

Final Revisions to Filing Requirements for Small Utilities

Webinar December 7, 2021







- 1 Welcome & Introduction Ted Antonopoulos
- 02 Land Acknowledgement & Agenda Jane Scott
- 03
- **Changes General & Chapter 2** Jane Scott
- 04
- Changes Chapter 5 Donald Lau
- 05 Update on Rebasing Letter Kevin Mancherjee

Q&As Jane Scott

7 Wrap-up & Next Steps Ted Antonopoulos





Working Group



Small Utilities

- Richard Bucknall, Wellington North, CHEC
- Adam Giddings, Lakefront Utilities
- Heather Dowling, Centre Wellington Hydro
- Manuela Ris-Scofield, Tandem Energy Services, representing Hydro Hawkesbury and Cooperative Hydro Embrun
- Kathi Farmer, EDA
- Ashly Karamatic, CHEC



Intervenors

- Mark Garner & Bill Harper, VECC
- Tom Ladanyi, Energy Probe
- Mark Rubinstein, SEC



OEB Staff

- Jane Scott
- Kevin Mancherjee
- Donald Lau
- Georgette Vlahos





A cost of service filing is:

- a major undertaking
- a review of the total costs to serve

The basic information in the filing requirements is still needed

The approach was less about removing items, instead:

- Remove redundancies
- Clarify requests
- Add flexibility for each LDC to address its unique circumstances

Approach to completeness check





Summary of General Changes

Level of Detail	 Emphasis on quality over quantity Clarity that the level of detail in the application should reflect the scale/scope of the request, with consideration to: materiality, complexity, variance to previous plan, deviation from OEB policy, and bill impacts 	New Additions/ Changes	 New additions examples: historical cohort and ROE information, checklist to be filed Materiality threshold for small utilities reduced from \$50k to \$10k Information on consolidation
Format	 Sections moved to improve flow, e.g., capital expenditures and service quality to Chapter 5/DSP, LRAMVA to Exhibit 9 (DVAs) Removed duplications Link to some documents instead of filing them 	Models	 Appendices and Models (Excel only (i.e., not pdf as well), unless specifically requested (e.g., rate tariffs) OEB Models unlocked – any changes made require explanation and additional certification by senior executive





Specific Changes – Chapter 2



One summary, is now required instead of the three



OM&A year-over year variance analysis is removed and going forward the emphasis will be on variances from last OEBapproved (actuals) year to proposed



If no Business Plan or Strategic Plan – can provide key planning assumptions, material factors and goals of the distributor for the test year and term



The Customer Engagement: section has been consolidated in Exhibit 1 and clarified



Reconciliation of financial statements to RRR not required

The use of USoA instead of programs for OM&A explanations and variances to be allowed



Load forecast only required based on 10 years, not both 10 and 20





Add	Remove	Change/Clarify
 DSP performance measurement – discussion on whether objectives in last DSP achieved and how it affects current DSP Consideration for innovative projects or programs 	 Category specific requirements for material investments Realized efficiencies due to smart meters Appendix 5-A Requirement to cross reference application headings to filing requirement headings 	 Asset Management –shifted focus on understanding LDC's actual planning process and data used Clarified variance analysis on CAPEX Refocused investment evaluation criteria to demonstrate need/prudence Bullet lists now sentences System Capability for REG moved to appendix





Should the small utility filing requirements be extended to distributors with 20,000 to 30,000 customers?

- OEB set 20,000 customers as threshold for small distributors, however a recent mandate letter suggests 30,000
- Out of a total of 61 LDCs, there are:
 - \circ 30 electricity distributors with less than 20,000 customers
 - $\circ~$ 8 electricity distribution that have between 20,000 and 30,000 customers
- A recent mandate letter, added a requirement that distributors with fewer than 30,000 customers include information explaining the extent to which they have investigated potential opportunities for consolidation and collaboration/partnerships with others





- Should all requirements for filing a cost of service application should be consolidated into the Filing Requirements
- Are bi-annual safety and customer satisfaction surveys still required?
- How should COVID impacts to load forecast be incorporated into next rate application?
- How should materiality be applied to capital? Should it be based on revenue requirement?
- How can the Appendices and Models be improved, i.e. user friendly and clearer?
- Some small utilities have raised concerns around not having the resources to update their load profiles for cost allocation









Finalize changes to

Filing Requirements and issue by

December 17, 2021

