such as carpet, HVAC, office furniture and equipment (which have not been replaced since the first major renovation of the OEB facilities in 2004) to ensure that the office space is a safe environment for employees and consumers. The sustainment budget over the next three years is $1.0 million of capital expenditures (LHI $0.75M thousand, and furniture $0.25M), and associated operating expenses of $0.1M.
The renovation project had been reflected in the approved 2017-2020 Budget but was subsequently delayed. This project will be funded by using the OEB's operating reserve balance, as originally proposed in the 2017-2020 Budget.

As a self-financing entity, the OEB has established an operating reserve. That reserve is adjusted each year. The primary purpose of this reserve is to fund the OEB’s operations in the event of revenue shortfalls or unanticipated expenditures. It is also used to manage cash flows and to support working capital requirements. The operating reserve can be up to 20 per cent of the OEB’s current annual funding requirement. During the 2018-2021 planning period, the operating reserve will range between 12 per cent and 19 per cent since the OEB intends to use part of the operating reserve to fund approximately $3 million of renovations in the 2018-2021 Budget.

Revenue from administrative penalties, assessed against individual regulated entities under section 112.5 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, are not used to reduce the costs assessed under the OEB’s Cost Assessment Model and are not included in the OEB’s Budget. The administrative penalty funds are internally restricted to support only those activities relating to consumer education, outreach and other activities in the public interest.

An interest rate of 1.1 per cent has been assumed for the operating reserve and the accumulated balance in the administrative penalty fund.

**Section 30 Budget**

Section 30 costs are excluded from the 2018-2021 Budget set out below. Section 30 costs are regulatory process costs incurred in relation to specific proceedings and consultation processes. They are recovered from regulated entities through the cost award decisions made by the OEB in respect of those proceedings and processes. The section 30 Budget presented below is $6.1 million for the 2018-2019 financial year, $5.5 million for the 2019-2020 financial year, and $5.0 million for the 2020-2021 financial year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 30</td>
<td>6,105</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,545</td>
<td>4,086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some proceedings and consultation processes span several financial years. The OEB funds such proceedings and consultations through its operating reserve until the costs are finally recovered under section 30 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998.

**Section 79.2 Budget**

Section 79.2 costs are costs related to the administration of the OESP. The *Fair Hydro Act, 2017* includes an amendment to the Act that would replace the current section 79.2. When proclaimed, the new section 79.2 would, among other things, enable the OEB to continue to recover its OESP administration costs. It would also enable responsibility for the administration of the OESP to be transferred from the OEB to a Minister of the Crown. The OEB understands that this transfer may be deferred for an unspecified period of time. The OEB has therefore developed its budget for section 79.2 costs on the assumption that the OEB will be responsible for administration of the OESP during the full three-year planning period. The section 79.2 Budget for publications, media and advertising is $1.5 million in each of the next three years as shown in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units in thousand dollars</th>
<th>2018-2021 Budget</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 79.2</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In FY 2016-2017, section 79.2 incurred $4.3 million of OESP administration (publications, media, advertising costs) costs, and carryover implementation costs. Ongoing OESP publications, media, advertising costs of $1.5 million are planned throughout the 2018-2021 Budget. Implementation costs ended in FY 2016-2017.
### 2018-2021 OEB Budget (sections 26 and 12.1 only)

**Units in thousand dollars**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General cost recovery</td>
<td>41,086</td>
<td>43,025</td>
<td>44,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence fees</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous income</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred revenue related to capital assets</td>
<td>1,480</td>
<td>1,997</td>
<td>1,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>43,098</td>
<td>45,554</td>
<td>46,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and benefits</td>
<td>31,346</td>
<td>33,254</td>
<td>34,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting and professional</td>
<td>3,165</td>
<td>3,025</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings, training and travel</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>1,121</td>
<td>1,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications, media and advertising</td>
<td>1,414</td>
<td>1,405</td>
<td>1,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premises</td>
<td>3,091</td>
<td>3,290</td>
<td>3,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information technology</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>1,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and administration</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of capital assets paid by OEB</td>
<td>1,480</td>
<td>1,997</td>
<td>1,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>43,098</td>
<td>45,554</td>
<td>46,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Expenditures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold improvements</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>2,199</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office furniture and equipment</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer equipment and related software</td>
<td>1,508</td>
<td>1,370</td>
<td>1,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio visual equipment</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Capital Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>1,943</td>
<td>3,719</td>
<td>1,420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Assessment before Adjustments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assessment before Adjustments</strong></td>
<td>43,029</td>
<td>46,744</td>
<td>45,980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operating Reserve Adjustment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Reserve Adjustment</strong></td>
<td>(459)</td>
<td>(2,524)</td>
<td>(50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assessment</strong></td>
<td>42,570</td>
<td>44,220</td>
<td>45,930</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 2017-2018 forecast as of December 2017 shows an underspend of $3 million (approximately 7%), reflecting additional staff vacancies arising as a consequence of internal staff promotions which also impacts training and travel costs. Some projects affecting consulting, publications, media and advertising costs were deferred in other fiscal years to adjust to other business priorities. The leasehold improvements, furnishings and similar expenditures were deferred to the 2018-2021 Budget.

### 2017-2018 Forecast (sections 26 and 12.1 only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units in thousand dollars</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General cost recovery</td>
<td>37,041</td>
<td>40,385</td>
<td>(3,344)</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence fees</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous income</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred revenue related to capital assets</td>
<td>1,172</td>
<td>1,106</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>38,758</td>
<td>41,980</td>
<td>(3,222)</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and benefits</td>
<td>28,083</td>
<td>30,017</td>
<td>(1,934)</td>
<td>(6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting and professional</td>
<td>3,282</td>
<td>3,744</td>
<td>(462)</td>
<td>(12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings, training and travel</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>1,169</td>
<td>(320)</td>
<td>(27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications, media and advertising</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>1,421</td>
<td>(585)</td>
<td>(41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premises</td>
<td>3,021</td>
<td>3,094</td>
<td>(73)</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information technology</td>
<td>1,051</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and administration</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of capital assets paid by OEB</td>
<td>1,172</td>
<td>1,106</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>38,758</td>
<td>41,980</td>
<td>(3,222)</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Expenditures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold improvements</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1,860</td>
<td>(1,734)</td>
<td>(93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office furniture and equipment</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>(253)</td>
<td>(84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer equipment and related software</td>
<td>1,370</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>(180)</td>
<td>(12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio visual equipment</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Capital Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>1,593</td>
<td>3,860</td>
<td>(2,267)</td>
<td>(59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assessment before Adjustments</strong></td>
<td>38,634</td>
<td>44,245</td>
<td>(5,611)</td>
<td>(13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Reserve Adjustment</td>
<td>400 (2,245)</td>
<td>2,645 (118%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assessment</strong></td>
<td>39,034</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>(2,966)</td>
<td>(7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2016-2017 Financial Summary

The 2016-2017 financial results were underspent by $1.1 million (approximately 3%). This underspend reflects a number of factors, including: delays in filling vacant staff positions, lower meeting and travel costs, underspending in respect of consulting and professional services as a result of the deferral of certain projects, lower additional rent costs, and savings with respect to administrative expenses.

2016-2017 Financial Results (sections 26 and 12.1 only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units in thousand dollars</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General cost recovery</td>
<td>35,995</td>
<td>38,013</td>
<td>(2,018)</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence fees</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous income</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of deferred revenue related to capital assets</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>37,311</td>
<td>39,443</td>
<td>(2,132)</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and benefits</td>
<td>28,043</td>
<td>29,445</td>
<td>(1,402)</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting and professional</td>
<td>2,835</td>
<td>3,274</td>
<td>(439)</td>
<td>(13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings, training and travel</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>(514)</td>
<td>(53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications, media and advertising</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premises</td>
<td>2,829</td>
<td>3,047</td>
<td>(218)</td>
<td>(7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information technology</td>
<td>1,089</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and administration</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>(4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of capital assets paid by OEB</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>37,311</td>
<td>39,443</td>
<td>(2,132)</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Expenditures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold improvements</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>(16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office furniture and equipment</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>(133)</td>
<td>(67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer equipment and related software</td>
<td>1,557</td>
<td>1,637</td>
<td>(80)</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio visual equipment</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Capital Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>1,782</td>
<td>1,987</td>
<td>(205)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assessment before Adjustments</strong></td>
<td>37,777</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>(2,223)</td>
<td>(6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Reserve Adjustment</td>
<td>1,129</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,129</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assessment</strong></td>
<td>38,906</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>(1,094)</td>
<td>(3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Human Resources Assumptions**

Staff headcount over the planning period is budgeted at 192 full-time positions.

The OEB has also budgeted for the full time equivalent of 11 Board Members.

Seventy-five percent of staff positions are unionized. The current four-year Collective Agreement with the Society of Energy Professional expires on March 31, 2019. The salaries and benefits for bargaining unit employees are based on the terms of the Collective Agreement.

For non-represented staff, the OEB’s compensation philosophy is targeted to the 50th percentile of our market comparator group. That group comprises public sector comparators and, more specifically, comparators from the energy sector and other regulators. Benefits for non-represented employees are based on a traditional benefit plan that mirrors the bargaining unit and reflects corporate policies.

The OEB participates in the Ontario Public Service Pension Plan with matching employee and employer contributions.

Incentive pay for eligible bargaining unit and non-represented staff, including full-time appointees, has been budgeted in accordance with the Collective Agreement and approved Incentive Plans.

Strategic human resource priorities include a focus on a recruitment philosophy to leverage emerging social media trends and increased visibility of the organization to potential candidates. Knowledge transfer and recruitment strategies are important to maintaining organizational capacity due to the aging demographics of our workforce and requirements for new emerging skills sets to the organization.

Staff development continues to be a priority to ensure the OEB can promote internal staff, where appropriate, to take on new responsibilities. With a greater focus on stakeholder engagement and an evolving adjudicative framework, new and different skills sets will be required.

Employee engagement is a key driver of organizational performance and behaviour. A comprehensive plan will be developed to enhance organization line of sight and promote staff commitment.
Information and Information Technology (I&IT) Assumptions

As with many other knowledge and information based organizations, the OEB relies increasingly on systems and technology. Applications and data quality, reliability, stability, and secure access are critical to the OEB’s ability to function.

The OEB’s objective is to leverage I&IT strengths and ensure that the organization has the I&IT capabilities and capacity to fulfill its mandate and meet new challenges – effectively, efficiently and at reasonable cost.

Key I&IT initiatives over the three-year planning period include infrastructure optimization, improved and more integrated use of Cloud offerings, cyber security enhancements, improved analytics and reporting, the implementation of new tools for consumers, website enhancements, process automation, and measures to improve the accessibility of hearings.

I&IT investments over the planning period focus on ensuring the reliability and security of our infrastructure and business systems. Those proposed investments are summarized in the table below:

OEB Budgeted I&IT Capital Project Spending by Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>FY 2018-2019</th>
<th>FY 2019-2020</th>
<th>FY 2020-2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expense Type</td>
<td>Capex</td>
<td>Capex</td>
<td>Capex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Systems</td>
<td>$1,260,000</td>
<td>$720,000</td>
<td>$820,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End User Computing</td>
<td>$198,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,658,000</td>
<td>$1,370,000</td>
<td>$1,320,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMUNICATIONS PLAN

Background

The 2018-2021 Business Plan reflects the strategic direction established in the OEB’s recent Strategic Blueprint and supports the work proposed in the OEB’s LTEP implementation plan. That new Strategic Blueprint sets out the OEB’s commitment to modernize its approach to regulation in order to keep pace with an evolving energy sector. The programs and activities proposed by the OEB for the three-year planning period are organized around the Strategic Goals and Objectives set out in the OEB’s Strategic Blueprint:

Transformation & Consumer Value – Utilities are delivering value to consumers in a changing environment.

Innovation & Consumer Choice – Utilities and other market participants are embracing innovation in their operations and the products they offer consumers.

Consumer Confidence – Consumers have confidence in the oversight of the sector and in their ability to make choices about products and services.

Regulation “Fit for Purpose” – The OEB has resources and processes appropriate for the changing environment.

The programs and activities set out in the Business Plan include both existing initiatives that are carried forward from the 2017-2020 Business Plan and new initiatives arising from the Strategic Blueprint and the Implementation Directive.

Target Audiences

The OEB’s communications over the three-year planning period will be directed towards both internal and external audiences.

Internal audiences include Board Members and OEB employees.

External audiences include consumers (residential, commercial, institutional, and industrial), the entities that the OEB regulates, other public agencies in the energy sector, industry associations, consumer advocates, social service agencies, local communities, opinion leaders, the media, and the Minister of Energy and Ministry staff.
Key Themes

Several key themes will underlie the OEB’s communications. Those themes are:

- The OEB can enhance the trust and confidence of consumers in the OEB and the sector by demonstrating that the OEB holds utilities accountable, aligns the interests of distributors and consumers, and protects consumers, including those who are most vulnerable. The OEB delivers value to consumers and the sector by ensuring an integrated and consumer-centric approach to applications and policy work.

- The OEB is committed to ensuring its approach to regulation keeps pace with an evolving energy sector and changing consumer expectations.

Proposed Activities

The OEB proposes to undertake a range of communications activities over the three-year planning period. Those activities are designed to demonstrate the impact of the OEB’s work as an economic regulator and in protecting consumers.

These communications activities will include:

- Measures to enhance the trust and confidence of residential and business consumers in the OEB and the sector, as measured against the “benchmark” established by the 2017 consumer research.

- Integrated communications programs to engage and inform consumers regarding the work of the OEB to protect and empower consumers.

- Expansion of the OEB’s program of public outreach to listen to and reflect consumer perspectives into our work.

- Co-ordination of consumer-focused communications with third parties, including social service agencies, consumer advocates, professional and business associations, governments, and local representatives.
## APPENDIX B – OEB ENTERPRISE RISK REGISTER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Risk Name</th>
<th>Inherent Risk</th>
<th>Risk Category</th>
<th>Risk Sub-Category</th>
<th>Nature of Risk</th>
<th>Strategic Challenges</th>
<th>Mitigating Controls</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1  | Government Policy & Oversight                  | Volume and complexity of new programs and initiatives from government may limit the OEB's organizational capacity to respond to changes in a timely manner, impacting the OEB's ability to effectively execute their regulatory role.                                      | Regulatory    | People           | Capacity       | Regulation “Fit for Purpose” | - Weekly and Monthly discussions with Ministry of Energy staff and Minister’s office.  
- Executive Policy Committee - bi-weekly updates to the Chair and Vice Chairs on progress against policy development plan and oversight of matters of strategic significance to the OEB.  
- Periodic Enterprise Risk Assessment and Risk Register Refresh.  
- Annual Three Year Business Plan Refresh.  
- Monthly Executive Team meetings.  
- Quarterly Management Committee meetings.  
- Monthly Board Member meetings.  
- Monthly Adjudicative Committee meetings.                                                                                                               | 4          | 5      | 20    |
| 2  | Legislative Changes affecting Administration   | Changes in federal or provincial legislation, regulations, standards, codes or directives may not be appropriately accommodated within the OEB's operations leading to non-compliance by the OEB.                                                  | Operational   | Process          | Capacity       | Regulation “Fit for Purpose” | - Integrity Commissioner Quarterly Reporting.  
- Ministry of Energy Annual Reporting.  
- OEB Quarterly Compliance Reporting.  
- Annual Finance Audit (scope includes expense claims).  
- Participation in consultation for updates to Treasury Board/Management Board of Cabinet Directives.                                                                                                             | 4          | 4      | 16    |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Risk Name</th>
<th>Inherent Risk</th>
<th>Risk Category</th>
<th>Risk Sub-Category</th>
<th>Nature of Risk</th>
<th>Strategic Challenges</th>
<th>Mitigating Controls (OEB to supplement and confirm listing below)</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Industry Evolution</td>
<td>An evolving energy industry and emerging technologies requires creation of new - or changes to existing - OEB programs, policies, and procedures.</td>
<td>Strategic</td>
<td>Process / Technology</td>
<td>Capability</td>
<td>Innovation &amp; Consumer Choice and Transformation &amp; Customer Value</td>
<td>- Executive Policy Committee - bi-weekly updates to the Chair and Vice Chairs on monitoring progress against policy development plan and oversight of matters of overarching strategic significance to OEB.- Periodic Policy Assessment Review (Natural Gas Market Reviews conducted every 3 years with annual updates).- Consultation Papers.- Chairs Advisory Roundtable.- Steering Committee for industry liaison.- Stakeholder, Sectorial and Government Meetings (statutory codes/rules compliance regarding Stakeholder Engagement).- Industry Affairs Standing Committee.- Annual Three Year Business Plan Refresh.- &quot;The Blueprint&quot; Strategic Plan.- Handbook to Electricity Distributor and Transmitter Consolidations.- Innovation Panel.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Risk Name</td>
<td>Inherent Risk</td>
<td>Risk Category</td>
<td>Risk Sub-Category</td>
<td>Nature of Risk</td>
<td>Strategic Challenges</td>
<td>Mitigating Controls (OEB to supplement and confirm listing below)</td>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4 | Cybersecurity | Highly sophisticated cybersecurity attack could lead to disclosure or loss of sensitive or confidential data, or shut down of critical OEB Information Management Systems. | Operational | Technology | Capability | Regulation "Fit for Purpose" | - Ontario Provincial Services IT Security Directives (applied internally).  
- McAfee anti-virus has been installed on all servers and workstations within the OEB corporate environment.  
- Anti-virus definition updates have been configured to be automatically checked and updated from the McAfee servers on the internet.  
- On-access file scanning has also been configured to ensure all files are scanned for potential malware prior to use.  
- IT provides training to business units regarding the IT Security Directive requirements. Training includes educational videos and instruction on how the directive should be interpreted.  
- Management conducts training at least annually. All new employees must take Cyber Security training as part of their On-Boarding. Also ad hoc training and alerts occur depending on the need and based on ongoing threats. Management interprets the OPS directives and Policies and develops training material as necessary.  
- Email gateway filtering is in place to protect from malicious email.  
- Internet Gateway is in place to protect from Malicious Internet traffic.  
- Active Directory Group policies in place to specifically counter a Ransomware attack.  
- Completing recommendations in Cyber Security Review by March 2018, including: develop network monitoring / Security Information and Event Management, Threat & Vulnerability Management program, and social engineering exercises. | 3 | 5 | 15 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Risk Name</th>
<th>Inherent Risk</th>
<th>Risk Category</th>
<th>Risk Sub-Category</th>
<th>Nature of Risk</th>
<th>Strategic Challenges</th>
<th>Mitigating Controls</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5 | Information Management | Aspects of record management processes (physical and digital) that are not sufficiently scalable may not ensure the accuracy, integrity, confidentiality, reliability, and accessibility of data (unauthorized use or access, accidental disclosure, modification, or loss of data) with the increasing number of records. | Operational   | Process           | Capacity       | Regulation “Fit for Purpose”                                                        | - Electronic Records are backed up on a nightly basis.  
- Disaster Recovery Plan includes replication of data and critical Infrastructure at a secondary location in case of an issue at our Primary Data Centre location.  
- OEB Primary Records systems are Pivotal and Trim which are off the Shelf Software Programs that enable appropriate Security to ensure Confidentiality of data and avoid unauthorized use.  
- OEB Network runs on Microsoft Active Directory.  
- All OEB users must have authorized credentials to access OEB Data.  
- OEB Records Management Policy | 2           | 3                   | 6                |
| 6 | Technology | Infrastructure may not be sufficient to adapt to changes in technology requirements or be able to accommodate implementation of new projects and programs. | Operational   | Technology        | Capacity       | Regulation “Fit for Purpose”                                                        | - On an annual basis IT updates the IT 3 year plan. This plan is aligned with the OEB Business Plan to ensure IT’s ability to accommodate new OEB Initiatives.  
- The IT Plan uses a Risk based and Asset Management approach to ensure Assets are kept up to date and can keep pace with the changing nature of technology.  
- Recent server upgrade. | 1           | 3                   | 3                |
| 7 | Vendor Management | Vendors may not comply with Service Level Agreements ("SLA"), meet performance standards, or protect sensitive or confidential information; creating challenges in attracting qualified resources in an expeditious manner, that are not conflicted. | Operational   | Process           | Capacity       | Regulation “Fit for Purpose”                                                        | - OEB Agreement - 15.0 Inspection and Warranty Clause.  
- OEB Agreement - 17.0 Termination Clause.  
- Purchase Order sign off requirement (T&C's).  
- Consultants on and off boarding process.  
- Escalation clause development. | 1           | 3                   | 3                |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Risk Name</th>
<th>Inherent Risk</th>
<th>Risk Category</th>
<th>Risk Sub-Category</th>
<th>Nature of Risk</th>
<th>Strategic Challenges</th>
<th>Mitigating Controls</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 8  | Licensees' Compliance           | Licensees' non-compliance with regulation, legislation, or OEB decisions could go undetected or be inadequately addressed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Operational   | Process           | Capacity                           | Consumer Confidence                                                                  | - Consumer Relations Centre Policies.  
- Compliance Review Committee.  
- Risk-based Compliance Assessment Framework.  
- Quarterly OEB Compliance Reporting.  
- Compliance & Enforcement undergoes many levels of scrutiny.  
- Retailer & Marketers Code of Conduct.  
- Sector and Industry Audit program.                                                                                 | 2           | 4                  | 8   |
| 9  | Succession                      | Loss of key staff, a limited pool of qualified candidates to recruit from, and changes in requirements as the industry evolves could result in the OEB not having sufficient employees with adequate skills, expertise or capabilities.                                                                                                                                         | Operational   | People            | Capability                         | Regulation “Fit for Purpose”                                                              | - Employee Engagement Surveys & Improvement Plans.  
- HR Policies - confidential Exit Interviews; Annual L&D Plans; Internal Promotion Priority; Competitive/Attractive Compensation & Benefit plans; Annual - Performance Appraisals (for internal vacancy selection); Management/Staff Competency Models; Behaviour Assessments; Job Descriptions.  
- Quarterly Measurement of Turnover Rates.  
- Succession planning as a component of performance management.                                                                 | 2           | 3                  | 6   |
| 10 | Organizationa l Capability / Capacity | Staffing capabilities (skills / competencies) and capacity could be insufficient to implement new programs and initiatives.                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Operational   | People / Process   | Capability / Capacity                | Regulation “Fit for Purpose”                                                              | - Knowledge Management (Transfer) Program.  
- Workforce Plan Review (supply/demand and gap analysis).  
- Operational Planning (By Business Unit).  
- Regular use of consultants to augment workforce.  
- Succession Plan.  
- Annual Individual Learning & Development Plans.  
- Annual Three Year Business Plan Refresh.                                                                                                  | 3           | 4                  | 12  |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Risk Name</th>
<th>Inherent Risk</th>
<th>Risk Category</th>
<th>Risk Sub-Category</th>
<th>Nature of Risk</th>
<th>Strategic Challenges</th>
<th>Mitigating Controls</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>External Communication s</td>
<td>The quality or sufficiency of information communicated to consumers and market participants could result in consumers not being adequately or appropriately informed, impacting their ability to effectively understand energy matters.</td>
<td>Reputation</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Consumer Confidence</td>
<td>- Consumer Panel.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Consumer Engagement Framework including Community Meetings and enhanced approaches for customer input to the adjudicative process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Public Affairs function.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Strategic Communications Plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Media Management Program.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- OEB Outreach and Education Programs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Consumer Relations Centre Complaints Management Process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Website redesign.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Business Continuity</td>
<td>Natural disaster or unexpected event causes an interruption to OEB operations.</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Capability</td>
<td>Regulation “Fit for Purpose”</td>
<td>- Emergency Response Plan.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- IT Disaster Recovery Plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Annual simulation testing of the plan(s).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Annual review of acceptable rate of recovery of OEB operations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Business interruption insurance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Insurance Review.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Financial Management</td>
<td>Financial budget may not be aligned to the strategic plan, resulting in inappropriate spending and/or inability to effectively allocate resources to deliver on OEB's mandate.</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Regulation “Fit for Purpose”</td>
<td>- Monthly Cash Flow Projections.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Cost Assessment Model Review.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Annual Financial Budget Process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Quarterly Management Committee reviews of financial results and forecasts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Annual Strategic Business Plan Process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Risk Name</td>
<td>Inherent Risk</td>
<td>Risk Category</td>
<td>Risk Sub-Category</td>
<td>Nature of Risk</td>
<td>Strategic Challenges</td>
<td>Mitigating Controls</td>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>Given the increasingly heightened expectations and requirements for financial transparency and reporting, there is added complexity to ensuring internal controls over financial reporting are adequately designed or operating effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the financial reporting is accurate, reliable, and timely.</td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Capability</td>
<td>Regulation “Fit for Purpose”</td>
<td>- Documented policies and procedures. - Documented processes. - Active management oversight including Management Committee. - Annual External Audit. - Monthly Finance Report to Management - Internal Audits.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Processes to effectively and efficiently identify, assess, manage and govern risk may not adapt quickly enough to respond to evolving industry and public policy, resulting in the inability to achieve strategic and operational objectives.</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Capability</td>
<td>Regulation “Fit for Purpose”</td>
<td>- Periodic Enterprise Risk Assessment and Risk Register Refresh. - Annual Business Plan Audit. - Annual Internal Audit Plan. - Documented policies, processes and procedures. - Risk Assessment informs business planning processes.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Likelihood - the probability of the risk occurring, after considering mitigating controls.**

1. There is very little chance of the risk occurring.
2. There is little chance of the risk occurring.
3. There is a moderate chance of the risk occurring.
4. There is high chance of the risk occurring.
5. There is very high chance of the risk occurring.

**Impact - the extent to which this risk could adversely affect the OEB's ability to satisfy their mandate.**

1. Occurrence of the risk will not have a material impact on the OEB's ability to satisfy their mandate.
2. Occurrence of the risk may have some impact on the OEB's ability to satisfy their mandate.
3. Occurrence of the risk may impair the OEB's ability to satisfy their mandate.
4. Occurrence of the risk will impair the OEB's ability to satisfy their mandate.
5. Occurrence of the risk will inhibit the OEB from satisfying their mandate.

**Score - the product of likelihood and impact.**

1 - 4  This risk poses little-to-no chance of impacting the OEB's ability to satisfy their mandate.
5 - 10  This risk poses a small chance of impacting the OEB's ability to satisfy their mandate.
11 - 18 This risk poses a moderate chance of impacting the OEB's ability to satisfy their mandate.
19 - 25 This risk poses a high chance of impacting the OEB's ability to satisfy their mandate.